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ABSTRACT: The opposing activities of phosphatases and kinases determine the phosphorylation status of proteins, yet kinases have received disproportionate attention in studies of cellular processes, with the roles of phosphatases remaining less understood. This Research Article describes the use of phosphotyrosine-containing peptide arrays together with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry to directly profile phosphatase substrate selectivities. Twenty-two protein tyrosine phosphatases were characterized with the arrays to give a profile of their specificities. An analysis of the data revealed that certain residues in the substrates had a conserved effect on activity for all enzymes tested, including the general rule that inclusion of a basic lysine or arginine residue on either side of the phosphotyrosine decreased activity. This insight also provides a new perspective on the role of a R1152Q mutant in the insulin receptor, which is known to exhibit a lower phosphorylation level and which this work suggests may be due to an increased activity toward phosphatase enzymes. The use of self-assembled monolayers for matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (SAMDI-MS) to provide a rapid and quantitative assay of phosphatase enzymes will be important to gaining a more complete understanding of the biochemistry and biology of this important enzyme class.
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INTRODUCTION

While the phosphorylated states of proteins are determined by the balance of opposing kinase and phosphatase activities, the overwhelming majority of work has addressed the roles of kinases and their substrates in regulating phosphorylation, and has generally assumed that phosphatases serve a nonregulatory housekeeper role.1 However, this assumption lacks justification and appears inconsistent with the roughly equal numbers of protein tyrosine kinases (PTK) and protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTP) in the human proteome (90 PTKs and 107 PTPs).2,3 Further, recent work has illustrated a regulatory role for PTPs and sophisticated modes of regulation.4−10 Their dysregulated activities have also been directly linked to disease and cancer; SHP2 (PTPN11), for example, has been identified as the first oncogenic phosphatase.8−10 Advancing our understanding of the roles that PTPs play in signaling would benefit from determining the substrate specificities of different members of the family. Here, we use peptide arrays and SAMDI-MS (self-assembled monolayers for matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry) to profile twenty-two phosphatases, and we report distinct classes of substrate specificities for members of the PTP family.

Assays of phosphatase activity are quite challenging and largely not well-suited to the direct determination of phosphatase specificity. One approach uses bottom-up proteomics or ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) to observe dephosphorylation of a sample that has first been enriched in phosphoproteins.11,12 Approaches for directly assaying enzymatic phosphatase activities frequently use generic and nonspecific substrates—commonly, para-nitrophenylphosphate (pNPP) or 6,8-difluoro-4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate (DiFUMP)—which release products that can be measured with absorbance or fluorescence spectroscopy. Alternatively, the malachite green assay measures the phosphate byproduct but is difficult to apply to cell lysates that have significant background levels of phosphate ions.13−15 Hence, these assays involve tedious sample preparation, are not high throughput, and do not easily permit the use of a large number of substrates; these limitations have hindered studies of PTP specificity, particularly as compared to numerous studies of kinase specificity and activity.16−19 MS-based
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phosphatase activity assays have gradually become popular in the field for their easier workflow and the high-throughput nature. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) has been used in several recent examples to screen for PTP inhibitors. Combinatorial libraries and peptide arrays are powerful tools for studying the substrate specificities of a variety of enzymes. To determine the specificity of PTPs, for example, Pei and co-workers used a combinatorial bead-based peptide library that was prepared by split-pool synthesis. They developed a colorimetric labeling method to identify nonphosphorylated tyrosine residues that result from PTP activity and could then sequence those beads to identify active substrates for the PTPs. Their work showed that acidic residues are generally favored over basic residues in the substrates for 14 PTPs and that basic residues decrease activity. However, the combinatorial methods have the limitation that they can isolate and sequence a small fraction of the peptides in the pool, and therefore while they provide general trends for activity, they do not give a nuanced understanding of specificity. Cesareni and co-workers prepared an array of phosphotyrosine-containing peptides. Because they were unable to directly measure the extent of dephosphorylation of each peptide, they instead used a mutant PTP that could bind the substrate but was catalytically impaired, and used this binding activity as a proxy for enzyme activity. They measured “activity” profiles for 16 PTPs using an assay where the PTP was conjugated to GST (glutathione S-transferase), which was then labeled with an anti-GST-Cy5 conjugate. However, there remains the possibility that the mutant enzyme has an altered substrate-specificity and there is the limitation that catalytically impaired mutants are not available for all phosphatases.

