

| Sport | Item | Facts | Result | B1G/ NCAA |
|-------|---|---|--|-----------|
| WBB | Senior Scholar-Athlete Award & Amateur Status | <p>An Ohio State women's basketball student-athlete graduated at the end of the 2013-14 academic year with one season of eligibility remaining. The student-athlete knew that she was attending medical school in the 2014-15 academic year and did not believe she would have time to participate in intercollegiate athletics. As such, she decided to give up her final year of competitive eligibility and focus on her medical school studies.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the 2013-14 academic year, the student-athlete was chosen to receive a \$5000 institutional postgraduate scholarship as part of the institution's scholar-athlete recognition dinner. The scholarships could only be used in a graduate or professional school program at Ohio State and student-athletes must have exhausted their four years of athletic eligibility by the end of Summer 2014 or forfeit any remaining eligibility in order to apply for the awards. The award was applied to the student-athlete's medical school tuition and fees in the fall 2014 term. Additionally, in the summer of 2014, an individual who owns a sports memorabilia company arranged a paid autograph signing with a few of the seniors on the women's basketball team. Since the student-athlete had graduated and was giving up her final season of eligibility, she was contacted and agreed to sign pictures in exchange for \$150.</p> <p>The student-athlete was aware that the receipt of both the postgraduate scholarship and the autograph signing payment would affect her competitive eligibility and would not have accepted either offer had she believed she could have used her final season of eligibility at that time. Now that the student-athlete is in her first semester of medical school, she believes she has the time to participate in intercollegiate athletics and would like to return to the team.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the institution has declared the student-athlete ineligible. The institution has worked with the NCAA Student-Athlete Reinstatement staff to reinstate the student-athlete's eligibility conditioned on the repayment of \$150 and a withholding condition of 10% (3 games). The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action necessary.</p> | 2/11/2015 |
| WCW | CARA During Institutional Vacation Period | <p>On November 30, 2014, the women's rowing program participated in two hours of skill instruction during an institutional vacation period, outside of the playing season. Women's Rowing Head Coach, Andy Teitelbaum, mistakenly believed that the weekend of November 29-30, 2014, was no longer considered the Thanksgiving vacation period and, therefore, it would be permissible to conduct out-of-season CARA. As such, the team participated in CARA out-of-season during an institutional vacation period.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's rowing coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding CARA. Additionally, in accordance with the NCAA's two-for-one penalty prescription, the women's rowing program will be prohibited from participating in two hours of skill instruction during the week of January 12-17, 2015, and two hours of skill instruction during the week of January 18-24, 2015, which is the first permissible opportunity to engage in CARA after the violation occurred. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action necessary.</p> | 2/12/2015 |
| MGO | CARA During Institutional Vacation Period | <p>On December 17, 2014, Men's Golf Head Coach, Donnie Darr, participated in an individual workout session with a student-athlete for 30 minutes during an institutional vacation period, outside of the playing season. Coach Darr erroneously believed that coaches were permitted to participate in individual workout sessions with student-athletes out-of-season, provided the request for such assistance was initiated by the student-athlete. He was not aware that this rule applied only to summer workout sessions and not all institutional vacation periods. As such, the student-athlete participated in CARA out-of-season during an institutional vacation period.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all men's golf coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding CARA. Additionally, in accordance with the NCAA's two-for-one penalty prescription, the men's golf program will be prohibited from participating in one hour of CARA during the week of January 12-17, 2015, which is the first permissible opportunity to engage in CARA after the violation occurred. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action necessary.</p> | 2/12/2015 |

| | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|--|-----------|
| WGO | NLI Recruiting Ban | On January 3, 2015, Head Women's Golf Coach, Therese Hession, received a text message from another institution's NLI signee. Coach Hession knew that the prospect had signed with another institution but did not think about the NLI recruiting ban provision prior to responding. As a result a violation of the NLI "Recruiting Ban After Signing" provision occurred, as it is not permissible for an institution to communicate with a prospective student-athlete after he or she has signed an NLI with another institution. | INST - In response to the violation, the Compliance Office will provide a letter of education to the women's golf staff to emphasize the rules regarding NLI's and recruiting. NLI - No further action necessary. | 2/12/2015 |
