Computational Screening of Nanoporous Materials for Hexane and Heptane Isomer Separation


INTRODUCTION

Hexane isomers differ significantly in their research octane number (RON), with the two dibranched isomers having the best ratings and the linear isomer having the lowest. Similar differences are also found for heptane isomers, but the increase in the carbon number leads to a downward shift in the RON compared to the hexane isomers. A viable strategy to upgrade hexane mixtures produced from oil refining is to separate the dibranched species from the linear or monobranched ones, followed by isomerization of the latter. However, the separation of hexane isomers is an extremely challenging task because the vapor pressures and polarizabilities of the isomers are very close, leaving conventional techniques (such as distillation) ineffective for this purpose. Alternatively, the separation of hexane isomers could be achieved using solid adsorbents by exploiting the differences in their degrees of branching, which could create differences in the adsorption strengths of these molecules inside the solid adsorbent materials. Current...
adsorptive separation technologies (e.g., those using zeolites) can only differentiate linear alkanes from mono- and dibranched alkanes, but the desired operation is to efficiently separate the dibranched isomers from both linear and monobranched alkanes.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of porous crystalline solids that are self-assembled by combining metal salts and organic linkers. They are promising for applications ranging from gas storage and separations to chemical sensing and catalysis. MOFs are tunable materials in that the shape and size of their interior pores can be engineered with unprecedented precision by choosing a suitable combination of building blocks for the synthesis. Because of this versatility, MOFs with channels of many distinct types have been synthesized and reported in the literature. Recently, Long and co-workers demonstrated that Fe$_2$(BDP)$_3$ (denoted as VICDOC in the Cambridge Structural Database, BDP$^{2+} = 1,4$-benzenedipryrazolate), a MOF with one-dimensional, triangular-shaped channels, can perform the hexane isomer separation with high specificity and capacity. They suggested that MOFs are advantageous for isomer separation because they “offer pore geometries that are not available in zeolites or other porous materials, facilitating distinct types of shape-based molecular separation”. Their experimental breakthrough measurements show an efficient separation of an equimolar mixture of hexane isomers (and also heptane isomers) based on their degree of branching. In comparison to previous computational screening of nearly 100 MOFs and zeolites by Dubbeldam and co-workers, Fe$_2$BDP$_3$ exhibits the highest selectivity and very good capacity. Krishna and co-workers have investigated the hexane isomer separation performance in five selected zeolite structures using molecular simulation, and Denayer and co-workers have investigated C$_5$–C$_8$ alkane separation performance in Zeolite Beta, ZSM-5, ZSM-22, Zeolite Y, and Mordenite using experimental techniques. Peralta and co-workers have reported a new inorganic/organic hybrid solid called IM-22, which can separate monobranched isomers from dibranched isomers under dynamic conditions. However, the vast majority of MOFs and zeolites have not been examined for hexane isomer separation, which creates the opportunity to discover sorbent materials with even higher selectivity and capacity than Fe$_2$BDP$_3$, but it is challenging to test, experimentally or computationally, all known MOFs and zeolites.

High-throughput computational screening has previously been used to examine MOFs and zeolites for gas storage and chemical separations applications but is usually limited to small, rigid molecules as encountered in greenhouse gas sequestration, methanol or hydrogen storage applications, or Kr separations. Recently, Bai and co-workers used large-scale computational resources to screen zeolites for the separation of linear and branched alkanes in the diesel and lubricant range (with 18–30 carbon atoms) and for ethanol purification from aqueous solution.

