CDK activity sensors: genetically encoded ratiometric biosensors for live analysis of the cell cycle
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Cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) sensors have facilitated investigations of the cell cycle in living cells. These genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors change their subcellular location upon activation of CDKs. Activation is primarily regulated by their association with cyclins, which in turn trigger cell-cycle progression. In the absence of CDK activity, cells exit the cell cycle and become quiescent, a key step in stem cell maintenance and cancer cell dormancy. The evolutionary conservation of CDKs has allowed for the rapid development of CDK activity sensors for cell lines and several research organisms, including nematodes, fish, and flies. CDK activity sensors are utilized for their ability to visualize the exact moment of cell-cycle commitment. This has provided a breakthrough in understanding the proliferation-quiescence decision. Further adoption of these biosensors will usher in new discoveries focused on the cell-cycle regulation of development, ageing, and cancer.

Introduction

The cell cycle was conceptualized nearly 70 years ago by Howard and Pelc [1]. By studying the process of DNA synthesis through a radioactive labeling technique, they established that the cell cycle consists of four phases: G1, S, G2, and M. The first gap phase (G1) occurs prior to DNA synthesis (S), followed by a second gap phase (G2), and finally mitosis (M). During mitosis, nuclear division and cytokinesis occurs, giving rise to two daughter cells. Each phase varies in length, and progression through the cell cycle is largely driven by cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs). The integrity of the cell cycle is maintained by checkpoints. The G1 checkpoint is known as the restriction point [2]. Cells that have crossed the restriction point are committed to completing the cell cycle. Cells that have not crossed the restriction point remain in G1 or enter a quiescent phase called G0. Since the concept of the cell cycle was first introduced, the field has exploded, providing fundamental insights into biological processes such as development, homeostasis, and regeneration. Studies of the cell cycle also impact our understanding of cancer (reviewed in [3]), and many chemotherapies are designed to halt cell-cycle progression.

Techniques such as flow cytometry, immunostaining, and synchronization were commonly used to analyze the cell cycle. While these techniques are still in use today, valuable kinetic information about the cell cycle is lost in individual cells and heterogeneous populations. Advanced genetic approaches have allowed live visualization of the cell cycle through fluorescently tagged proteins, peptides, or degrons. Sakaue-Sawano et al. [4] developed the fluorescent ubiquitination-based cell cycle indicator (FUCCI) system, revolutionizing our analysis of the cell cycle in living cells. The FUCCI system consists of two fluorescent proteins fused to degrons derived from Cdt1 and Geminin. Visualization of the Cdt1 degron is restricted to the G1 and G0 phase of the cell cycle, while the Geminin degron is visualized in S, G2, and M. The E3 ubiquitin ligases SCF\(^{Skp2}\) and APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) recognize the Cdt1 and
Geminin degrons, respectively, and prevent the overlapping accumulation of these degrons in the cell cycle by targeting them for degradation. Thus, the reciprocal expression of each component of the FUCCI system allows visual detection of either G1/G0 or S/G2/M.

From the time the FUCCI system was first developed, there have been numerous advances [5–13] as well as adaptations for a variety of research organisms [14–20]. Still, one of the major drawbacks of the FUCCI system has been the inability to discriminate between cells in G1 and G0 [21]. The ability to visualize G0 has clinical implications. Many stem cells and resistant cancer cells exist in a G0 quiescent state [22]. To solve this issue, Oki et al. [9] combined the FUCCI system with a fluorescently tagged p27 mutant lacking CDK inhibitory activity. The rationale behind this approach is that p27 is degraded in G1 but not in G0. However, there are limitations to this approach. Though levels of p27 drop in G1, degradation is not rapid enough to fully distinguish cycling cells from quiescent cells in real time. Also, their method relies on the FUCCI system to visualize an entire cell cycle, from G0 to M. The use of an additional fluorescent reporter does not appear feasible unless a fourth spectrally separable fluorophore is introduced.