| WCW | Impermissible Email | On January 27, 2015, Women's Rowing Assistant Coach, Maddie Davis, accidentally sent an email to a 2017 prospective student-athlete. Prior to sending the prospect an email, Coach Davis looked at the roster for the prospect's club team, which listed her as turning 18 in September 2015. As such, Coach Davis believed that Emily would graduate high school in either 2015 or 2016. However, the prospect responded to Coach Davis's email stating that she is 16 and does not graduate high school until 2017. Once Coach Davis realized that the email was sent to a pre-contactable prospect, she immediately self-reported the violation to the Compliance Office. | INST - In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's rowing coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding recruiting and electronic correspondence. Additionally, the institution will prohibit the women's rowing coaches from contacting the prospect until September 15, 2015 (two weeks after the first permissible date to begin contacting her). The institution believes that no further action is necessary. NCAA - No further action necessary. | 2/18/2015 |
| MFB | Impermissible Travel Expenses | A walk-on football student-athlete was inadvertently permitted to travel with the football team to the Sugar Bowl. The student-athlete was not eligible to travel, as he is currently serving a year-of-residence for not meeting 4-2-4 transfer requirements. On December 23, 2014, the Compliance Office requested that the University Registrar certify the football team's eligibility for bowl travel. The University Registrar indicated that everyone was certified as eligible to travel. However, the University Registrar was focused on academic eligibility (e.g., six-hour rule) and did not note those ineligible due to transfer residency requirements. This was an institutional oversight in an otherwise effective athletic certification process. The team, including the ineligible student-athlete, departed for the Sugar Bowl on December 27, 2014. The violation was discovered when the Compliance Office and University Registrar were reviewing the travel list for the College Football Playoff Championship Game. | INST - In response to the violation, the institution has reviewed its process to certify eligibility for competition travel and the University Registrar and football staff will now individually review each football student-athlete for postseason competition eligibility. The Compliance Office will then perform a 100% audit of all records prior to certification. Additionally, the institution will ensure appropriate in-person education of all involved parties emphasizing the processes regarding athletic certification and emphasize the need for an audit of each student-athlete's certification status. The institution believes that no further action is necessary. NCAA - No further action necessary. | 2/20/2015 |
| WSB | Publicity Before Commitment | On December 3, 2014, Women's Softball Assistant Coach, Jenna Hall, retweeted the tweet of a 2015 prospective student-athlete. Once the Compliance Office discovered the impermissible retweet, they immediately notified the coaching staff to remove the impermissible posting from the team's Twitter account. | INST - In response to the violation, the institution will issue a letter of education to all softball coaches and staff emphasizing the rules surrounding publicity of prospective student-athletes before commitment. Furthermore, the retweet was removed from the women's softball Twitter account. The institution believes no further action is necessary. NCAA - No further action necessary. | 2/23/2015 |
| MFB | Impermissible Phone Call | On January 5, 2015, Football Assistant Coach 1 called and spoke with a 2015 prospective student-athlete. That same week, Assistant Coach 2 asked the Head Coach to call the same prospect. The Head Coach called and left a message for the prospect on January 7, 2015. Assistant Coach 2 asked the Head Coach to call prospect because he believed that prospect called Assistant Coach 1 on January 5, 2015, and not the other way around. As such, Assistant Coach 2 did not know that the program had already used their one phone call for that week when he asked the Head Coach to make the call. Additionally, the Head Coach did not make the call through the JumpForward phone application, thus did not receive a warning that prospect's one call per week had already been used. | INST : In response to the violation, the Compliance Office provided a letter of education to the football staff to emphasize the rules regarding telephone calls to prospective student-athletes and how to avoid violations of this nature in the future. The institution also precluded the men's football staff from call the prospect for a two-week period. Finally, the Director of Athletics reminded the football staff to make all recruiting calls through the JumpForward phone application. NCAA : No further action required. | 3/20/2015 |

| | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|-----------|