In this report, we carried out high-throughput computational screening under industrially relevant conditions to quickly identify new adsorbent materials for hexane isomer separation, and we performed detailed calculations to elucidate the role of channel shape in the separation. To identify top-performing materials with established synthesis protocols, which can accelerate the experimental realization of materials that are identified through computational screening, molecular simulations were carried out on databases containing experimentally determined structures of MOFs and zeolites, namely the Computation-Ready, Experimental (CoRE) MOF database Version 1.0, and the International Zeolite Association (IZA) database, respectively. The computational screening was carried out in two stages: first, the Henry’s law constants of the five hexane isomers were computed using Widom particle insertions for 5109 CoRE MOFs and 402 IZA zeolites; second, configurational-bias sampling grand canonical Monte Carlo (CB-GCMC) simulations were carried out for the subset of structures with Henry’s region isomer selectivity greater than 10 and pores with a diameter larger than 4 Å (to ensure that the structures allow for diffusion of hexane isomers into and out of the materials). Column breakthrough simulations were subsequently carried out to compare high-performing sorbent materials discovered from the CB-GCMC simulations. We also tested the validity of the design rule suggested by Long and co-workers regarding triangular channels. They suggested that linear hexane molecules can more easily “wedge along the triangular corners of the structure” than the branched isomers can, leading to preferential adsorption of less branched isomers. However, our results show that the pores of Fe$_2$BDP$_3$ are too small to allow molecules into the acute corners and that nontriangular pores can also display high selectivity for linear and monobranched hexane isomers over dibranched species. Our analysis also shows that the free energy of adsorption of the isomers calculated at low loading can be used as a descriptor for future design and screening of adsorbent materials for separation of hexane and other alkane isomers.

### METHODS

#### Crystal Structures

Crystal structures for the simulations were collected from the CoRE MOF database 1.0 and the IZA database, which contain 5109 known MOFs and 402 zeolite structures, respectively. Each crystal structure from the CoRE MOF database has a unique identifier, called a REFCODE, assigned by the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), whereas the crystal structures from the IZA database are labeled with a three-capital letter structure code assigned by the IZA, based on the rules set up by an IUPAC Commission on Zeolite Nomenclature. Note that the total number of structures in the IZA is larger than the total number of known zeolite structure types (225 to date) because the database includes zeolite structures that have been experimentally determined as well as idealized structures. A numerical suffix of zero is assigned to the idealized structures, and a suffix greater than zero is assigned to experimentally determined zeolite structures of the same natural tilings (e.g., MRE-0 is an idealized zeolite and MRE-1 is an experimentally determined zeolite structure). The pore limiting diameter (PLD) and gravimetric surface area (GSA) were computed for all structures using the Zeo++ open source program.

#### Computational Model

Each of the ten alkane isomers was represented by a group of six or seven united atoms (UA) where the intra- and intermolecular interaction parameters were taken from the TraPPE-UA force field. The Universal Force Field (UFF) and TraPPE-zeo force field were used to model the framework atoms in the MOFs and zeolites, respectively. The van der Waals (vdW) interactions were modeled using the Lennard–Jones (LJ) 12–6 potential: 

\[
V_{ij} = 4\epsilon_{ij} \left[ \frac{\sigma_{ij}^{12}}{r_{ij}} - \frac{\sigma_{ij}^6}{r_{ij}^6} \right]
\]

where $r_{ij}$ is the distance between sites $i$ and $j$, and $\sigma$ and $\epsilon$ are the LJ well-depth and size parameters. LJ parameters for sites of different types were computed using the Lorentz–Berthelot combining rules. Adsorbate–adsorbate and adsorbate–zeolite interactions were truncated at 14 Å with analytical tail-corrections applied for interactions.
beyond this cutoff distance (per the prescriptions of the corresponding force fields), while adsorbate-MOF interactions were truncated at 12.8 Å for consistency with previous work. Simulation cells were expanded to include multiple unit cells of the sorbent when needed to follow the minimum image convention with respect to the vdW cutoff distances. The zeolites were modeled as all-silica structures: P and Al atoms in IZA structures were modeled with the same LJ parameters as Si atoms since they surround Si in the same row of the periodic table. All framework atoms were held fixed during the simulations.

Computational Methods. Configurational-bias Monte Carlo simulations in the grand canonical ensemble (CB-GCMC) were carried out to compute the single component isotherms for each hexane isomer at \( T = 433 \) K and the uptake of an equimolar five-component mixture at \( P = 1 \) bar and \( T = 433 \) K. The chemical potentials used as inputs for the simulations were determined from separate calculations in the isobaric-isothermal (NpT) ensemble. In addition to center-of-mass translations and rigid-body rotations, the coupled-decoupled configurational-bias Monte Carlo algorithm was used to sample the conformational degrees of freedom as well as for GCMC insertion/deletion moves. Molecule-type identity switches were used to enhance sampling for the mixtures. 200 000 MC steps were used for each state point along the single-component isotherms, while 1.6 × 10^6 MC steps were used for the mixture simulations using MCCCS-MN software. Henry’s law constants for each compound in all adsorbent structures were calculated using the Widom particle insertion method with the configurational-bias-algorithm. Averages were obtained from 100 000 trial insertions using the RASPA 1.8.5 software.