The laboratory of Tobias Meyer developed a live-cell fluorescent biosensor that can faithfully distinguish G1 from G0 (Figure 1A) [23,24]. They first truncated a previously identified C-terminal region of human DNA helicase B (DHB957–1087) [25] to a 94 amino acid peptide consisting of amino acids 994 to 1087 (DHB994–1087). They then fused DHB994–1087 to a fluorescent protein for live-cell imaging in culture and determined that the newly truncated version of DHB was sufficient to confer cell cycle-dependent shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm. In contrast with DHB957–1087, which harbors seven CDK phosphorylation sites, DHB994–1087 contains four sites modified by CDKs. These four sites closely and evenly flank a nuclear localization signal (NLS) and are phosphorylated from G1 to G2. Directly adjacent to the NLS is a nuclear export signal (NES). Hence, CDK activation and consequent phosphorylation triggers the nuclear-to-cytoplasmic translocation of

![Figure 1. The CDK activity sensor: lights, camera, action.](https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20211131)

(A) Cartoon of fluorescently tagged CDK activity sensors in the presence of low (top) and high (bottom) CDK activity. (B) CDK2 and CDK1 mediate phosphorylation of the CDK activity sensor, leading to its nuclear-to-cytoplasmic translocation. (C) Increased cytoplasmic localization represents cell-cycle progression. Equation used to calculate CDK activity is shown. It should be noted that CDK activity is never equal to zero, as noise emanates from the cytoplasm of G0 cells. (D) Schematized graph of two single-cell traces illustrating CDK activity in cycling (blue) and quiescent (red) cells. Dashed line indicates the time of anaphase. (E) Cartoon of a histone (top) and nuclear envelope (bottom) marker for cell segmentation. (F) Schematized image acquisition merging the segmentation markers depicted in (E) with the CDK activity sensor. Labeling the nuclear envelope with the same fluorescent protein as the CDK activity sensor minimizes segmentation to a single channel and avoids issues associated with cell movement during fluorescent channel acquisition.
the sensor by occluding the NLS (Figure 1B). In the absence of CDK activity, the sensor is completely nuclear, which indicates a G0 state. In summary, the CDK activity sensor is a single-color, translocation-based sensor for tracking the cell cycle of individual cells. As the sensor has only recently been introduced in living animals [26–30], we are just beginning to scratch the surface of what the CDK activity sensor can reveal.

**CDK activity sensors in cell culture**

The CDK activity sensor was previously a G1-phase biosensor [24]. Hahn et al. established several cell lines expressing the G1-phase biosensor, which consists of DHB994–1087 fused to the orange fluorescent protein tdimer2(12) (Table 1). DHB994–1087-tdimer2(12) was predominantly nuclear in G1 and cytoplasmic in S and G2 until nuclear envelope breakdown. To mark the G1/S and S/G2 transition, Hahn et al. co-expressed a fluorescent S-phase reporter [31]. The main component of this S-phase reporter is proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), which aggregates at sites of DNA replication. As a proof of principle, the authors used both tools to measure the duration of G1, S, G2, and M in their cell lines of interest. Their analyses revealed a bimodal G1-phase duration in a fibroblast cell line. Furthermore, the authors sought to determine when neuronal differentiation occurs relative to the cell cycle. Features of neuronal differentiation include neurite formation and cell-cycle arrest. Using a neuronal-like cell line expressing the G1-phase biosensor and a fluorescent reporter of the plasma membrane, they induced neuronal differentiation by exposing these cells to neuronal growth factor. Though most cells were arrested in G1, they unexpectedly found neurites emanating from neurons in G1, S, and G2. Together, Hahn et al. demonstrated that the G1-phase biosensor can track the cell cycle of individual cells in culture, revealing subpopulations with different G1 kinetics, and provide a cell-cycle context to cellular processes such as differentiation.

