# Radial Basis Functions for Computational Geosciences\*



Grady B. Wright Department of Mathematics Boise State University

Natasha Flyer Institute for Mathematics Applied to Geosciences National Center for Atmospheric Research

\*This work is supported by NSF CMG grants ATM 0801309 and DMS 0934581

Topics to cover:

- Brief introduction to interpolation with Radial Basis Functions (RBFs).
- Shallow water wave equations on a rotating sphere.
- Thermal convection in a 3D spherical shell: mantle convection.
- Reconstruction and decomposition of geophysically relevant vector fields.





 $\frac{\text{Key idea}: \text{ linear combination of translates}}{\text{and rotations of a single radial function:}} f$ 





f



f



f





Interpolant:

$$s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_{j} \phi(\epsilon ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j}||), \quad s(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = f_{k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

Linear system for expansion coefficients:

Guaranteed positive-definite for appropriate  $\phi(r)$ 

• Extends easily to higher dimensions, e.g. in 3-D:  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j}\| = \sqrt{(x - x_{j})^{2} + (y - y_{j})^{2} + (z - z_{j})^{2}}$ 

x

## Introduction to RBFs via interpolation

**RBF Interpolant/approximant**: 
$$s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_j \phi(\epsilon ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j||)$$

• Classes and examples of radial functions:



#### Bottom line regarding RBFs:

- 1. High algorithmic simplicity
- 2. Independent of dimension
- 3. Independent of coordinate system

## Shallow water wave equations on a rotating sphere



Collaborators:

Natasha Flyer, Institute for Mathematics Applied to Geosciences, NCAR Erik Lehto, Dept. of Information Technology, Uppsala University, Sweden Sébastien Blaise, Institute for Mathematics Applied to Geosciences, NCAR Amik St-Cyr, Royal Dutch Shell, Houston, Texas Interpolation in a box



Interpolation on the sphere



Interpolant does not change:  $s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_{j} \phi(\epsilon ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j}||), \quad s(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = f_{k}, \ k = 1, \dots, N$ 

## Examples of different optimal point sets on the sphere Mathematics in the Geosciences





J. Baumgardner and P. Frederickson, Icosehedral discretization of the two- sphere, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 22 (1985), 1107–1115.



R. Swinbank and R.J. Purser. Fibonacci girds: A novel approach to global modeling. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 132, 1769-1793, 2006.



E.B. Saff and A.B.J. Kuijlaars. Distributing many points on a sphere. *Mathematical Intelligencer*, 19(1), 5-11, 1997.

#### Minimum Energy



D. P. Hardin and E. B. Saff. Discretizing manifolds via minimum energy points. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51:1186–1194, 2004.
R.S. Womersley and I.H. Sloan,

http://web.maths.unsw.edu.au/~rsw/Sphere/

#### Shallow water equations (SWE) on a rotating sphere Mathematics in the Geosciences

Oct. 3-6, 2011

• Model for the nonlinear dynamics of a shallow, hydrostatic, homogeneous, and inviscid fluid layer.





• Idealized test-bed for the horizontal dynamics of all 3-D global climate models.

| Equations                | Momentum  | Transport   |  |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Spherical coordinates    | $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}_s \cdot \nabla_s \mathbf{u}_s + f \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u}_s + g \nabla_s h = 0$   | $\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial t} + \nabla_s \cdot (h^* \mathbf{u}_s) = 0$ |  |
|                          | Singularity at poles!   |   |  |
| Cartesian<br>coordinates | $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_c}{\partial t} + P \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{u}_c \cdot P\nabla_c)u_c + f(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{u}_c) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}} + g(P\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \nabla_c)h \\ (\mathbf{u}_c \cdot P\nabla_c)v_c + f(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{u}_c) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} + g(P\hat{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \nabla_c)h \\ (\mathbf{u}_c \cdot P\nabla_c)w_c + f(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{u}_c) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} + g(P\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla_c)h \end{bmatrix} = 0  \frac{\partial h^*}{\partial t} + (P\nabla_c) \cdot (h^*\mathbf{u}_c) = 0$ |   |  |
|                          | Smooth o  | ver entire sphere!  |  |

Mathematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6. 2011

#### Governing equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_c}{\partial t} = -P \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{u}_c \cdot P\nabla_c)u_c + f(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{u}_c) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}} + g(P\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \nabla_c)h \\ (\mathbf{u}_c \cdot P\nabla_c)v_c + f(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{u}_c) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} + g(P\hat{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \nabla_c)h \\ (\mathbf{u}_c \cdot P\nabla_c)w_c + f(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{u}_c) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} + g(P\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla_c)h \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial t} = -(P\nabla_c) \cdot (h^* \mathbf{u}_c)$$



Procedure: Collocation and Method-of-Lines:

- 1. Choose some "nice" discretization of the sphere:
- 2. Approximate continuous differential operators at the nodes with discrete operators (differentiation matrices) using RBF interpolants

$$s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_j \phi(\epsilon ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j||)$$

- 3. Replace unknowns with pointwise values and continuous operators with differentiation matrices.
  - Governing equations are satisfied pointwise at the nodes (collocation).
- 4. Advance the system in time using some "standard" ODE method.