Screening Procedure. Two filtering criteria were used to reduce the total number of structures for CB-GCMC simulations to a smaller set: first, the pore limiting diameter (PLD) of the structure should be larger than 4 Å, which is slightly larger than the van der Waals diameter of both hexanes (3.8 Å); second, the selectivity based on the ratio of Henry’s law constants should be larger than 10, or less than 0.1. The selectivities for linear and monobranched hexane isomers from CB-GCMC simulations and Widom particle insertion simulations are defined here as follows:

\[
S_{L+M} = \frac{S_{L+M,\text{Henry}}}{\frac{S_{L+M,\text{Henry}}}{S_{L+M,\text{Henry}}} + \frac{S_{L+M,\text{Henry}}}{S_{L+M,\text{Henry}}}}
\]

where \( q_i \) is the loading of component \( i \) for a given partial pressure of the component, \( p_i \) during GCMC simulations and \( K_{\text{Henry}} \) is the Henry’s law constant for component \( i \). The selectivities defined in this manner (\( S_{L+M} > 1 \)) correspond to a normal hierarchy, where the linear molecule adsorbs preferentially, then the monobranched isomer, and the lowest adsorption is for dibranched molecules. Some structures exhibit a reverse hierarchy (\( S_{L+M} < 1 \)), where the dibranched isomers are preferentially adsorbed over monobranched and linear molecules. Structures with normal adsorption hierarchy are more desirable from the operational perspective of the separation unit since the adsorption selectivity and the diffusional selectivity complement one another, that is, \( n \)-hexane isomer adsorbs more strongly and diffuses faster through the channel system. For simplicity, we rank the materials on the selectivity defined based on the normal hierarchy in adsorption in this work. On the basis of these two criteria, we created a short list of CoRE MOF and IZA zeolite structures (318 CoRE MOFs and 183 IZA zeolites) for which we carried out detailed CB-GCMC simulations.

Breakthrough Simulation. Column breakthrough simulations were carried out for selected nanoporous materials based on the GCMC simulation results to compare their potential for industrial separation applications. For breakthrough simulations, we assumed plug-flow conditions of the five-component mixtures through a fixed-bed under isothermal conditions. The local partial pressure of each component, along with the total pressure of the column, was calculated using the following partial differential equations:

\[
\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\rho_v} \frac{\partial (\rho_v y_i)}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{e} \frac{\partial (e p_i \delta y_i)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{d p}{d t} = -\frac{d p}{d s} \left[ 1 + \frac{d p}{d p} \right] - \frac{1}{e} \frac{\partial (e p_i \delta y_i)}{\partial t}
\]

where \( t \) is the time, \( z \) is the axial position along the adsorbing bed, \( \nu \) is the interstitial velocity, \( p_i \) is the partial pressure of component \( i \), \( P \) is the total pressure, \( e \) is the void bed fraction, \( \rho \) is the crystal density of sorbent, and \( q_i \) is the loading of component \( i \). The interstitial velocity is calculated using Darcy’s equation:

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{150 \mu}{4(r_p)^{0.5}} \left( \frac{1 - e}{e} \right)^2
\]

where \( r_p \) is the particle radius, and \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity. The linear driving force model is used to describe the uptake into the sorbent materials:

\[
\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial t} = k_i (q_i^* - q_i)
\]

where \( k_i \) is the mass-transfer coefficient for component \( i \), and \( q_i^* \) is the equilibrium loading of component \( i \) at the local bulk gas partial pressures. Equilibrium loadings under multicomponent conditions were calculated using the Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST) with single-component isotherms from GCMS as inputs. Further information about the breakthrough simulations is provided in the Supporting Information Section 3.

