Electromagnetically induced Talbot effect
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By modulating transmission function of a weak probe field via a strong control standing wave, an electromagnetically induced grating can be created in the probe channel. Such a nonmaterial grating may lead to self-imaging of ultracold atoms or molecules in the Fresnel near-field regime. This work may offer a nondestructive and lensless way to image ultracold atoms or molecules. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3559610]

Optical imaging offers a powerful diagnostic methodology for a variety of experiments involving ultracold atoms and molecules. Two methods, on/off-resonant absorption imaging, are often chosen to image the atomic or molecular cloud. On-resonant absorption imaging is predominant, despite its limited dynamic range and recoil heating. Although off-resonant phase-imaging techniques allow nondestructive and quantitative imaging of Bose–Einstein condensates (BECs), traditional approaches usually require precisely aligned phase plates or interferometers. In this paper, we propose another type of lensless imaging scheme, electromagnetically induced Talbot effect (EITE) or electromagnetically induced self-imaging, for ultracold atoms and molecules. This work might broaden variety applications in imaging techniques and be useful for atom lithography as well.

The proposed scheme to conduct lensless imaging of ultracold atoms and molecules relies on periodically manipulating transmission and dispersion profiles of a weak probe field under the condition of EIT. The basic idea is to utilize a strong control standing wave to modify the optical response of the medium to the weak probe field, i.e., electromagnetically induced grating. Such an induced nonmaterial grating thus leads to self-images of atoms and molecules in the Fresnel diffraction region. Conventional Talbot effect relates the self-imaging of periodic objects without using any optical components. Images observed in the Fresnel near-field regime are repeated along or backward along the illumination direction depending on whether the light is transmitted or reflected. Recent renewed interest in this remarkable phenomenon, Talbot effect, is motivated by the progress made on atomic waves, quantum carpets, Gauss sums, x-ray phase-imaging, nonclassical field under the condition of EIT. The basic idea is to utilize imaging techniques and be useful for atom lithography as well.
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The linear susceptibility of the system at the probe frequency is now

\[
\chi = \frac{N\mu^2}{2\hbar \epsilon_0} \Omega_p^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) - (\Delta_+ + i \gamma_p)(\Delta_+ + i \gamma_p),
\]

where \(\Delta_+ = \Delta_p - \Delta_c\) is the two-photon detuning and \(a\) is the spatial period of the standing wave along the \(x\) direction perpendicular to the propagation direction \(z\). In principle, \(a\) can be made arbitrarily larger than the wavelength \(\lambda_p\) of the probe field by varying the angle between the two wave vectors of the control beams. The propagation dynamics of the probe field within the cloud obeys the Maxwell’s equation and its transmission at the output surface is
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FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) A closed three-level \(\Lambda\)-type atomic system for EITE. (b) Configuration of forming an electromagnetically induced grating (EIG). (c) Snapshot of the EIG in (b).
nodes, the probe field experiences a rapid phase change in Figure 2.

simplicity, the probe field is assumed to be a plane wave. In fact, because of the periodicity exhibited in Eq. (1), the diffraction amplitude \( E_p(X, Z) \) is determined by \( E_p(x, L) \). For conventional Talbot effect, if \( E_p \) is a one-dimensional periodic function, self-imaging of the object then repeats at every Talbot plane. In contrast, as the field intensity there is very weak. The converse holds for an optical depth \( n \geq 8 \).

FIG. 2. (Color online) The output profile of the probe field, \( E_p(L, x) \), as a function of \( x \). (a) An amplitude grating: optical depth \( n = 20 \), \( \Omega = 10 \gamma_a \) (upper curve) and optical depth \( n = 4 \), \( \Omega = 3 \gamma_a \) (lower curve). Other parameters are \( \Delta_p = \Delta_z = 0 \), \( \gamma_a = 0.3 \gamma_c \), and \( a = 10 \mu m \). (b) A hybrid grating: optical depth \( n = 8 \), \( \Delta_p = 2 \gamma_a \), \( \Delta_z = 0 \), \( \Omega = 10 \gamma_a \), and \( \gamma_a = 0.3 \gamma_c \).