Other work has used phosphopeptide arrays to profile PTPs, with an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody to identify active substrates. Our development of the SAMDI-MS method provides a label-free and high-throughput assay for measuring a broad range of enzyme activities. This method uses self-assembled monolayers that present the peptide substrate against a background of tri(ethylene glycol) groups, which are effective at preventing the nonspecific adsorption of proteins and play an important role in biological assays. Treatment of the monolayer with a solution containing the enzyme may lead to a post-translational modification of the substrate, which is accompanied by a corresponding change in mass. This reaction product can then be quantitated with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry, which reveals peaks corresponding to both the substrate and product (and any intermediates or additional products). The SAMDI-MS method is compatible with the common 384 and 1536 spot formats and has been used to profile enzymes with peptide arrays. We also recently demonstrated SAMDI could be used to profile the activity of a PTP on a phosphopeptide array. Becker and co-workers’ recent advance in studying protein–protein interactions using protein arrays and MALDI-MS also demonstrates the power of combining these technologies.

Here, we describe the use of a peptide array based on a sequence previously used in earlier studies of SHP2 activity, Ac-TRDXpYZTC-NH2, where the X and Z positions are variable. We profiled 22 phosphatases and generally found that the specificities of those investigated previously were consistent with earlier reports, but our studies also revealed that many of the PTPs have unique and highly selective activities. Our data provides general rules of how charge, steric bulk, and hydrophobic character affect enzyme activity for the various PTPs. Finally, our data confirm that all of the phosphatases lack activity toward substrates that have an arginine or lysine residue to either side of the phosphotyrosine, and we discuss the possible relevance of this dependence in molecular mechanisms of diabetes.

Figure 1. Profiling phosphatase activities using peptide arrays and SAMDI mass spectrometry. (Left) Peptides are immobilized on a self-assembled monolayer surface presenting 10% maleimide against a background of tri(ethylene glycol) groups. (Middle) The array is treated with a phosphatase and the extent of dephosphorylation of each peptide is analyzed with a MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer. (Right) A SAMDI spectrum of the initial monolayer has a peak at m/z = 1972 corresponding to the phosphotyrosine peptide–alkyldisulfide conjugate and a spectrum of the monolayer after treatment with a phosphatase reveals a new peak at 80 Da lower mass, which corresponds to the dephosphorylated product.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of Phosphopeptide Array. We prepared an array having 361 peptides with the sequence Ac-TRDXpYZTC-NH₂, where the X and Z positions comprise each of the 19 canonical amino acids except for cysteine. In this way, we can determine which residues, when present adjacent to the phosphotyrosine, promote and inhibit activity. We used an array plate having 384 gold spots arranged in the geometry of the common microwell plate, where each was modified with a self-assembled monolayer presenting maleimide groups at a density of 10% against a background of tri(ethylene glycol) groups as described previously.44 The peptides were synthesized using standard protocols with FMOC-protected amino acids and then stored in a 384 multiwell plate as described previously.44 The peptides were transferred to the monolayer array plate using a robotic liquid handler, where each peptide underwent immobilization to the monolayer in its spot via conjugate addition of cysteine thiol to the maleimide group (Figure 1).