Through a series of biochemical and pharmacological assays, the G1-phase biosensor was validated as a sensor for CDK2 activity by Spencer et al. [23]. These authors modified the G1-phase biosensor by swapping tdimer2(12) for the yellow fluorescent protein mVenus (Table 1). Following this modification, they combined DHB994–1087-mVenus with mCerulean-Cdt1 and histone 2B (H2B)-mCherry to track the G1/S and G2/M transition, respectively. Like DHB994–1087-tdimer2(12), DHB994–1087-mVenus was mostly nuclear in G1 (Figure 1C). However, their subcellular localization patterns were different in S and G2. While DHB994–1087-tdimer2(12) was cytoplasmic in S and G2, DHB994–1087-mVenus was evenly distributed in the cell in S and nuclear excluded in G2 (Figure 1C). In addition, DHB994–1087-mVenus was completely nuclear in G0 (Figure 1C). Because DHB994–1087-mVenus senses CDK2 activity and allows for the visual distinction of all interphase states, including G0, the authors used the cytoplasmic-to-nuclear ratio of DHB994–1087-mVenus as a cell-cycle indicator and as a quantitative readout for CDK2 activity (Figure 1C). By tracking epithelial and fibroblast cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 CDK activity sensors in cell culture studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transgene</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mTurquoise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mTurquoise2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB957–1087-GFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-GFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clover-DHB994–1087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mVenus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mKO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-tdimer2(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB957–1087-mRuby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mScarlet-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mCherry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mKate2-DHB994–1087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHB994–1087-mKate2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCherry-Rb886-928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
expressing DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-mVenus, Spencer et al. made a fundamental discovery that transformed our understanding of the cell cycle’s restriction point. It was long believed that the proliferation-quiescence decision was made by daughter cells in G1 [2]. However, Spencer et al. demonstrated that the decision is initially made by mother cells in late G2, and a subsequent decision is processed by their daughter cells in G1. Specifically, expression of p21 in the G2-phase of mitotic mother cells drives a bifurcation in CDK2 activity after mitosis, where daughter cells inherit either intermediate or low levels of CDK2 activity (Figure 1D). Only daughter cells with low CDK2 activity retain the decision to proliferate or become quiescent, whereas daughter cells with intermediate CDK2 activity commit to completing the cell cycle. Thus, Spencer et al. expanded the cell-cycle analysis toolkit by adding the CDK2 activity sensor and evoked a larger discussion about the location of the cell cycle’s restriction point (reviewed in [32]).

Current literature refers to the DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> peptide as a CDK activity sensor, as Schwarz et al. [33] demonstrated that DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> senses both CDK2 and CDK1 activity. CDK activity sensors have been generated in cell lines derived from humans, mice, rats, and chicken (Table 1) [23,24,33–43]. Recently, the CDK activity sensor was visualized in an orthotopic mouse model of human head and neck cancer [44]. The modularity of the CDK activity sensor is reflected in these studies. For example, a wide range of fluorescent proteins have been fused to either the C- or N-terminus of DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>. In addition, the slightly longer version of DHB that consists of amino acids 957 to 1087 (DHB<sub>957–1087</sub>) was shown to behave similarly to DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> [36,37]. Furthermore, the self-cleaving 2A peptide system has been employed by several groups as it allows for the expression of two or more transgenes under a single promoter. Yoon et al. utilized the 2A system to co-express DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> and histone H2B [45]. H2B is a nuclear marker during interphase (Figure 1E), allowing for the accurate segmentation of the cytoplasm and nucleus, and a reporter of M-phase upon chromatin condensation. The 2A system was also used by Yang and colleagues to co-express DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> and a novel reporter of CDK4/6 activation [46]. This reporter is based on a short C-terminal fragment of amino acids 886 to 928 of retino-blastoma (Rb) protein (Table 1). The mechanism and quantification of Rb<sub>886–928</sub> translocation is similar to that of DHB (Figure 1A–C), though its design is based on the kinase translocation reporter (KTR) strategy developed by the laboratory of Markus Covert [47]. Pairing these biosensors allowed Yang et al. to determine when cycling cells activate CDK4/6 relative to CDK2 by measuring the cytoplasmic-to-nuclear ratios of Rb and DHB, respectively. Taken together, the CDK activity sensor is highly adaptable, and therefore it can be implemented in cell culture studies that explore a breadth of biological phenomena associated with the cell cycle.