\[
E_p(x, L) = E_p(x, 0) e^{-k_p x^2/2L} e^{i k_p x^2/2L},
\]

where \( \chi = \chi' + i \chi'' \) and \( E_p(x, 0) \) is the input probe profile. For simplicity, the probe field is assumed to be a plane wave. Figure 2(a) displays two typical profiles of the probe field at the output surface as \( \Delta_p = \Delta_z = 0 \). At the transverse locations around the nodes (of the standing wave), the probe beam is absorbed according to the usual Beer law because the control field intensity there is very weak. In contrast, at the transverse locations around the antinodes, the probe is absorbed much less due to the EIT effect. This leads to a periodic amplitude modulation across the probe profile, a phenomenon reminiscent of the amplitude grating. If the probe field is detuned off the resonance, a phase modulation will also be introduced to its output profile. Figure 2(b) shows, over several periods, the transmission function \( |E_p(L,x)| \) (upper curve) and phase \( \Phi \) (lower curve) as \( \Delta_p = 2 \gamma_a \) and \( \Delta_z = 0 \). At nodes, the probe field experiences a rapid phase change in contrast to Figure 2(a) where no phase modulation is introduced.

Using the Fresnel–Kirchhoff diffusion integral, the output probe field \( E_p \) at a distance \( Z \) from the output surface of the medium is proportional to

\[
E_p(X, Z) \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(x, L) e^{i k_p (Z + x^2/2Z) - i x X} dx
\]

where \( x \) and \( X \) are the coordinates in the object and observation planes, respectively. From Eq. (3) the diffraction amplitude \( E_p(X, Z) \) is determined by \( E_p(x, L) \). For conventional Talbot effect, if \( E_p \) is a one-dimensional periodic function, self-imaging of the object then repeats at every Talbot plane. In fact, because of the periodicity exhibited in Eq. (1) it may be recast into Fourier series,

\[
E_p(x, L) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} C_m e^{i 2\pi m(x/a)},
\]

where \( C_m \) is the coefficient of the \( n \)th harmonic. Its detailed format may be obtained by setting \( y = e^{i 2\pi (x/a)} \), representing \( C_m \) in terms of the contour integral around the unit circle, and calculating the residue at 0. By substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3) and completing the integral, we recover the traditional Talbot effect,

\[
E_p(X, Z) \propto \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} C_m e^{-i m \pi a Z^2 / a^2} e^{i 2\pi m X/a}.
\]

Some conclusions are immediately in order from Eq. (5).

First, in the planes \( Z_T = m a^2 / \lambda_p \), where \( m \) denotes a positive integer referred to as the self-imaging number, the field amplitude matches the amplitude at the output plane of the ensemble \( z=L \). At these planes, all the diffraction orders are in phase. In the case of \( m \) being odd integers, the self-images...
are shifted half a period with respect to the even-number orders. Second, the localization of the planes with best visibility is independent of the magnitude of the phase modulation due to the EIT effect. It coincides with the self-image planes. In general, the axial repetition period $\alpha^2/\lambda_p$ of the Fresnel diffraction is preserved. Third, there are no planes where only images of phase modulation occur.

The validity of Eq. (4) can be verified by numerically evaluating Eq. (3) with use of Eq. (2). For instance, in Fig. 3(a), we gave the spatial distribution of the diffraction amplitude at the first Talbot plane ($m=1$) by setting $\Delta_x=\Delta_z=0$, i.e., an amplitude grating. From the figure, it is obvious that the object image is shifted laterally half a period with respect to the output profile of the probe field [see Fig. 2(a)], which agrees with the theoretical prediction described above. This further proves the correctness of Eq. (4) using the Fourier series expansion, which allows the analytical analysis on the effect. The visibility at the Talbot planes approaches almost unity in such an amplitude grating case. The spatial profile of the diffracted probe beam at the $m=1$ plane shown in Fig. 3(b) gave another case that by detuning it off the resonance, an induced hybrid (both amplitude and phase) grating can be generated and experienced by the input probe light. One can notice that the location of the Talbot plane coincides with the amplitude-grating case and is independent of the introduced phase modulation, except the maximum amplitude contrast is decreased [compared with Fig. 2(b)]. All of these agree well with the prediction drawn from Eq. (5).

In summary, we theoretically propose an idea to image the cold atoms with EITE. The effect is capable of lensless imaging and may reduce the influence from vibrations in the experiment. Thus, it could be useful for imaging BEC on chip and optical lattice. In practice, the imaging quality may be affected by the finite dimensions of the standing wave and the size of the input probe field. For visible light, the Talbot length here is about several tens of millimeters. Therefore, a second imaging process would be necessary to magnify the self-images as implemented in Ref. 15. We also notice that our scheme might be possible to study the non-spreading wave packets as demonstrated in Ref. 20. Further development of this proposal will be presented elsewhere.

Besides, our recent research indicate that such a configuration is also useful for quantum information science.
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