Profiling Activities of DEP1 (PTPRJ). We first describe an experiment to profile the specificity of the transcripational regulatory phosphatase DEP1 on the peptide array. We prepared a solution of the phosphatase (1.2 nM in 100 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, and 100 μM TCEP) and used a robotic liquid dispenser to rapidly apply 2 μL of this solution to each spot on the array plate. The array was placed in a humidified chamber at 37 °C for 1 h and then rinsed first with water and then ethanol, and finally treated with 2,4,6-trihydroxyacetophenone (THAP) matrix. The plate was analyzed using an ABSciex 5800 MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer to acquire mass spectra for each spot, which revealed separate peaks corresponding to the substrate and product of the reaction. The conversion of phosphopeptide to its product was characterized by integration of the corresponding peaks and is given by activity = AUC\text{product}/(AUC\text{substrate} + AUC\text{product}) × 100% where AUC refers to the area under the curve (Figure 1). The ionization efficiencies of the substrate and product are not identical, and therefore, these nominal conversions are not calibrated, but the quantities do provide a relative measure of activity and, therefore, are useful in the following studies.

The activities for each peptide sequence are represented in a 19 × 19 heat map, where each row defines the amino acid in the Z position (+1), and each column defines the amino acid in the X (−1) position. The percent dephosphorylation is represented in grayscale with white corresponding to 0% activity and black to 100% activity. The heat map of DEP1 (Figure 2, upper left) shows that peptides containing a glycine in the Z position have higher activity, and similarly, those having the aromatic residues phenylalanine and tyrosine and, to a lesser extent, the hydrophobic residues isoleucine and leucine in the X position are more active.

Figure 2. Activity heat maps for each of 22 phosphatases profiled on the peptide array having 361 sequences of form Ac-TRDXpYZTC-NH₂. The columns represent 19 different amino acids at X position and the rows represent the amino acids at the Z position. The activities are represented in grayscale where white corresponds to 0% activity and black to 100%.
Profiling Activities of 22 Phosphatases. We repeated the experiment described above for 21 additional phosphatases—ten nonreceptor protein tyrosine phosphatases (NRPTPs, classical PTPs), eight receptor protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs, classical PTPs), three regenerating liver phosphatases (PRLs, VH1-like PTPs), and one alkaline phosphatase (ALP). The heat maps for each of these phosphatases are shown in Figure 2. Initial inspection of the heat maps reveals several significant observations. First, the phosphatases show a range in specificity, with some having a clear preference for a smaller number of peptides within the array. Second, there are multiple classes of specificity that are shared by a subset of the enzymes. Third, there are positions in the substrate where certain residues affect the activity of the substrate in a similar way for all of the enzymes, and other specificities that are shared by a subset of the enzymes.

Quantitative Analysis of Heat Maps. We first analyzed the heat maps to quantitate the influence that a particular residue has on the activity of the substrate for each phosphatase. For each amino acid and position, the ratio of the difference of the average activity of peptides having that residue and the average of all peptides over the latter was determined and represented both in a red-to-green color scale and with the percent. Green indicates that the residue on average increases the phosphatase activity and red indicates a reduction.

Figure 3. Heat map illustrating the average role than an amino acid residue in either the X (AA\text{x}) or Z (AA\text{z}) position has on the activity of the substrate for each phosphatase enzyme. For each amino acid and position, the ratio of the difference of the average activity of peptides having that residue and the average of all peptides over the latter were determined and represented both in a red-to-green color scale and with the percent. Green indicates that the residue on average increases the phosphatase activity and red indicates a reduction.

Profiling Activities of 22 Phosphatases. We repeated the experiment described above for 21 additional phosphatases—ten nonreceptor protein tyrosine phosphatases (NRPTPs, classical PTPs), eight receptor protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs, classical PTPs), three regenerating liver phosphatases (PRLs, VH1-like PTPs), and one alkaline phosphatase (ALP). The heat maps for each of these phosphatases are shown in Figure 2. Initial inspection of the heat maps reveals several significant observations. First, the phosphatases show a range in specificity, with some having a clear preference for a smaller number of peptides within the array. Second, there are multiple classes of specificity that are shared by a subset of the enzymes. Third, there are positions in the substrate where certain residues affect the activity of the substrate in a similar way for all of the enzymes, and other specificities that are shared by a subset of the enzymes.