| WVB | Impermissible General Correspondence | On February 12, 2015, the women's volleyball program sent a general correspondence to a 2017 prospective student-athlete. During a coaching and staff transition in January 2015, the prospect was accidentally mislabeled as a 2016 prospect in the program's recruiting database. When the women's volleyball program's new Director of Operations used the 2016 recruiting database to send out a recruit mailing, the prospect was included on the mailing list and was impermissibly sent a general correspondence. | INST: In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's volleyball coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding recruiting and general correspondence. Additionally, the institution will prohibit the women's volleyball coaches from contacting the prospect until September 15, 2015 (two weeks after the first permissible date to begin contacting her). The institution believes that no further action is necessary. NCAA: No further action necessary. | 3/23/2015 |
| MFB | Promotions After Becoming a Student-Athlete | On March 24, 2015, a football student-athlete posted a picture to his Instagram account which showcased a commercial nutritional supplement. Within a couple of hours of posting the photo, the Compliance Office became aware of the violation and immediately notified the student-athlete to remove the picture from his account. | INST: In response to the violation, the Compliance Office met with the student-athlete, the football coaches, and staff to provide additional education related to the incident. Further, the student-athlete was immediately declared ineligible until reinstated by the NCAA. NCAA: No further action required. | 4/2/2015 |
| WVB | Institutional Recruiting Funds | On February 26 and February 27, 2015, Women's Volleyball Assistant Coach, Jay Van Vark, shared a hotel room with a coach from another institution (Coach 2) during a recruiting trip. Coach 2 paid for the entire cost of the hotel room at the culmination of the recruiting trip. As a result, a violation of NCAA Bylaw 13.14.1 occurred, since all funds for the recruiting of prospective student-athletes must be provided by the institution. | INST: In response to the violation, the institution will provide a letter of education to all women's volleyball coaches and staff to emphasize the rules regarding the use of institutional funds during the recruitment of prospective student-athletes. Additionally, the institution will provide education to all coaches and staff regarding recruiting funds. The institution believes that no further action is necessary. NCAA: No further action necessary. | 4/21/2015 |
| MFB | Impermissible Promotion of a HS Coach | On February 20, 2015, the football coaching staff from an Ohio high school visited the Woody Hayes Athletic Center. During a tour of the facility, the high school coaches asked Football Assistant Coach, Kerry Coombs, to take a picture of them in front of the College Football Playoff National Championship Trophy. Of note, Coach Coombs is friends with the high school coaches. Coach Coombs took the picture for the coaches and then posted the photo to his Twitter account. About 15 minutes after Coach Coombs posted the photo, the Compliance Office became aware of the violation and immediately notified Coach Coombs to remove the tweet from his account. | INST - In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all football coaches and staff. Additionally, the Compliance Office met with the football coaches and staff to provide additional education related to the incident. NCAA - No further action required. | 4/21/2015 |
| WCW | Impermissible Email | On May 5, 2015, Women's Rowing Assistant Coach, Maddie Davis, accidentally sent an email to a 2017 prospective student-athlete. Coach Davis was responding to a large volume of emails from contactable prospects and did not think to check whether the prospect was of contactable age prior to responding to her email. Once Coach Davis realized that the email was sent to a pre-contactable prospect, she immediately self-reported the violation to the Compliance Office. | INST: In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's rowing coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding recruiting and electronic correspondence. Additionally, the institution will prohibit the women's rowing coaches from contacting the prospect until September 15, 2015 (two weeks after the first permissible date to begin contacting her). The institution believes that no further action is necessary. NCAA: No further action required. | 5/27/2015 |

| | | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|---|---|-----------|
| WLA | Competition While Ineligible | <p>On January 27, 2015, a women's lacrosse student-athlete asked her academic advisor to change her graded elective course (CSE 1110 for two credits) to a pass/fail class. The student-athlete's academic advisor misinterpreted the request and changed the course to the audit option. On February 11, 2015, the Athletic Academic Eligibility Coordinator alerted the Compliance Office that the student-athlete's elective course changed from graded to audited. In that time, the student-athlete participated in two competitions while enrolled less than full-time. Once the Compliance Office confirmed that auditing a course does not count toward full-time enrollment, they attempted to contact the women's lacrosse coaches prior to the team's next competition and were unsuccessful. As such, the student-athlete also participated in one competition while enrolled less than full-time after the Compliance Office discovered the violation.