Results and Discussion. Selectivities for a five-component equimolar mixture of hexane isomers were computed for 318 CoRE MOFs and 183 IZA zeolites. Figure 1a compares the selectivities at \( T = 433 \) K obtained from the Widom particle insertion calculations against those from CB-GCMC mixture simulations at \( P = 1 \) bar for both CoRE MOF and IZA structures. Thirty out of 318 CoRE MOF structures did not adsorb dibranched isomers, in which case we assigned an arbitrary value of selectivity of \( 10^6 \). Most structures from both databases show good agreement between \( S_{L+M} \) and \( S_{L+M,\text{Henry}} \), covering a very wide range of isomer selectivities. The selectivity based on the ratio of Henry’s law constants (\( S_{L+M,\text{Henry}} \)) overpredicts the selectivity based on the CB-GCMC simulations (\( S_{L+M} \)) by at least an order of magnitude for 10% of the CoRE MOF structures (31 out of 318). For zeolites, the correlation between \( S_{L+M,\text{Henry}} \) and \( S_{L+M} \) is significantly better. There are several potential reasons for the discrepancies between the two selectivities: (i) adsorbate–adsorbate interactions could dominate at the state point of interest (1 bar and 433 K), and (ii) there could be different Henry’s regimes (different pressure ranges) for different adsorbate molecules. A detailed discussion is provided in the Supporting Information Section S4.

Experimental measurement of mixture isotherms is challenging; therefore, a common approach is to use the IAST to estimate the mixture isotherms based on experimentally measured single-component isotherms as inputs. To validate if this common approach is applicable for a wide range of selectivities and materials, the five-component mixture selectivities based on IAST (\( S_{L+M,\text{IAST}} \)) were calculated for 63 CoRE MOFs and 12 IZA zeolites using the single component isotherms obtained from CB-GCMC simulations.
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structures were chosen so that the data set covers the entire range of isomer selectivity investigated in this work. As illustrated in Figure 1b, the selectivities obtained from IAST show very good agreement with the $S_{L+M}$ values computed from CB-GCMC simulations. At high isomer selectivity, we see some deviation between the IAST prediction and the CB-GCMC simulation results. There could be various reasons for the failure of IAST to predict mixture selectivity obtained from direct mixture simulation, but one such reason is an inaccurate estimation of the saturation loading of hexane isomers from simulation. For example, saturation loading is not reached for the dibranched isomers in CAN-1 even at 100 bar, which leads to large uncertainty in the saturation loading values used in the dual-site Langmuir model. We determined that the uncertainties in the saturation loading are primarily responsible for the large deviations between $S_{L+M}$ and $S_{L+M, IAST}$ for some structures in Figure 1 (see Supporting Information Section 5 for more detailed discussion).

Another metric for the separation performance of an adsorbent is its capacity. In Figure 2, we plot the isomer selectivities as a function of gravimetric and volumetric capacity of the normal and monobranched isomers. Here, the capacity of adsorbent is defined as

$$q_{L+M} = \frac{q_{i, Ca} + q_{MP} + q_{MP}}{3}$$

where $q_i$ denotes the gravimetric or volumetric uptake of isomer $i$. From the results presented in Figure 2, it appears that the structures that exhibit high selectivity generally have low capacity, that is, there is a trade-off between isomer selectivity and capacity. This is expected because the structures with higher capacity are the ones with larger pores, and a significant portion of the space in large pores (e.g., away from the pore walls) does not provide selective adsorption. We note, however, that there are MOFs and zeolites that can separate hexane isomers based on size-exclusion, where dibranched isomers are not adsorbed inside the pore at all due to size-restriction. The lists of MOFs and zeolites that can size-exclude dibranched

![Figure 1. Comparison between hexane isomer selectivities ($T = 433 K$ and $p_{iso} = 0.2$ bar for each of the five isomers) predicted by three approaches differing in computational expense. (a) Selectivity based on the ratio of Henry’s law constants ($S_{L+M, Henry}$) versus selectivity from a five-component CB-GCMC simulation ($S_{L+M}$); (b) selectivity from IAST calculations based on pure-component CB-GCMC simulations ($S_{L+M, IAST}$) versus $S_{L+M}$. Blue and red data points denote CoRE MOFs and IZA zeolites, respectively. Magenta diamonds and squares highlight VICDOC (Fe$_2$BDP$_3$) and DEYVUA, respectively. Yellow diamonds and squares highlight SVR-1 and MRE-1, respectively. Structures that show no uptake of both dibranched hexane isomers are assigned an arbitrary value of $10^6$.