Quantitative Analysis of Heat Maps. We first analyzed the heat maps to quantitate the influence that a particular residue has on the activity of the substrate for each phosphatase. For each amino acid and position, the ratio of the difference of the average activity of peptides having that residue and the average of all peptides over the latter were determined and represented both in a red-to-green color scale and with the percent. Green indicates that the residue on average increases the phosphatase activity and red indicates a reduction.
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Quantitative Analysis of Heat Maps. We first analyzed the heat maps to quantitate the influence that a particular residue has on the activity of the substrate for each phosphatase. For each amino acid and position, the ratio of the difference of the average activity of peptides having that residue and the average of all peptides over the latter were determined and represented both in a red-to-green color scale and with the percent. Green indicates that the residue on average increases the phosphatase activity and red indicates a reduction.
X or Z position) for each of the 22 phosphatases are shown in Figure 3. This analysis gives insight into the sequence determinants of activity. The residues that contribute to more than 10% activation or inhibition at X (−1) and Z (+1) positions are summarized in Table 1. Table 1 reveals that certain residues consistently activate or inhibit activity of the substrate across the phosphatases we pro-
filed. Finally, we also generated histograms to identify the residues that activate and inhibit phosphatase activities at X and Z positions (Figure 4). The x-axis represents amino acids and the y-axis is the total number of PTPs found from Table 1 that activates/inhibits dephosphorylation activities at either X or Z positions. We found that the determinants of sequence selectivity depend significantly on the chemical properties of the amino acid, including charge, steric bulk, hydrophobic character, as well as the PTP active site structure, and we discuss several of these observations below.

**Basic Residues Generally Reduce Substrate Activity.**
Among the most consistent trends in our data is that basic residues (R, K, H) at either X or Z position very frequently decrease the activity of the substrate for all but one of the phosphatases studied here, consistent with a previous report. Among 22 phosphatases, 21 exhibit a preference against basic residues adjacent to pY on their substrate. For example, the oncogenic phosphatase SHP2 shows an average decrease in activity of 64% and 53% when arginine is present at the X and Z positions, respectively. This trend may be explained by electrostatic interactions in the pY binding pocket. Most PTP catalytic sites have a signature motif HCXXGXXRS(T) or HC(X)5R, where a cysteine residue acts as the nucleophile and the conserved arginine residue folds back toward the phosphate-binding pocket to assist in substrate binding and catalysis. The presence of a second positively charged residue nearby may reduce the electrostatic attraction between the phosphatase and its substrate and would therefore lower the binding energy of the substrate. Our observation that arginine (R) and lysine (K) have a more significant effect in decreasing activity than does histidine (H) is consistent with their basicities, because the lower pKₐ of protonated imidazole means that it can be deprotonated with less energetic penalty. Below, we return to the significance of the basic residue in regulating phosphorylation states of proteins and possible relevance to disease.

We did find, however, one phosphatase, PTPmu, that favored an arginine residue in both the X and Z positions. This enzyme, though, does not tolerate a lysine residue in this position, suggesting that a specific hydrogen bonding interaction is involved and not just electrostatics. We studied the active site sequence using UniProtKB protein sequence alignment tool and found that PTPmu has two PTP domains (Supporting Information). The first one with catalytic motif HCSAGVGRT is conserved across all assayed RPTPs. The second domain has a catalytic motif HCLNGGGRS that is different from others. This second catalytic domain might contribute to the arginine preference with extra hydrogen bonding interactions.

**Acidic Residues Play a Complex Role.**
Unlike the influence of basic residues described above, the presence of acidic residues (D and E) have varied effects on the activities of the peptide substrates for the phosphatases. Inclusion of an acidic residue can either increase, decrease, or not affect the activity of the peptide, depending on the particular phosphatase. We found that SHP1, SHP2, PTPN7, PTPN12, PTPN13, and PRLs showed increased activities for peptides having an acidic residue next to the pY substrate; PTPN14, PTPRA, PTPRB, PTPRE, DEP1, PTPsigma, and PTPmu showed lower activities on substrates having acidic residues in these positions; PTP1B, TC-PTP, MEG1, RPTPg, and hALP were less affected by the presence of acidic residues in these positions. CD45 is an interesting case because an aspartic acid (D) at the X position reduces PTP activity but when present at the Z position, results in an increased activity. Our results reveal that acidic residues play a more complex role than commonly described as generally being favored.