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution will provide a letter of education to all athletic academic staff and academic advisors emphasizing the rules regarding full-time enrollment. This topic was addressed at the institution's Continuing Eligibility Subcommittee meeting. Further, in accordance with the standard penalties for Level III violations, the institution will submit a payment of \$1,500 for playing an ineligible student-athlete in three contests during the Spring 2015 term. The institution will continue to provide continuing eligibility education to student-athletes, as well. The student-athlete was also declared immediately ineligible until she was reinstated by the NCAA. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action necessary.</p> | 6/11/2015 |
| MIH | Camp during dead period | <p>On April 16, 2015, the Compliance Office met with the men's ice hockey coaching staff for a regularly-scheduled education session. After the meeting, a Men's Ice Hockey Assistant Coach stated that a camp was being conducted during a dead period. Because it is not permissible for an institutional camp to be conducted during a dead period, the compliance director determined that a violation occurred.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution required the men's ice hockey coaches to use a contact for each prospect in attendance at the camp, which will count as one the seven permissible recruiting opportunities per prospect. Further, the institution provided a letter of education to all men's ice hockey coaches and staff, and the Camps Office staff, emphasizing the rules regarding institutional camps and recruiting calendar restrictions. The institution has also provided additional education to the Camps Office staff on recruiting calendar restrictions. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: The institution is required to reduce the number of permissible contacts by one.</p> | 6/11/2015 |
| MIH | Complimentary tickets | <p>On December 5, 2014, the men's ice hockey team competed against the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The complimentary admission pass list for the game included a youth hockey team (ages 8-9) from Columbus, Ohio, that was playing in Ann Arbor the same weekend. Men's Ice Hockey Associate Head Coach, Brett Larson, has a son that competes on the youth team and was under the assumption that it would be permissible to include the entire team on their pass list. On January 20, 2015, the compliance director for men's ice hockey received the pass list for the University of Michigan competition and noticed that the youth team was included on the pass list. The usual procedure for complimentary pass lists was not followed and the Compliance Office received the pass list after the game had occurred. All complimentary admissions pass lists are now reviewed prior to the competition occurring.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution provided the men's ice hockey coaches and staff with a letter of education outlining the rules concerning complimentary tickets for away-from-home competitions. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action necessary.</p> | 6/11/2015 |
| WVB | Impermissible Phone Call | <p>On May 30, 2015, Women's Volleyball Head Coach, Geoff Carlston, accidentally made a phone call to a 2017 prospective student-athlete. Coach Carlston was trying to make a permissible call to a 2015 incoming student-athlete—who has the same name as the 2017 prospect—through the hands free option in his vehicle. However, he accidentally called the 2017 prospect and spoke with her father for several minutes, thinking it was the father of the incoming student-athlete. Once Coach Carlston realized that he placed a call to a pre-contactable prospect, he immediately hung up the phone and then self-reported the violation to the Compliance Office.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's volleyball coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding recruiting and telephone calls. Additionally, the institution will prohibit the women's volleyball coaches from contacting the prospect until September 15, 2015 (two weeks after the first permissible date to begin contacting her). The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action required.</p> | 7/10/2015 |

| | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------|
| WBB | Impermissible Meals on Official Visit | <p>From September 25-27, 2014, a prospective women's basketball student-athlete visited the institution for an official visit. During the visit, the prospect's mother and stepfather stayed in their designated hotel for meals and did not attend scheduled meals planned by the institution, except for one dinner on September 26, 2014. At the hotel, the prospect's mother and stepfather ordered room service and ate at the hotel's restaurant, charging all expenses to the hotel room. The prospective student-athlete ate all meals with the team, coaches, and/or host. After an audit of the official visit paperwork, the Compliance Office determined that the meals the prospect's mother and stepfather charged to the hotel room were in excess of the institution's \$50/meal policy and, therefore, were not comparable to those provided to student-athletes during the academic year. As a result, the institution determined that the prospect received an impermissible benefit of \$252.16 due to the unreasonable meal expenses.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution has declared the prospect ineligible until she repays the value of the impermissible benefit (\$252.16) and is reinstated by the NCAA Student-Athlete Reinstatement staff. Additionally, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's basketball coaches and staff regarding policies for providing meals to prospective student-athletes and the prospective student-athletes' parents, legal guardians, spouses, or children during an official visit. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action necessary.</p> | 7/10/2015 |