## Numerical Example I

Forcing terms added to the shallow water equations to generate a flow that mimics a short wave trough embedded in a westerly jet. (Test case 4 of Williamson *et. al.* 1992)

Initial velocity field  $\mathbf{a}$ 

Initial geopotential height field



#### Errors after trough travels once around the sphere

Mathematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

• Results of the RBF Shallow Water Model: (N. Flyer and G.B. Wright. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 2009)

Error as a function of time and N



Error height field, t = 5 days



N = 3136, white  $< 10^{-5}$ Error (exact - numerical)

| Method         | N      | Time step  | Relative <i>l</i> <sub>2</sub> error |
|----------------|--------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| RBF            | 4,096  | 8 minutes  | 2.5 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>               |
|                | 5,041  | 6 minutes  | 1.0 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>               |
| Sph. Harmonic  | 8,192  | 3 minutes  | 2.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>               |
| Double Fourier | 32,768 | 90 seconds | $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$                 |
| Spect. Element | 24,576 | 45 seconds | 4.0 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>               |

Time-step for RBF method: Temporal Errors = Spatial Errors Time-step for other methods: Limited by numerical stability

• RBF method runtime in MATLAB using 2.66 GHz Xeon Processor

| N     | Runtime per time step | Total Runtime |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------|
|       | (sec)                 |               |
| 4,096 | 0.41                  | 6 minutes     |
| 5,041 | 0.60                  | 12 minutes    |

For much higher numerical accuracy, RBFs uses less nodes & larger time steps

## New discretization strategy: RBF-FD Method

• Key Idea: Construct an approximation to the differential operators at a node locally using an RBF interpolant defined only on *m* surrounding nodes.

#### Illustration:



- Similarities to how finite differences (FD) are constructed.
- Key difference is that this works for *scattered nodes*.
- Call this method the **RBF-FD** method.
- Results in a fast, scalable method.

#### Numerical Example II: RBF-FD method

(Flyer, Lehto, Blaise, Wright, and St-Cyr. Submitted, 2011)

Flow over a conical mountain (Test case 5 of Williamson et. al. 1992)



Height field at *t*=0 days

Height field at *t*=15 days



Simulation

Mathematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011



× Standard Literature/Comparison: NCAR's Sph. Har. T426, Resolution  $\approx 30$  km at equator  $\circ$  New Model at NCAR Discontinuous Galerkin – Spectral Element, Resolution  $\approx 30$  km  $\Box$  RBF-FD model, Resolution  $\approx 60$  km



Machine: MacBook Pro, Intel i7 2.2 GHz, 8 GB Memory

### Numerical Example III: RBF-FD method

- Evolution of a highly non-linear wave: (Test case from Galewsky et. al. Tellus, 2004)
- RBF-FD method with N=163,842 nodes and m=31 point stencil.



Thermal convection in a 3D spherical shell with applications to the Earth's mantle.



**Collaborators** 

Natasha Flyer, Institute for Mathematics Applied to Geosciences, NCAR David A. Yuen, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Minnesota Louise H. Kellogg, Dept. of Geology, UC Davis Pierre-Andre Arrial, Dept. of Geology, UC Davis Gordon Erlebacher, School of Computational Science and IT, Florida State University

## Simulating convection in the Earth's mantle

(Wright, Flyer, and Yuen. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 2010)

- Model assumptions:
  - 1. Fluid is incompressible
  - 2. Viscosity of the fluid is constant
  - 3. Boussinesq approximation

4. Infinite Prandtl number,  $\Pr = \frac{\text{kinematic viscosity}}{\text{thermal diffusivity}} \rightarrow \infty$ 

• Non-dimensional Equations:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (\text{continuity}),$$
$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{Ra} T \,\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla p = 0 \quad (\text{momentum}),$$
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T - \nabla^2 T = 0 \quad (\text{energy}).$$

• Boundary conditions:

Velocity: impermeable and shear-stress free Temperature (isothermal): T = 1 at core mantle bndry., T = 0 at crust mantle bndry.

• Rayleigh, Ra, number governs the dynamics. • Model for Rayleigh-Bénard convection





## Discretization of the equations

Mathematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

- Use a hybrid RBF-Pseudospectral method
- Collocation procedure using a 2+1 approach with
  - > N RBF nodes on each spherical surface ( $\theta$  and  $\lambda$  directions) and
  - > *M* Chebyshev nodes in the radial direction.