**Table 1. Summary of Phosphatase Selectivity on pY-Library Ac-TRDXpYZTC-NH₂**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC-PTP (PTPN2)</td>
<td>M,G,T</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEG1 (PTPN4)</td>
<td>D,E,M</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHP1 (PTPN6)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>LLL,W,Y</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL3</td>
<td>E,L,F,Y</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The quantitative SAMDI-MS assay allows us to see the whole
picture of PTP activity profiles and understand how each
amino acid contributes to the selectivities.

 **Glycine Has Different Effects at X and Z Positions.**
Glycine (G) is a unique residue because of its wider
conformational space in protein structure. We found that
glycine plays very different roles in affecting substrate activity
when present at X (−1) and Z (+1) positions. At the Z
position, glycine is often an activator (observed for 15 out of
22 phosphatases, Figure 4C) and we find it never inhibits
activity relative to the average activity for all peptides (Figure
4D). This trend suggests that the binding pocket at the +1
position of the substrate does not participate in strong
recognition of the side chain. At the X position, in contrast,
glycine frequently serves to decrease activity (observed for 16
out of 22 phosphatases, Figure 4C). Clearly, side chain
interactions of the substrate with the active site are important
in this position.

 **Proline Is a Strong Inhibitor for PTPs.** Proline (P),
because of the secondary amide, has a turn conformation that
often disrupts binding of a substrate to the active sites of
enzymes. We found that in no case is the presence of a
proline residue at either the X or the Z position favorable for
PTP activity. All 21 PTPs disfavor proline residues at both X or
Z positions on their substrates (Figure 4B and 4D). ALP has a
slight preference for a proline at the X position, although we
note it is not a classic cysteine-based protein tyrosine
phosphatase and the active site structure is very different.

**Aromatic and Hydrophobic Residues Are General Activators.** We found that aromatic residues (Y, F, W) are
among the most consistent activators for PTP activity (Figure
4A and 4C). This observation is consistent with previous work
that analyzed substrate selectivity of SHP1, SHP2, PTP1B, and
PTPRA. We found the trend is universal to all 21 PTPs
assayed. A crystal structure provides some structural insight
into the preference for aromatic residues. In SHP2, two
aromatic groups (Y279, H426) occupy either side of the PTP
active site, providing π-π interactions to pY and its
neighboring residues on the substrate. The analogous residues
in PTP1B are Y46 and F182. From sequence alignment of all
the PTPs assayed (Supporting Information), we found that the
role for these two residues in substrate recognition are highly
conserved. All PTPs have the Y residue (except PTPN14,
which has an I) in the first position and either an H, Y, or F in
the second position (except RPTPg which has an M). These
residues also provide hydrophobic interactions to the hydro-
phobic residues (A, I, L, V), while they are less activating
compared to aromatic residues perhaps because the interaction
is weaker and less specific.

**Polar Neutral Residues Are Less Involved in PTP Specificities.** Unlike residues having side chains with charge,
aromatic or steric nonpolar groups, polar neutral residues (N,
Q, S, T) have fewer features for molecular recognition and play
a minor role in enhancing or decreasing the activities of the
peptide substrates. Amides (N, Q) are more frequently found
in PTP1B and either an H, Y, or F in the second position (except RPTPg which has an M). These
residues also provide hydrophobic interactions to the hydro-
phobic residues (A, I, L, V), while they are less activating
compared to aromatic residues perhaps because the interaction
is weaker and less specific.

