The Cholinergic Lesion of Alzheimer’s Disease: Pivotal Factor or Side Show?
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A profound loss of cortical cholinergic innervation is a nearly invariant feature of advanced Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The temporal course of this lesion and its relationship to other aspects of the disease have not yet been fully clarified. Despite assertions to the contrary, a review of the evidence suggests that a perturbation of cholinergic innervation is likely to be present even in the very early stages of AD. This cholinergic lesion is unlikely to be a major determinant of the clinical symptoms or of the neuropathological lesions. Nonetheless, it almost certainly contributes to the severity of the cognitive and behavioral deficits, especially in the areas of memory and attention. The cholinergic lesion may also influence the progression of the neuropathological process through complex interactions with amyloidogenesis, $\tau$ phosphorylation and neuroplasticity.

Historical Background

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) typically leads to a progressive and incapacitating memory loss accompanied by additional cognitive and behavioral impairments (McKhann et al. 1984). The resultant state of dementia is preceded by a preclinical period of isolated memory loss, also known as mild cognitive impairment or MCI (Petersen et al. 1999). A neuropathological diagnosis of AD is made upon the detection of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in limbic and neocortical areas of the brain (Hyman and Trojanowski 1997).

For more than half a century following its introduction into medical nomenclature by Kraeplin (1910), AD tended to be considered a rare and exotic form of dementia. This opinion was altered dramatically in 1976 with the publication of an influential paper by Robert Katzman. In this review, Katzman pointed out that AD was one of the most common causes of dementia in old age, and the single most likely neuropathological correlate of what had, until then, been known as senility (Katzman 1976). At around the same time, two British teams independently reported that AD was associated with a severe loss of cholinergic markers in the cerebral cortex (Bowen et al. 1976; Davies and Maloney 1976). These discoveries transformed AD from an obscure entity couched in the arcane nomenclature of plaques and tangles into a disease with a transmitter-based pathophysiology that could be approached in modern neuroscientific terms.

The fact that these developments occurred during the heydays of neurotransmitter research, at a time when the neuroanatomy of central cholinergic pathways was being revealed (Mesulam and Van Hoesen 1976), and soon after the demonstration that cholinergic blockers induced memory impairments (Drachman and Leavitt 1974), turned them into pivotal milestones and soon after the demonstration that the cholinergic lesion is not present in early AD (Davis et al. 1985). These developments encouraged the use of cholinergic agents in AD and culminated in the initial demonstration that inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase could lead to symptomatic improvement (Summers et al. 1986). Medicine seemed headed for another victory on the basis of a linear progression from an understanding of pathophysiology to the rational development of effective treatment. There seemed to be little that was missing in the required chain of events, and the 10–15yr following the initial discovery of the cholinergic deficit came to be known as the cholinergic era in AD.

But, clouds soon started to gather. First, it became clear that the cholinesterase inhibitors had very modest clinical effects in treating the symptoms of the disease. No patient with dementia became cognitively normal, even after the most vigorous cholinergic therapy. Secondly, the focus of disease-based neuroscience shifted from transmitters to molecular genetics, a development that led to the amyloid cascade hypothesis, according to which the cholinergic denervation was relegated to a secondary role of uncertain significance. Furthermore, despite repeated attempts, the purported effect of cholinergic denervation on the formation of cortical plaques could not be reproduced, so that a major gap emerged between the cholinergic aspect of AD and its classic neuropathological features such as amyloid plaques, neuronal loss, and neurofibrillary tangles (Thal et al. 1990). Even more devastating challenges came in the form of studies that reported that the cholinergic lesion is not present in early AD (Davis et al. 1999), and that it may not even be as prominent as the loss of other transmitters such as norepinephrine (Zarow et al. 2003). The cholinergic lesion, once celebrated as the prime mover of AD, appeared headed for oblivion.