**CDK activity sensors in nematodes**

The laboratory of Sander van den Heuvel published the first CDK activity sensor for *C. elegans* [26]. *C. elegans* is an ideal research organism for studies of the cell cycle because its cell lineage is highly invariant and can be traced at single-cell resolution. Their team began by generating worms expressing DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-GFP under the control of the ubiquitous eft-3 promoter (Table 2) [26]. However, expression of DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-GFP was too strong to distinguish cycling cells from quiescent cells in the soma (but not in the embryo [48]). Next, they drove the expression of DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-GFP using the *mcm-4* promoter (Table 2). As expected for an E2F target gene promoter, the *mcm-4* promoter was active in proliferating cells, such as seam cells, Q neuroblast cells, and vulval precursor cells. Deng et al. [49] placed DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-GFP under the control of the anchor cell-specific promoter *lin-3*<sub>ACEL</sub> (Table 2), providing further evidence that the anchor cell of the somatic gonad is arrested in the cell cycle [50], likely in the G0-phase [51]. Therefore, the CDK activity sensor can be used in *C. elegans* to study embryonic and post-embryonic lineages that are cycling or quiescent.

Our laboratory developed an all-encompassing CDK activity sensor for *C. elegans* [27]. Using the 2A system in *C. elegans* [52], we created transgenic lines co-expressing DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-2xmKate2 and H2B-GFP as well as DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-GFP and H2B-2xmKate2 under the control of the ubiquitously active ribosomal *rps-27* promoter (Table 2). Unlike the *mcm-4* promoter, *rps-27* drove the expression of DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> in proliferating cells and cells that have exited the cell cycle. In addition, *rps-27*-driven DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> was discernable in the embryo, germline, ventral uterine cells, sex myoblasts, and vulval precursor cells. To visually inspect S-phase in somatic cells, we constructed PCN-1-GFP, a C-terminal translational fusion of the *C. elegans* ortholog of PCNA to GFP. Similar to studies performed in cell culture [24] and the *C. elegans* embryo [53], PCN-1-GFP formed sub-nuclear foci at sites of DNA replication in several post-embryonic lineages (but not in the germline [54]). Co-visualizing the CDK activity sensor and PCNA allowed us to ascertain DHB<sub>994–1087</sub> ratios for each inter-phase state. We also generated a line only expressing DHB<sub>994–1087</sub>-2xmKate2 through *rps-27* (Table 2), enabling
the use of the blue/cyan and green/yellow fluorescent channels. In a variant of this strain, we fused DHB994–1087 to one copy of mKate2 under the control of the rps-0 promoter (Table 2). We recently made a tissue-restrictive DHB994–1087-2xmKate2 strain that places the CDK activity sensor in sex myoblasts and vulval precursor cells (Table 2) [51]. In examining several post-embryonic lineages in the worm with the CDK activity sensor, we demonstrated that low levels of CDK activity after mitosis is predictive of cell-cycle exit (Figure 1D) and that CKI-1 (p21/p27) accumulation peaks in mother cells that give rise to post-mitotic daughter cells. Additionally, we revealed a stochastic cell division shortly after mitotic exit in the invariant vulval lineage. This cryptic stochasticity had only been observed at low frequency using end-point lineage analysis [55]. The CDK activity sensor is a valuable addition to the lineage-tracing toolkit for C. elegans, and it can be combined with other fluorescently labeled proteins including but not limited to components of the cell cycle [49,51,56].