**Comparisons to Prior Studies.** Pei and co-workers’
pioneering studies of PTP specificity used combinatorial
peptide libraries prepared by split-pool synthesis and had
more than 10⁶ peptides. Beads that had active peptide
sequences for the PTPs were identified with a colorimetric
labeling method and then sequenced by partial Edman
degradation-mass spectrometry (PED-MS). This approach
has the benefit that it rapidly identifies the most active
sequences from a very large number of peptides, but the
limited throughput of PED-MS only allows a very small
fraction of the beads to be sequenced. In their experiments,
as low as 0.0008% of peptides were sequenced, and therefore,
the overall specificity and the nuanced preferences for the PTPs
are not revealed. The approach also relies on a qualitative
isolation of beads based on intense, medium, and light red
beads and does not directly provide a more quantitative
ranking of activities. In this respect, our work with peptide
arrays and mass spectrometry provides a complementary
insight into the PTP specificity. We note that the expense
associated with peptide synthesis currently limits our array
sizes to hundreds (not thousands or millions) of peptides, but
it does have the benefit of providing relative activities for each
peptide substrate in the array.

Pei and co-workers first discovered that PTPs generally have
a preference of substrates having an abundance of acidic
residues and disfavor substrates with basic residues. Our work
confirms this finding that basic residues are indeed the most

Figure 4. Histograms showing the role of residues found in phosphatase specificities. The x-axis represents amino acids and the y-axis is the total number of PTPs that activates/inhibits dephosphorylation activities at either X or Z positions. The colors correspond to different degrees of activation/inhibition in activities assayed by SAMDI-MS. (A) Aromatic and hydrophobic residues are activating at the X position. (B) Basic residues, G and P, are inhibitory at X position. (C) Aromatic residues are favored at the Z position, while G is also favored in contrast to the X position. (D) Basic residues and P, again, are inhibitory at the Z position.
disfavored for PTPs in their substrates and reveals that acidic residues promote activity, though they play more complex roles when compared to all other amino acids. SHP1, SHP2, PTPN7, PTPN12, PTPN13, and PRLs prefer acidic residues, whereas PTPN14, MEG2, PTPRA, PTPRB, PTPRE, DEP1, PTPsigma, PTPmu disfavor them; and they are not particularly preferred when compared to all other amino acids for PTP1B, TC-PTP, MEG1, RPTPg, and hALP. For those PTPs that prefer acidic residues, we found that the preference is more obvious at Z (+1) position. For example, a glutamic acid (E) at X (−1) leads to a small −2% activity decrease for PTPN12; however at Z (+1), it increases activity by +113% for this phosphatase and was consistent with results reported by Pei and co-workers. Similarly, we also find that aromatic residues in the substrate promote activity for the PTPs. While the combinatorial screening approach taken by Pei and co-workers successfully captured the most obvious characteristics of PTP substrate selectivities, our use of peptide arrays gave a more complete understanding of specificity. We are able to better assess those residues that generally contribute to lower PTP activities and understand their roles. We also found that glycine has an opposite effect when present in the X and Z positions; prolines are disfavored in general; nonaromatic hydrophobic residues are also activators and polar residues are less involved in PTP specificities.

Categorizing PTPs According to Their Substrate Selectivities. We next asked whether the different specificities of the PTPs are related to the phylogenetic tree and sequence similarity of the enzymes. In Figure 5, we show how the presence or absence of five amino acids (R, D, E, G, P) can be used as filters to partition the PTPs into distinct classes. Starting at the left of the tree, we divide the enzymes into groups according to each of eight features (listed at the top of the figure), where the upper group has the feature and the lower group does not. We started by selecting the enzymes that favor a P residue in the X position and followed by those that favor an R in either X or Z positions; these rules isolated a single phosphatase (hALP). We then selected PTPs that favor a R residue in both the X and Z positions (again, isolating one enzyme PTPmu). Because acidic residues play a complex role in PTP selectivity—where they can either increase, decrease or not affect the activity on the peptide—we then separated PTPs that either favor D and E in both the X and Y positions (D,E,X,Y) or disfavor these residues. Noting that glycine often promotes activity when present in the Z position but decreases activity in X position, we used this filter to further separate the PTPs. Finally, we separated the PTPs according to role of proline in decreasing activity.