The purpose of this short review is to revisit selected observations on the nature and course of the cholinergic depletion in AD and to consider their potential relationship to the neuropathological and cognitive aspects of the disease. The goal is to show that the cholinergic lesion is neither as central as its enthusiasts had once claimed nor as peripheral as some of its detractors would seem to imply.
The term “cholinergic” is used to designate neural pathways in which acetylcholine (ACh) is released for the purpose of neurotransmission (for review, see M. Mesulam 2004). Cholinergic neurons contain the synthetic enzyme choline acetyltransferase (ChAT). This enzyme and the vesicular ACh transporter are found only in the presynaptic component of cholinergic pathways. The ChAT-containing terminals of cholinergic pathways synapse onto postsynaptic cholinceptive neurons (Smiley et al. 1997). These postsynaptic components of cholinergic pathways respond to ACh through muscarinic (m1–m5) and several species of nicotinic receptors. The only mode of terminating the synaptic action of ACh is through its catalytic hydrolysis by cholinesterases. Presynaptic, as well as postsynaptic components of cholinergic pathways contain acetylcholinesterase (AChE), whereas the adjacent neuropil contains butyrylcholinesterase (BChE; Mesulam et al. 2002). Inhibitors of either of these two enzymes exert a cholinomimetic influence by retarding the hydrolysis of ACh.

Muscarinic m1 receptors are particularly common in the cerebral cortex (Mash et al. 1988). At these receptor sites, the major action of ACh is to induce a prolonged reduction of potassium conductance, so that the cholinceptive neuron becomes more susceptible to other incoming excitatory inputs (Mc Cormick 1990). This is why ACh is also known as an excitatory neuromodulator of the cerebral cortex.

The prime cholinergic cortex does not contain intrinsic cholinergic neurons. The vast majority of its cholinergic innervation originates in the basal forebrain. The hippocampus receives most of its cholinergic input from cholinergic neurons in the medial septal nucleus and the vertical limb of the diagonal band of Broca, whereas the rest of the cerebral cortex and the amygdala receive their cholinergic input from the nucleus basalis of Meynert, the cholinergic cells of which make up the Ch4 cell group (Mesulam et al. 1983a,b; Mesulam and Geula 1988). Nearly 90% of nucleus basalis neurons are cholinergic, so that this nucleus is also known as the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex. The cholinergic innervation of the striatum is predominantly intrinsic and comes from ChAT-positive striatal interneurons. The cholinergic innervation of the thalamus comes predominantly from the ChAT-positive neurons in the pedunculopontine and laterodorsal nuclei of the brainstem, also known as the Ch5 and Ch6 cell groups (Mesulam et al. 1983b). In contrast to primates, the rodent cerebral cortex contains intrinsic cholinergic interneurons, which may supply up to 30% of the local cholinergic innervation (Levey et al. 1984).

Numerous hypotheses have been advanced to explain the vulnerability of cortical cholinergic innervation in AD (for review, see Geula and Mesulam 1999). Biosynthetic bottlenecks in the production of ACh, special vulnerabilities to amyloid, and impairments of axonal transport have been invoked as possible explanations, but proof is lacking. The answer may lie in the anatomical identity of the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex. This cell group, together with the hippocampus, amygdala, and entorhinal cortex, is part of an uninterrupted band of core limbic areas (Mesulam 2000a,b). These are the regions of the brain that attract the greatest neurofibrillary degeneration and related cell death in the course of aging and AD (Mesulam 1999). The severe and selective loss of cortical cholinergic innervation may thus reflect the anatomical position and connectivity of the nucleus basalis rather than any special property of cholinergic neurotransmission or biosynthesis.

Is the Cholinergic Depletion a Late or Early Event in AD?