**CDK activity sensors in fish**

Together with Benjamin Martin’s laboratory, we generated transgenic zebrafish embryos expressing the CDK activity sensor under the control of the heat shock-inducible hsp70I promoter [27]. These lines were designed to either co-express DHB994–1087-mNeonGreen and H2B-mScarlet or DHB994–1087-mScarlet and H2B-miRFP670 (Table 2). To express DHB and H2B, we utilized the 2A system for zebrafish embryos [57]. We also visualized S-phase in DHB994–1087-mScarlet embryos by expressing PCNA-GFP (described in [58,59]). This allowed us to infer that the CDK activity sensor was re-locating in a cell cycle-dependent fashion in the tailbud of zebrafish embryos, enabling us to distinguish cycling cells from quiescent cells in several embryonic tissues of interest (Figure 1D). Also, we identified fast- and slow- cycling cells in the tailbud, with the latter appearing to be in a prolonged G1-phase. In sum, we described the first version of the CDK activity sensor for zebrafish, providing a new tool for studying the cell cycle during vertebrate development.

**CDK activity sensors in flies**

The laboratory of Stefano Di Talia generated the first CDK activity sensor for Drosophila [28]. Their team fused DHB994–1087 with mCherry on its C-terminus and drove the expression of the CDK activity sensor in embryos (Table 2). During the maternally driven syncytial blastoderm cycles, the CDK activity sensor translocated from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in an oscillating fashion. They were able to prevent the cytoplasmic localization of the CDK activity sensor by mutating the four CDK phosphorylation sites on DHB994–1087. The same mutant was generated in mammalian cells [60]. Ultimately, they designed the biosensor to examine the relationship between histone locus body (HLB) growth and CDK activity. HLBs are nuclear bodies that are evolutionarily conserved and responsible for histone biogenesis [61]. After depleting the maternal input of CDK2,
they were able to conclude that CDKs influence the size of HLs. Interestingly, the phosphorylation of the CDK activity sensor was unaffected by the loss of CDK2. As CDK1 was shown to contribute to the phosphorylation of the CDK activity sensor in C. elegans [26], these data support the idea that the phosphorylation of DHB\textsubscript{994-1087} by CDK2 and CDK1 is conserved across species (Figure 1B). Overall, this is surprising given that DHB has no known orthologs in invertebrates [62,63].

**Experimental considerations**

The color palette of CDK activity sensors will continue to expand as new fluorescent proteins are developed and more fluorescent proteins are tested. When choosing a fluorophore, one must consider the protein’s brightness, photostability, and monomeric quality [64,65]. No fluorescent protein is perfect for every experimental situation [64]. For example, although GFP is brighter [66], we have noticed an improvement in the CDK activity sensor’s dynamic range when using mKate2. The oligomeric tendencies of mKate2 [67] may provide an explanation for the enhanced presence of DHB\textsubscript{994-1087}–mKate2 in the nucleus of G0 cells and the cytoplasm of G2 cells. We speculate that mKate2 oligomerization limits passive diffusion through the nucleus. Yang et al. reported a similar observation when fusing mCherry to the CDK4/6 activation reporter [46]. Thus, a systematic analysis should be performed to determine which fluorescent tags maximize the CDK activity sensor’s dynamic range.

When correlating DHB ratios to specific phases of the cell cycle, it is important to define interphase boundaries for any cell or tissue of interest. Our laboratory has observed significant differences in DHB ratios between tissues in C. elegans. For example, ventral uterine cells have higher DHB ratios in G1 compared with vulval precursor cells but lower DHB ratios in G2 [27]. To determine DHB ratios for G1, S, and G2, an S-phase reporter is required that can delineate the G1/S and S/G2 boundaries. However, an S-phase reporter is not necessary for assessing the proliferation-quiescence decision [23,27]. While drugs, genetic approaches, and fixation methods have been used to estimate DHB ratios for S-phase, these approaches do not provide the full range of DHB ratios for this phase. A fluorescently tagged PCNA reporter is the ideal tool for measuring the duration of S-phase. In S-phase, PCNA forms nuclear puncta at sites of DNA replication that can be readily detected by confocal microscopy. Recently, Zerjatke et al. [68] labeled endogenous PCNA with mRuby on its N-terminus. The authors described mRuby-PCNA as an all-in-one reporter of the cell cycle, but there is a caveat to consider. To decipher each phase of the cell cycle, investigators must follow the nuclear expression pattern of mRuby-PCNA over the length of a cell cycle. Otherwise, in the absence of PCNA puncta, it is difficult to distinguish G1 from G2 based on the nuclear expression of mRuby-PCNA alone. Nonetheless, PCNA is an established reporter of S-phase that can be used to calibrate the CDK activity sensor.