| MBA | Declaration of Playing Season | <p>On September 2, 2014, the baseball team started its fall conditioning. Prior to the first day of fall conditioning, the current Compliance Office staff member with baseball oversight did not send the Playing and Practice Season Declaration Form to the baseball coaches and staff for completion. According to NCAA Bylaw 17.1.3, a written declaration of the playing and practice season must be on file with the Compliance Office prior to the first day of practice. As such, the baseball team's Playing and Practice Season Declaration Form was not completed and filed with the Compliance Office and a violation occurred. The head coach, with no prompting from the Compliance Office, submitted the form on September 24, 2014, the day the violation was discovered by the Compliance Office.</p> | <p>INST: In response to this violation, the institution provided a letter of education to the Compliance Office staff member emphasizing the rules regarding playing and practice season declarations. Additionally, the Compliance Office developed a checklist of playing and practice season start dates to ensure the Playing and Practice Season Declaration Form is submitted prior to the first day of practice.</p> <p>The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action necessary.</p> | 7/14/2015 |
| MFB | Publicity Before Commitment | <p>On March 29, 2015, a football student-athlete posted on his Twitter account about a 2016 prospective student-athlete. In response to another person's tweet about the prospect, the student-athlete posted: "need to get him here, met him Saturday." The Compliance Office had the student-athlete to remove the Twitter post.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution provided letters of education to all football coaches and staff and to all student-athletes emphasizing the rules regarding the publicity of prospective student-athletes prior to commitment. The institution will continue providing in-person social media education to all football student-athletes. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action required.</p> | 7/15/2015 |
| MBB | Impermissible Participation | <p>On August 28, 2014, the Compliance Office staff member with men's basketball oversight learned that a men's basketball student-athlete received competition-related expenses and competed in two exhibition contests on the team's summer tour prior to receiving his final academic certification from the NCAA Eligibility Center. The student-athlete attended two high schools and the Eligibility Center did not accept the grades from his first high school on the second high school's transcript. The student-athlete's certification was delayed due to difficulties with the first high school providing an acceptable transcript to the Eligibility Center. He was eventually certified as a final qualifier on October 3, 2014. However, the student-athlete's participation in the practices associated with the summer tour triggered his 45-day temporary certification period. As such, the student-athlete also participated in five practices after this period expired and received athletically-related financial aid prior to his final academic certification.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution withheld the student-athlete from six practice sessions. NCAA Student-Athlete Reinstatement did not prescribe any additional penalties for the student-athlete. The institution will submit a payment of \$1,000 (\$500/game) for playing a student-athlete prior to academic certification. Further, the institution has developed a process whereby competition eligibility will now be certified by the University Registrar prior to student-athlete participation on summer tours, which has not been done in the past. Additionally, the institution provided the Compliance Office staff member with men's basketball oversight, who was then new to the institution, a letter of education emphasizing the rules regarding academic certification. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action necessary.</p> | 7/15/2015 |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|-----------|