The initial reports of cortical cholinergic denervation and cell loss in the nucleus basalis were based on patients who had advanced disease (Whitehouse et al. 1981; Geula and Mesulam 1989). Nonetheless, the implicit assumption during the cholinergic era was that these transmitter-specific events occurred early in the course of the disease, perhaps as initiating events. Two sets of observations seemed to corroborate this impression. In one of these, Perry and colleagues reported that the cholinergic denervation of the temporal lobe was present even in patients at the early stages of AD neuropathology (Perry et al. 1981). In another landmark study, Bowen and colleagues obtained biopsy specimens from patients with presenile dementia and found a significant loss of ChAT activity even within one year of symptom onset in patients with a diagnosis of AD, but not in those with other diagnoses (Bowen et al. 1982).

However, three subsequent reports, all based on elderly subjects, have challenged the assumption that the cholinergic depletion is an early event in AD. Two of these studies report that mild AD is not associated with a loss of cortical ChAT (Davis et al. 1999; DeKosky et al. 2002), whereas the third suggests that the number of cholinergic Ch4 neurons may not be decreased in early AD (Gilmor et al. 1999). These carefully executed studies imply that the cortical cholinergic depletion may be a terminal and perhaps subsidiary event, especially in late-onset (i.e., senile) AD, seriously questioning the current practice of using cholinomimetic agents in mild or preclinical stages of the disease.

However, there are a number of reasons for re-evaluating these implications. First, ChAT is neither the rate-limiting enzyme for ACh synthesis nor a particularly effective marker of cholinergic neurotransmission. Conceivably, a partial loss of cholinergic synapses in the cerebral cortex could trigger a compensatory up-regulation of ChAT within adjacent cholinergic axons without inducing a replacement of the lost cholinergic synapses. Moreover, because ChAT is measured in terms of enzyme activity per weight of protein, cortical atrophy could alter the denominator in this calculation in a way that would obscure a net decline of ChAT in a cortical area that had undergone atrophy. It is also necessary to consider the possibility that cell number is not necessarily a sensitive measure of Ch4–nucleus cerebral cortex, the cholinergic innervation of the striatum (originating from striatal interneurons) and of the thalamus (originating in the brainstem) remain relatively intact. Therefore, there is no general cholinergic lesion in AD. Rather, there is a selective cholinergic denervation of the cerebral cortex, most severe in the temporal lobes as well as in adjacent limbic and paralimbic areas.
basal integrity, and that the earliest age- and AD-related changes in this structure may take the form of neurofibrillar degeneration and a loss of cell volume (Mesulam et al. 1987; Sassin et al. 2000). More direct, although more laborious, approaches for assessing the state of cholinergic neurotransmission might involve light microscopic morphometry to quantify the density of cholinergic axons (Geula and Mesulam 1989) and binding assays for the vesicular acetylcholine transporter to quantify cholinergic terminals (Efange et al. 1997).

Beyond these caveats related to methodology, observations on the aging brain provide additional reasons for suspecting that a depletion of cortical cholinergic denervation is an early feature of late-life AD. For example, Ch4 neurons (but not the striatal or brainstem cholinergic neurons) are among the most sensitive cells in the whole brain to age-related neurofibrillary degeneration, so that neurofibrillary tangles in the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex are commonly detected in cognitively normal elderly subjects as well as in those who enter the stages of MCI and mild AD (Mesulam 2000a; Sassin et al. 2000). This age-related neurofibrillary degeneration is likely to disrupt cortical cholinergic innervation even before the death of Ch4–nucleus basalis neurons (Mesulam 2000a). In fact, nondemented elderly individuals with no apparent change of cell counts in the nucleus basalis can display an age-related modest, but significant decrement of cortical cholinergic innervation, especially in the temporal lobes, as shown by postmortem counts of cholinergic axons and vesicular acetylcholine transporter sites and by in vivo mappings of cholinergic terminals (Geula and Mesulam 1989; Emre et al. 1993; Kuhl et al. 1996; Efange et al. 1997). The existence of this age-related change may help to explain why the cholinergic loss in the initial stages of the disease is more difficult to detect in senile than presenile AD; the former group would have an age-matched comparison group in which a loss of cholinergic innervation is already in progress, so that the magnitude of the observed differences would be less conspicuous.