For the quantification of DHB ratios, histone H2B is often used as a nuclear marker to segment the nucleus and cytoplasm (Figure 1E). However, this approach occupies one of the fluorescent channels that could otherwise be used to visualize proteins of interest. An alternative approach to consider is the use of a nuclear envelope (NE) marker that strictly outlines the edge of the nucleus (Figure 1E). In theory, a NE marker tagged with the same fluorescent protein as the CDK activity sensor would open two or more fluorescent channels and facilitate the cytoplasmic-to-nuclear quantification of DHB by minimizing analysis to a single channel. To avoid interfering with the readout of the CDK activity sensor, the brightness and copy number of the fluorescent tag deserves careful consideration. Moreover, a NE marker accurately defines the outer boundary of the nucleus unlike histone H2B. This feature of NE markers could help reduce nuclear DHB signal from the cytoplasmic ring that is used to quantify cytoplasmic DHB [23]. As automated image segmentation methods improve (reviewed in [69]), this approach may overcome challenges associated with segmenting and tracking cells that are moving and dividing over time (Figure 1F).

**Limitations**

Beyond the restriction point and beginning in S-phase, DHB\textsubscript{994-1087} is phosphorylated by both CDK2 and CDK1 [33]. Therefore, the inability to uncouple CDK2 from CDK1 activity is a limitation of the CDK activity sensor. If measuring CDK1 activity is of importance, the CDK1 fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) biosensor is an option. It was first developed in mammalian cells by Gavet and Pines [70], and it has since been applied in Drosophila embryos [71] and Xenopus egg extracts [72]. Another limitation of the CDK activity sensor is that it may become saturated in the presence of high CDK activity. A potential solution is the expression of multiple DHB fragments, with the caveat that they may abduct CDKs from their critical substrates. It is...
also not possible to distinguish early, middle, and late CDK substrates when utilizing the CDK activity sensor, which may impact one’s ability to determine cell-cycle order in plastic situations [73]. For example, during early embryonic development, the gap phases are omitted to accelerate the initial cell cycles [74–76]. The CDK activity sensor alone cannot detect this phenomenon or the future incorporation of gap phases. Despite these limitations, the sensor remains an attractive tool for monitoring the passage of cells through the cell cycle.

**Concluding remarks**

CDK activity sensors have emerged as powerful tools for studying the cell cycle in live cells. These biosensors have a streamlined and versatile design. Only a single fluorescently tagged substrate is required to track the cell cycle of an individual cell. These biosensors can be paired with any fluorescent reporter of the cell cycle or other proteins of interest. In the future, we envision researchers combining the CDK activity sensor with targeted protein degradation approaches, such as the auxin-inducible degron system [77–79], which could provide an outlet for conducting functional studies during the cell cycle [80]. These biosensors are also quantitative. Investigators can measure CDK activity in individual cells by quantifying the cytoplasmic-to-nuclear ratio of DHB using open-source software, such as ImageJ/FIJI [81]. Although quantification of DHB ratios by hand is a rate-limiting step, advances in automated image analysis, machine learning, and better image segmentation will facilitate high-throughput studies that examine the relationship between CDK activity and cell-cycle commitment in development and disease.

**Perspectives**

- CDK activity sensors are single-color, ratiometric biosensors for monitoring the cell cycle of individual cells.
- CDK activity sensors are highly adaptable and can be used in cell culture, orthotopic models, nematodes, fish, and flies.
- We anticipate that these biosensors will contribute to our understanding of cell-cycle control during cell invasion, migration, and growth in morphogenesis and cancer.
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