| MFB | Promotional Activity Violation | <p>On June 6, 2015, a football student-athlete attended the Joe Haden Celebrity Charity Softball Game in Cleveland, Ohio. Prior to attending the celebrity game, the student-athlete was told by the Compliance Office and football staff that he was neither permitted to attend nor play in the game. The student-athlete told the Compliance Office and football staff that he would not attend the game. However, on the morning of the event, the student-athlete called his position coach and asked if he could attend the celebrity game. The coach gave the student-athlete permission to attend the game provided that he did not play. As such, the student-athlete attended the celebrity game, appeared on the field, but did not play in the game. Because the institution did not provide written approval for the student-athlete to appear at the Joe Haden Celebrity Charity Softball Game, a violation occurred.</p> | <p>INST: In response to this violation, a letter of education was provided to all football coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding promotional activities. Further, appropriate procedures for promotional activities were reviewed with all football student-athletes and staff members. The Compliance Office also reviewed all applicable rules with the student-athlete involved in this case. In accordance with NCAA Bylaw 12.5.1.1.6, this violation does not affect the student-athlete's eligibility, so the institution will not need to request reinstatement of the student-athlete. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action necessary.</p> | 8/11/2015 |
| WSW | Comments Before Commitment | <p>During September and October of 2013, Women's Swimming Director of Operations, Amanda Smith, wrote several articles commenting on the athletics abilities of prospective student-athletes who had not yet signed National Letters of Intent or submitted financial deposits in response to Ohio State's offer of admissions. Amanda had been working as a contributor for the swimming website "Swim Swam," which reports on swimming news stories at different levels from across the country. Some of Amanda's articles were related to swimming news generally, while others reported on prospects 'verbally committing' to institutions including Ohio State. Amanda's affiliation with Swim Swam was completely unrelated to her position with Ohio State, and neither the swimming staff nor the compliance staff were aware of her employment arrangement with Swim Swam. Amanda immediately ceased contributing articles about prospects to Swim Swam when informed the arrangement was impermissible. Additionally, Amanda is no longer employed with the institution for reasons unrelated to this violation.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the institution will provide a letter of education to the women's swimming staff to emphasize the rules concerning publicizing prospective student-athletes prior to commitment. The institution will also provide additional education to all staff members regarding prospect publicity.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action.</p> | 9/1/2015 |
| MSW | Impermissible Phone Call | <p>During the week of November 9-15, 2014, Head Men's Swimming Coach, Bill Wadley, made two phone calls to a 2014 prospective student-athlete, with the impermissible call being placed on November 12, 2014. Coach Wadley mistakenly believed that it was permissible to place unlimited calls to prospects on the initial letter-of-intent signing date and two days prior, which is when the impermissible call was placed. The Letter-of-Intent Signing-Day Exception actually allows for unlimited phone calls to prospects on the initial letter-of-intent signing date and two days after. The prospect signed an NLI with Ohio State and is currently enrolled in his first term of full-time enrollment at the institution.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the Compliance Office will provide a letter of education to the men's swimming staff to emphasize the rules regarding telephone calls to prospects and how to avoid violations of this nature in the future. Additionally, the institution precluded the men's swimming program from calling any prospects for a one week period (December 7-13, 2014). The institution believes no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action.</p> | 9/1/2015 |
| WTR | Lodging in the Locale of the Institution | <p>On September 4, 2014, a prospective student-athlete arrived in the locale of the institution for her official visit to Ohio State. The prospect's mother, stepfather, father, and stepmother accompanied her on the visit. Since the prospect arrived in the locale of the institution too late for her official visit to begin, the institution provided her with lodging at an off-campus hotel for that evening. Because the prospect's parents are divorced, the prospect, her mother, and stepfather stayed in the hotel room. The mother and stepfather incurred no additional charges by staying in this room. The institution provided an additional hotel room for the prospect's father and stepmother in the same hotel at the rate of \$147.00 per night. The women's track and field staff erroneously believed that it was permissible to provide an additional hotel room for the father and stepmother because the prospect's parents are divorced.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the prospect has been declared ineligible until she repays the value of the benefit (\$147.00). She has since signed with another institution and Ohio State does not anticipate requesting reinstatement at this time. In addition, the institution provided the women's track and field program with a letter of education outlining the rules concerning official visit lodging. Finally, the Compliance Office provided additional educational to the women's track and field program as a reminder of what the institution can provide to a prospect and their parents the evening before an official visit begins and will provide the same education to all coaches.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action.</p> | 9/1/2015 |

| | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------|
| DIV | Recruiting Inducement | <p>On October 22, 2014, the women's diving program paid for the plane ticket of the parent of prospective student-athlete to accompany the prospect on her official visit on October 23, 2014. Specifically, the women's diving program paid \$654.73 for the parent's plane ticket. The women's diving program erroneously believed that, because the institution is permitted to reimburse a prospect for mileage when a parent is in the car, the institution was also permitted to pay for the plane ticket of a parent. The prospect has committed to Ohio State and has been declared ineligible until reinstated by the NCAA.</p> | <p>INST - In response to the violation, the prospect has repaid the value of the benefit (\$654.73) and the institution has requested NCAA reinstatement. Pursuant to NCAA reinstatement guidelines, the institution will withhold the prospect from the first two competitions of the 2015-16 academic year. In addition, the institution provided the women's diving program with a letter of education outlining the rules concerning official visit reimbursements. Further, the Compliance Office provided additional education to the women's diving program as a reminder of what the institution can provide a prospect and his or her parents during an official visit.</p> <p>NCAA - No further action.</p> | 9/1/2015 |
| WSO | Impermissible Text | <p>On November 24, 2014, Women's Soccer Head Coach communicated through text message with a 2017 prospective student-athlete. The conversation continued for five days, as Coach was under the impression that the prospect was a 2016 graduate. While Coach was not actively recruiting the prospect, she wanted to set up a meeting during a visit to campus with her father. When Coach found a team profile sheet that listed the prospect as a 2017 high school graduate on December 12, 2014, she self-reported the violation to the Compliance Office.</p> | <p>INST:In response to the violation, the institution provided a letter of education to all women's soccer coaches and staff emphasizing the rules regarding recruiting and electronic correspondence. Additionally, the institution will prohibit the women's soccer coaches from contacting the prospect until September 15, 2015 (two weeks after the first permissible date to begin contacting her). The institution believes that no further action is necessary</p> <p>NCAA:</p> | 9/1/2015 |
| WSO | CARA After Competition | <p>On October 29, 2014, the women's soccer coaches conducted film review for 2.5 hours after a competition. On November 18, 2014, the Compliance Office discovered the violation through a review of the team's countable athletically related activity (CARA) logs on JumpForward and confirmed with the women's soccer coaches that a violation had occurred.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution issued a letter of education to the women's soccer staff emphasizing the legislation that prohibits any countable athletic related activities after a competition. Additionally, in accordance with the NCAA's two-for-one penalty prescription, plus an additional hour prescribed by the Compliance Office, the women's soccer team was prohibited from participating in six hours of countable athletically related activities during the week of January 12, 2015. The institution believes no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA:No further action.</p> | 9/1/2015 |
| WGY | Impermissible Meals on Official Visit | <p>On October 17, 2014, two women's gymnastics prospective student-athletes arrived at Ohio State to begin their official visit on October 17, 2014. The prospects' parents and two brothers accompanied them on the visit. When the two families checked into the Hilton Garden Inn, they were handed vouchers for breakfast. The hotel told the families that the vouchers were coupons for breakfast that could be used by the entire family. The voucher itself said that it was a "Complimentary Breakfast for Entire Party." However, the vouchers actually added the total cost of the meals, including the two brothers' breakfasts, to each family's hotel bill. On November 3, 2014, during a review of the official visit paperwork, the Compliance Office discovered that the institution had potentially paid for the breakfasts of the two siblings. The Compliance Office reached out to the Head Women's Gymnastics Coach to confirm with each family whether the brothers had paid for their own meals. The parents of the two prospects responded that the brothers used the breakfast vouchers during the official visit.</p> | <p>INST: In response to the violation, the institution provided the women's gymnastics program with a letter of education outlining the rules concerning official visit meals. The institution requests that the prospects not be required to pay back the value of the meals, as the institution, prospects, and the prospect's families were unaware of the hotel's meal voucher policy. Additionally, the institution contacted the Hilton Garden Inn and requested that they no longer provide meal vouchers to the families of prospective student-athletes. The institution believes that no further action is necessary.</p> <p>NCAA: No further action.</p> | 9/1/2015 |