In summary, there is universal agreement that a severe loss of cortical cholinergic innervation is part of advanced AD, senile as well as presenile. There is also at least one set of unchallenged data showing that a similar cholinergic lesion exists in the initial stages of presenile AD (Bowen et al. 1982). The presence of cholinergic denervation in the initial stages of senile AD, however, has been challenged. The comments above suggest that this challenge should be evaluated in the light of what is known about age-related changes in cortical cholinergic pathways. The existence of such changes indicates that the very initial neuropathology of late-onset (senile) AD unfolds upon a basal state of age-related cholinergic denervation. What has not yet been determined is whether the clinical onset of mild AD (or the preclinical state known as MCI) is temporally linked to a further decrement of cholinergic transmission beyond what happens on the basis of age alone, or whether these clinical milestones represent interactions of the pre-existing cholinergic loss with the additional processes of amyloidogenesis, neurofibrillary degeneration, and synaptic loss.

The specificity of the cholinergic lesion in AD is more difficult to address. Alzheimer’s disease is associated with substantial variability in the involvement of noncholinergic cortical transmitters, especially the monoamines (Geula and Mesulam 1999). A review of the literature suggests that the cholinergic loss is more consistent than the loss of other transmitters, and that a selective loss of cholinergic transmission is not unusual, whereas a selective loss of one of the monoamines in the absence of cholinergic denervation is exceedingly rare (Perry et al. 1981; Minger et al. 2000). These generalizations, however, apply mostly to advanced disease and need to be re-evaluated at the early stages of the dementia.

Cholinergic Therapies and Relationship of Dementia to Cholinergic Loss

Circumstantial evidence for the relevance of the cholinergic lesion to the clinical features of the dementia comes from pharmacological studies showing that cholinomimetics induce symptomatic improvement in both mild and advanced dementia, in senile as well as presenile forms of AD, and that there is a reasonable correlation between the magnitude of cholinergic depletion and the severity of the dementia (Baskin et al. 1999; Minger et al. 2000; Pappas et al. 2000; Doody et al. 2001).

The cholinergic depletion of AD displays relatively uniform patterns of distribution. In general, areas within the temporal lobes display the most marked depletion, whereas primary sensory-motor areas and the cingulate gyrus display the least (Geula and Mesulam 1996). The hippocampus and entorhinal cortex are among the most severely affected areas, a relationship that may account, at least in part, for the characteristic severity of the memory loss in AD (Pappas et al. 2000). There is also some evidence for anatomical clinico-pathological relationships suggesting that abnormalities in attentional processes may be correlated with the extent of cholinergic depletion in medial prefrontal cortex, whereas abnormalities in graphomotor functions may be correlated with the cholinergic depletion in the inferior parietal cortex (Pappas et al. 2000).

There is no single aspect of the dementia that seems to respond best or most consistently to cholinergic therapy, probably because the cholinergic depletion is multifocal and arises on a background of other pathological changes. The exact impact of the cholinergic lesion upon the individual clinical and neuro-pathological features of AD remains mostly conjectural. To explore the nature of these conjectures, it is necessary to review some of the functions that have been attributed to cortical cholinergic pathways in the normal brain.

Behavioral Neuroanatomy of Cortical Cholinergic Innervation

Cholinergic axons originating in the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex innervate all parts of the cerebral cortex, including limbic structures such as the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, and amygdala (Mesulam et al. 1992; Mesulam and Geula 1993). This innervation is most intense within the limbic system, intermediate within association cortices, and least intense within primary sensory areas. The functional affiliation with the limbic system is further highlighted by the fact that the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex receives its cortical input almost exclusively from limbic areas, although it sends projections to all parts of the cerebral cortex, limbic as well as nonlimbic (Mesulam and Mufson 1984). The Ch4–nucleus basalis complex is thus in a position to act as a cholinergic relay for modulating the function of all cortical areas in a way that is predominantly responsive to the state of the limbic system.