We used the UniProtKB tool to compare and align the PTP sequences (Supporting Information), and we generally found that PTPs that are evolutionarily related have similar specificities. For example, SHP1 and SHP2 share >55% sequence identity (60.8% similarity in PTP domain), a common backbone fold, and a common regulatory mechanism.56 We found that their substrate specificities were indistinguishable by the criteria we used in preparing the selectivity tree (Figure 5). Similarly, PTPN13 shares a common substrate specificity with SHP1/2 in our selectivity tree and also has a high sequence similarity to SHP1 and SHP2 in the protein sequence. Three PRLs are very similar in both sequence and substrate selectivity and yet different from classic PTPs. The three phosphatases PTPRA, DEP1, and PTPbeta all belong to the same receptor-like PTP family and exhibited a similar specificity. The enzyme hALP is serine-based phosphatase that uses Zn2+ and Mg2+ activation, and its catalytic mechanism is very different from the cysteine-based PTPs. The sequence is completely unique, and this is the only enzyme we find that prefers a P residue in the X position. We also note that these observations agree with an evolutionary tree analysis previously reported by Cesareni and co-workers.57

Potential Mutation/Modification Crosstalk Involving PTP1B. The phosphatase specificities determined in this work can contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms by which mutations contribute to pathological phenotypes. For example, Beguinot and co-workers reported a mutant of the insulin receptor (INSR, R1152Q) that was found in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes (Figure 6A).58 This mutant is interesting because it is adjacent to a tyrosine that is known to be autophosphorylated (Y1151) by the insulin-bound receptor and that participates in the tyrosine triplet that activates the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) activity of INSR and regulates the downstream cellular signal transduction.59 This study also reported that the mutant had a higher level of basal kinase activity on various endogenous and exogenous substrates, even though phosphorylation of Y1151 is significantly lower than that in the wild-type receptor. The phosphorylation of the mutant by the wild-type receptor was also impaired, leading to the conclusion that the mutation led to poor phosphorylation of Y1151 because it is a less active substrate for its own kinase domain, and in turn, it had a lower sensitivity to insulin, which contributes to diabetes. It is interesting that this study did not consider the possibility that the mutation made the site more active for its PTP; because the level of

Figure 5. Specificity tree of the 22 phosphatases profiled with peptide arrays and SAMDI-MS. We use specificity features based on five amino acid residues (P, R, D, E, G) at X and Z position to differentiate the phosphatases. A well-separated tree indicates that phosphatases are unique in their substrate specificity.
phosphorylation depends on the dynamic balance of two opposing activities, it can be decreased either by a lower kinase activity or a higher phosphatase activity. In this example, PTP1B is specifically responsible for dephosphorylating this site.60

Our profiling experiments revealed that replacing an arginine with a glutamine on a peptide substrate leads to an increase in PTP1B activity. This insight would suggest that mutant INSR shows less phosphorylation at Y1151 both because it is a poorer substrate for the kinase and because the corresponding phosphorylated peptide is a better substrate for PTP1B. We gained support for this possibility by comparing the relative activities of PTP1B on 2 peptides—Ac-TRDYpYRTC-NH2 (YpYR) and Ac-TRDYpYQTC-NH2 (YpYQ)—where we found the latter was significantly more active as a substrate for PTP1B. A crystal structure of PTP1B and the triple-phosphorylated INSR peptide reveals how the arginine residue is repelled by this highly basic triple-pY-binding pocket.61