*N* RBF nodes (ME) on a spherical surface



3-D node layout showing MChebyshev nodes in radial direction

#### Ra=7000 benchmark: validation of method





N = 1600 nodes on each spherical shell M = 23 shells Blue=downwelling, Yellow= upwelling, Red=core

• Comparisons against main previous results from the literature:

| Method                     | No of nodes  | Nu <sub>outer</sub> | Nuinnner | <v<sub>RMS &gt;</v<sub> | < <b>T</b> > |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Finite volume              | 663,552      | 3.5983              | 3.5984   | 31.0226                 | 0.21594      |
| Finite elements (CitCom)   | 393,216      | 3.6254              | 3.6016   | 31.09                   | 0.2176       |
| Finite differences (Japan) | 12,582,912   | 3.6083              |          | 31.0741                 | 0.21639      |
| Spherical harmonics -FD    | 552,960      | 3.6086              |          | 31.0765                 | 0.21582      |
| Spherical harmonics -FD    | Extrapolated | 3.6096              |          | 31.0821                 | 0.21577      |
| RBF-Chebyshev              | 36,800       | 3.6096              | 3.6096   | 31.0820                 | 0.21578      |

Nu = ratio of convective to conductive heat transfer across a boundary

## High Ra Number: Comparing two novel simulations Mathematics in the Geosciences

Oct. 3-6. 2011

First mantle convection model run on a Graphics Processing Unit (GPU) Novelty: Simulation run times up to 15 times faster Strength: Drawback: Second-order, very dissipative, non-spherical geometry

Degrees of freedom: 32 million Time step  $\approx$  34,000 years

$$Ra = 10^7$$



Blue=downwelling, Yellow= upwelling, Red=core

Largest RBF simulation Novelty:

Only fully spectrally accurate simulation Strength: Drawback: Computationally slow

Degrees of freedom: 531,441 Time step  $\approx$  34,000 years

 $Ra = 10^{6}$ 





t=4.5 times the age of the earth

#### An investigation of low Ra number instabilities

Mathematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

Perturb standard cubic test  $T(r,\theta,\lambda) = \left[Y_4^0(\theta,\lambda) + (1-\delta)\frac{5}{7}Y_4^4(\theta,\lambda)\right] \sin\left(\pi\frac{r-R_i}{R_o-R_i}\right)$ 

Ra = 70K, Simulation time t=0.3 ( $\approx$ 18 times age of the Earth)

Steady flow

Unsteady flow



Joint work with Natasha Flyer, Louise Kellogg, Pierre-Andre Arrial, and Dave Yuen

• Improving computational efficiency: use RBF generated finite differences (RBF-FD)

#### **Illustration:**



• Extend model to handle more realistic physics (e.g. variable viscosity, mantle layering).

Joint work Natasha Flyer, Gordon Erlebacher, Evan Bollig (graduate student), Greg Barnett (graduate student)

# Reconstruction and decomposition vector fields.



#### **Collaborators**

Edward J Fuselier, Dept. of Mathematics, High Point University Francis J. Narcowich, Dept. of Mathematics, Texas A&M Joseph D. Ward, Dept. of Mathematics, Texas A&M Uwe Harlander, Dept. Aerodynamics and Fluid Mechanics, BTU Cottbus • <u>Theorem</u>: Any vector field tangent to the sphere can be *uniquely* decomposed into surface divergence-free and surface curl-free components:

 $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}_{\text{div}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{u}_{\text{curl}}(\mathbf{x})$  $= Q_{\mathbf{x}} \nabla \psi(\mathbf{x}) + P_{\mathbf{x}} \nabla \chi(\mathbf{x})$ 

 $\psi =$ stream function and  $\chi =$  velocity potential

• Example:



Goal: Construct an RBF-type interpolant that mimics the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition.

Example: decomposition of a atmospheric velocity field thematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

• Test case 5 (flow over an isolated mountain) from Williamson et. al. JCP (1992).



#### Example: decomposition of a geophysical velocity field thematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

• Test case 5 (flow over an isolated mountain) from Williamson et. al. JCP (1992).



# Example: decomposition of a geophysical velocity field thematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

- Test case 5 (flow over an isolated mountain) from Williamson et. al. JCP (1992).



## Flow in a rotating differentially heated annulus

- Approximation and decomposition of "real vector fields".
- Rotating differentially heated annulus for studying the baroclinic instability.



From Harlander, BTU Cottbus 2008

Joint work with U. Harlander (BTU Cottbus)

#### Example reconstruction

• Vector field data from PIV measurements at 5 levels in the cylindrical tank:



#### Example reconstruction

Mathematics in the Geosciences Oct. 3-6, 2011

• Stream lines of the flow from the RBF reconstructed vector field:



• Colors correspond to traces of particles from different levels in the tank. This data can be used to validate the numerical simulations of this fluid flow.



#### = Significant opportunities



Leave your mesh behind!