The nucleus basalis also receives inputs from serotonergic, dopaminergic, and noradrenergic nuclei of the brainstem (Smiley and Mesulam 1999). Its neurons have widespread outputs, heterogeneous inputs, and overlapping dendritic fields that dip into passing fiber tracts. These features have led to the characterization of the nucleus basalis as a telencephalic extension of the brainstem reticular formation (Ramon-Moliner and Nauta 1966). From the vantage point of behavioral neuroanatomy, therefore, the nucleus basalis sits at the confluence of the mediobasal limbic system and the rostral reticular formation.

Because cholinergic pathways innervate all cortical areas, they can potentially influence all aspects of cognition and behavior. However, the physiological pattern of excitatory neuro-modulation, the affiliations with the ascending reticular activa-
ing system, and the preferential interactions with limbic areas also suggest that neocortical cholinergic pathways are particularly critical for the modulation of attention (i.e., the on-line holding and dynamic enhancement of neural responses to salient events) and memory (i.e., the off-line encoding, retention, and retrieval of past events and contingencies). The relationship to attention is likely to reflect the widespread distribution of cortical cholinergic innervation and the neuromodulatory role of ACh, whereas the relationship to memory may additionally reflect the high concentration of cholinergic innervation within the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, and amygdala.

**Memory and Cortical Cholinergic Innervation**

Human subjects respond to the muscarinic antagonist scopolamine with a memory impairment somewhat similar to that seen in AD (Drachman and Leavitt 1974). This clinical observation and the characteristically intense cholinergic innervation of limbic areas, including the hippocampus, have helped to generate the opinion that cortical cholinergic neurotransmission plays a crucial role in memory function. The exact nature of this relationship, however, continues to elude a clear description. In rodents, the selective immunolesioning of cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain causes learning and memory impairments in some experiments, but not in all (Berger-Sweeney et al. 1994; Wenk et al. 1994; Galani et al. 2002). In primates, even nonselective destructive lesions that include the cholinergic as well as noncholinergic components of the nucleus basalis have lead to memory deficits in some experiments (Ridley et al. 1986), but not in others (Voytko et al. 1994). Even in experiments in which learning deficits emerge after cholinergic denervation, the deficits have been attributed to attentional rather than mnemonic factors (Everitt and Robbins 1997; Sarter and Bruno 2000). There is somewhat more consistent evidence that the cholinergic pathway from the nucleus basalis to the amygdala may enhance memory consolidation, especially of affectively salient events (McGaugh et al. 1993; Everitt and Robbins 1997; Power et al. 2002). Furthermore, during Pavlovian conditioning, approximately half of the nucleus basalis neurons show a significantly greater change of activity in response to a tone that predicts the occurrence of a mildly aversive unconditioned stimulus than to a tone that does not (Whalen et al. 1994).

The role of acetylcholine in hippocampal long-term potentiation (Tanaka et al. 1989; Auerbach and Segal 1994) may provide one of several cellular mechanisms that underlies the putative relationship of cholinergic pathways to memory. In another line of investigation, brain slice experiments in piriform cortex of the rat have shown that acetylcholine can selectively suppress intrinsic synaptic transmission through a presynaptic mechanism, while leaving extrinsic afferent input unaffected. This selective suppression could prevent interference from previously stored patterns during the learning of new relationships (Hasselmo 1999). Hasselmo (1999) has proposed a different model, according to which the cholinergic innervation, especially of the hippocampal complex, plays a major role in switching from online attentive processing, characterized by the hippocampal theta rhythm, to off-line memory consolidation, characterized by sharp wave activity.

Even if the importance of cortical cholinergic innervation to memory were to be established more definitively, however, cholinergic depletion could not be the only (or even major) cause of the memory loss, as AD is also characterized by prominent neurofibrillary degeneration, cell loss, and β amyloid accumulation in areas that are critical to memory, including the entorhinal region, amygdala, hippocampus, and prefrontal cortex.