**CONCLUSION**

This work addresses the long-standing challenges in characterizing phosphatase activities. Our use of peptide arrays and SAMDI-MS is the first approach to rapidly determine the specificity profiles of phosphatases using hundreds of peptide substrates. By applying this method to 22 phosphatases, we observed trends in sequence-dependent activity that will be useful in developing hypotheses for phosphorylation-dependent signaling activities in cells; many of these trends agreed with previous work based on combinatorial screening but gave a more complete assessment of specificity. The most general trend—that the presence of a basic residue next to the phosphotyrosine site decreases PTP activity on the substrate—will likely have broad relevance (such as our description of a mutant in non-insulin-dependent diabetes), and we expect these data will be valuable in many more contexts. Equally important, we believe this approach will lead to more complete understandings of the biochemistry and biology of phosphatase enzymes.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General.** Laboratory chemicals and reagents were purchased from MilliporeSigma and used without additional purification unless specified. Peptide synthesis reagents, including Fmoc amino acids and Rink-amide resin, were purchased from AnaSpec. Phosphatasases were purchased from MilliporeSigma. Self-assembled monolayers with matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization (SAMDI) mass spectrometry were performed on a 5800 MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometer (ABSciex) using either manual or automated protocols. A detailed protocol of monolayer plate preparation, peptide synthesis and phosphatase assay can be found in a previously published method paper.44

**Peptide Synthesis.** Solid-phase peptide synthesis was performed on Rink-amide resin (10 mg) housed in 96-well filter plates. N-terminal fluorenlymethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc) protecting groups were deprotected with 20% piperidine in dimethylformamide (DMF) at room temperature for 20 min. The resin was filtered and rinsed 5 times with DMF on a multiscree vacuum manifold. Amino acids were coupled to the resin with PyBop and N-methylmorpholine (NMM) in DMF at a 4: 4: 8 molar excess for 30 min, twice. The deprotection and coupling were repeated for each residue. Following deprotection of the final residue, the N-terminus was acetylated with 10% acetic anhydride in DMF for 60 min. Peptides were cleaved and deprotected in 95% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 2.5% triethylsilanole (TES), and 2.5% water for 16 h. The cleavage solution was evaporated with N2 gas flow. The peptides were resuspended in 0.1% TFA in water, lyophilized, and resuspended again in 0.1% TFA in water to a final concentration of 200 μM and stored at −80 °C.

**Preparing Peptide Arrays on SAMDI Plates.** Steel array plates evaporated with 384 gold spots (with a diameter of 3.0 mm) were soaked at 4 °C in a solution of 1 mM total disulﬁde with 0.8 mM tri(ethylene glycol)-terminated C11-alkane disulﬁde and 0.2 mM C11-alkane disulﬁde with one terminal tri(ethylene glycol) and one terminal maleimide in ethanol for 2 days to allow assembly of the monolayer (10% maleimide coverage). Peptides were diluted to a final concentration of 20 μM with 100 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) in a 384-well plate with 5 μL of TCEP beads (Thermo Scientific) in each well. Using a TECAN robotic liquid handler, 2 μL of peptide solution from each well was transferred on to the corresponding gold spot on the monolayer-presenting plate and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified chamber for 1 h to allow peptide immobilization.

**Phosphatase Assays by SAMDI Mass Spectrometry.** Phosphatasases were diluted in PUF buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 100 μM TCEP), and 2 μL was applied to each gold spot on the peptide array plate with a Multidrop Combi (Thermo Scientific). The reactions were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified chamber. (See Supporting Information for concentration and incubation time for each phosphatase.)

After the reaction was complete, the plate was rinsed with water and ethanol, treated with 1 μL matrix (10 mg/mL THAP, 5 mg/mL ammonium citrate dibasic in 50% acetonitrile, 50% water, and 0.1% phosphoric acid) to each spot and dried in air for 20 min. The spots were analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS to obtain a mass spectrum for each reaction. Enzymatic activities were quantified by measuring the areas under the curve (AUCs) for the dephosphorylated product peak and the substrate peak and determining the activity (%) =

![Figure 6. Dephosphorylation of peptides corresponding to WT and mutant INSR by the PTP1B phosphatase. (A) The mutation R1152Q occurs in the triple phosphorylation site of INSR and is adjacent to the Y1151 phosphorylation site. (B) SAMDI spectra following treatment of each peptide with PTP1B shows significantly more activity on the peptide corresponding to the mutant.](image-url)
AUC_product/(AUC_substrate + AUC_product) \times 100\%. Activity heat maps were generated by Microsoft Excel.
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