**Attentional State and Cortical Cholinergic Innervation**

The extent to which cortical cholinergic innervation influences memory has not been resolved. In contrast, there is considerable agreement concerning the importance of this pathway to various aspects of attention, including the setting of signal-to-noise ratios during information processing and the on-line holding of information (Voytko et al. 1994; Dias et al. 1996; Everitt and Robbins 1997; Sarter and Bruno 2000; Himmelheber et al. 2001; Galani et al. 2002). The electrophysiological correlates of these behavioral relationships are being explored. Potentially relevant experiments show that stimulation of the nucleus basalis elicits cortical EEG activation via muscarinic receptors and that it triggers a change in subthreshold membrane potential fluctuations from large amplitude slow oscillations to low amplitude fast (20–40 Hz) oscillations (Metherate et al. 1992). Inactivation of the nucleus basalis, on the other hand, suppresses low-voltage fast EEG activity in the cerebral cortex (Dringenberg and Vanderwolf 1996).

The anatomical organization of cortical cholinergic pathways is consistent with a prominent role in attentional processes. As noted above, the nucleus basalis projects to all cortical areas, while receiving its cortical inputs only from components of the limbic system, an arrangement through which it can selectively enhance the release of cortical ACh in response to events that are of limbic relevance. Cortical cholinergic innervation is thus in a position to preferentially promote the cortical impact of events that are of emotional and motivational significance. In keeping with this formulation, neurons of the nucleus basalis in the monkey are selectively sensitive to novel and motivationally relevant sensory events (DeLong 1971; Wilson and Rolls 1990), and the novelty-related P300 potential in the human cerebral cortex is abolished upon the administration of cholinergic blockers (Hammond et al. 1987). Impairments of complex attentional functions, including novelty-seeking behaviors, are common in AD (Daffner et al. 1992; Price et al. 1993). These aspects of the dementia are occasionally as prominent as the memory impairments and could reflect, at least in part, consequences of the cholinergic lesion.

**Cortical Acetylcholine and Plasticity**

Neuroplasticity is a life-long process that mediates the structural and functional reaction of dendrites, axons, and neurons to experience, attrition, and injury. One of the most interesting functional correlates of cortical ACh is its role in mediating neuroplasticity (Bear and Singer 1986). The selective lesioning of cortical cholinergic innervation in the rat was shown to interfere with experience-dependent plasticity in the barrel fields. In one experiment, all whiskers except for D2 and D3 were trimmed. This led to a pairing between the D2 and D3 barrel fields in the cerebral cortex, so that the D2 neurons started to show a greater responsiveness to stimulation of D3 than to stimulation of the adjacent D1, which had been trimmed. This pairing, indicative of experience-induced synaptic plasticity, could not be obtained in rats with selective immunotoxic lesions of the cholinergic neurons in the nucleus basalis (Baskerville et al. 1997). In another experiment on newborn rat pups, barrels representing intact whiskers failed to show the expected expansion into the territory of barrels representing trimmed whiskers in animals with nucleus basalis lesions (Zhu and Waite 1998). Furthermore, pairing auditory stimuli with the electrical stimulation of the nucleus basalis in adult rats caused a long-lasting reorganization of primary auditory cortex, so that the area optimally responsive to the paired tone expanded substantially. This plasticity was not observed following the selective immunotoxic destruction of cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons (Kilgard and Merzenich 1998). On the
basis of these observations, it appears that cortical cholinergic denervation can undermine the learning-dependent reorganization of cortical representations and perhaps also the ability of the brain to keep itself in good repair in response to attrition and injury (Mesulam 1999; Conner et al. 2003). These aspects of cholinergic denervation would be expected to have two major consequences for AD; they would further exacerbate the memory impairment and they would accelerate the transition from normal aging to AD (Mesulam 1999).

Cholinergic Depletion and Its Influence on Plaques and Tangles

There is currently little support for the hypothesis that amyloid plaques or neurofibrillary tangles are caused by the loss of cholinergic innervation. Nonetheless, complex interactions of potentially profound pathophysiological significance are being identified between cholinergic neurotransmission and amyloidogenesis as well as τ phosphorylation. In transfected PC12 cells, for example, the stimulation of the m1 muscarinic receptor decreases τ phosphorylation (Sadot et al. 1996). This relationship implies that a cholinergic depletion could induce τ hyperphosphorylation, a process of fundamental importance to the formation of neurofibrillary tangles. Furthermore, m1- and m3-mediated muscarinic stimulation of cortical neurons has been shown to promote the processing of amyloid precursor protein (APP) by the α secretase pathway (Nitsch et al. 1992). This pathway splits APP in the middle of the β amyloid domain, and therefore precludes the subsequent release of the potentially neurotoxic β amyloid. Additional in vitro experiments have shown that nicotine-mediated cholinergic neurotransmission may protect neurons from β amyloid neurotoxicity (Kihara et al. 1997).

These experiments imply that a cholinergic depletion may not only increase the production of β amyloid, but also its local neurotoxic effects. Numerous experiments have also shown that β amyloid disrupts ACh synthesis and the signal-transduction events associated with cholinergic neurotransmission (Kelly et al. 1996; Auld et al. 1998). It appears, therefore, that AD may be associated with a vicious cycle whereby the cholinergic depletion intensifies both the production and neurotoxicity of β amyloid, which, in turn, further increases the cholinergic deficit and consequently, the phosphorylation of τ. The significance of these theoretical considerations to the clinical and neuropathological course of AD remains to be demonstrated. A potentially relevant study shows that the density of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in Parkinson’s disease is positively correlated with the exposure to anticholinergic drugs, suggesting that an interference with cholinergic neurotransmission may actually promote these neuropathological events (Perry et al. 2003).

Conclusions

Aging is the single most important risk factor for AD. Aging is also associated with the emergence of neurofibrillary degeneration in the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex and a corresponding loss of cholinergic innervation in the cerebral cortex. A state of cholinergic denervation is therefore an intrinsic part of the preclinical and mild stages of AD arising in old age. The cholinergic depletion of very mild AD is more easily demonstrated in younger (presenile) patient groups, in which cholinergic markers are being compared with those of young control subjects with intact cholinergic pathways. In the older patients, any further cholinergic depletion occurs on a background of age-related changes and may therefore be more difficult to detect. By the time AD reaches an advanced stage, extensive neurofibrillary degeneration and neuronal death have already spread throughout the Ch4–nucleus basalis complex, and a dramatic loss of cholinergic innervation has become established in many parts of the cerebral cortex. The magnitude of this cholinergic loss tends to be correlated with the severity of the dementia. There is also preliminary evidence that impairments in different cognitive domains are selectively correlated with the severity of the cholinergic depletion in parts of the cerebral cortex that are specialized for that function (Pappas et al. 2000).

Cholinergic axons innervate all cortical areas, so that the cholinergic depletion of AD is likely to contribute to all of the associated cognitive and behavioral impairments. However, the behavioral anatomy of cholinergic pathways also suggests that this denervation is likely to have its greatest clinical impact on the integrity of attention and memory. The putative influence of cholinergic pathways on neuropsychology, amyloid processing, and τ phosphorylation introduces additional features that may link the cholinergic lesion to disease progression.

The cholinergic loss is neither a primary pathogenetic factor of AD nor the principal correlate of its clinical manifestations. The emergence of cholinergic depletion in natural aging and its prominence in established AD can be attributed to the location of the nucleus basalis within the limbic system and the uniquely high susceptibility of limbic neurons to neurofibrillary cytopathology in the course of events that lead to AD. As the cholinergic depletion becomes established, it undoubtedly influences the nature of the cognitive deficits and perhaps even the progression of the other neuropathological lesions. These considerations are consistent with the current view that cholinergic therapies are unlikely to offer definitive treatments for AD. Nonetheless, they are likely to remain major components of a concerted approach aiming to influence the onset and progression of AD from as many directions as possible.
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