![Figure 2. Mixture selectivities ($S_{L+M}$) from CB-GCMC simulations as a function of: (a) gravimetric capacity, $q_{L+M}$ (mol/kg); (b) volumetric capacity, $q_{L+M}$ (mol/L). Blue and red data points denote CoRE MOFs and IZA zeolites, respectively. Magenta diamonds and squares highlight VICDOC (Fe$_2$BDP$_3$) and DEYVUA, respectively. Yellow diamonds and squares highlight SVR-1 and MRE-1, respectively. Data points with $S_{L+M} = 10^6$ do not adsorb dibranched isomers.]
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isomers and their corresponding linear and monobranched uptake at 433 K are provided in the Supporting Information Section 6. Although separation based on the size-exclusion principle is the most straightforward way to separate the isomers, the main drawbacks of MOFs and zeolites that can size-exclude dibranched isomers are their limited capacity and, likely, slow uptake for linear and, particularly, monobranched isomers. For example, when we examined all the MOFs and zeolites that show separation based on size-exclusion of dibranched isomers, we found that the capacity of these sorbent materials (as defined in eq 8) arises primarily from uptake of linear alkanes with only a minor contribution from the uptake of monobranched isomers. See Tables S5 and S6.

**Top-Performing Zeolites.** The results for zeolites with high selectivity and volumetric capacity values greater than 0.1 mol/L are listed in Table 1. Our computational approach can correctly identify the observed trends from experiments for hexane isomer separation in well-known zeolites. For example, experimental measurements show that MFI zeolite (ZSM-5) can selectively adsorb linear hexane isomers over dibranched hexane isomers \(^{39}\) and our calculation results show that MFI-1 selectively adsorbs linear and monobranched hexane isomers over dibranched hexane isomers. Denayer and co-workers reported reverse selectivity of MWW (MCM-22) \(^{40}\) and our simulation also correctly shows the reverse selective trend in MWW-0. CFI-type zeolite (CIT-5) has been patented by Chevron for its reverse selective nature, \(^{41}\) and our simulation predictions show the reverse selectivity in this material as well.

More importantly, our computational approach was able to identify a few less well-known zeolites with significantly higher hexane isomer selectivity (and for some zeolites, higher capacity) than MFI, ATO \((\text{AlPO}_4-31)\), MRE \((\text{ZSM}-48)\) \(^{42,43}\), CAN, SVR \((\text{SSZ}-74)\) \(^{44}\), and STW \((\text{SU}-32)\) \(^{45}\) are zeolites that show higher hexane isomer selectivity. Among the high-performing zeolites, MRE has been experimentally tested for its shape selective capability between \(n\)-hexane and one of the dibranched isomers (2,2-dimethylbutane) \(^{39}\) and was also identified by Bai and co-workers as a top candidate for separating linear and branched alkanes for a hydroisomerization process. \(^{20}\) Our computational screening shows that MRE is an excellent candidate for the hexane isomer separation as well. ATO is a zeolite with one-dimensional 12-ring pores, first reported in 1992. \({}^{46}\) The structure has not been tested for alkane separation. MWW, CAN-1 is a zeolite first reported by Linus Pauling in 1930 \(^{47}\) and has not been investigated for hexane isomer separation. The other two high-performing zeolites are SVR and STW. To the best of our knowledge, these zeolites have not been tested for hexane isomer separation, partly because SVR and STW are relatively recent additions to the family of zeolites (both first reported in 2008) \(^{44,45}\). Given the excellent agreement between experiment and simulation trends for hexane isomer separation observed in other zeolites (e.g. MFI, MWW, and CFI), we anticipate that experimental synthesis and testing of these high-performing zeolites will lead to useful results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>framework type code</th>
<th>(S_{L+M}) (mol/kg)</th>
<th>(q_{L+M}) (mol/kg)</th>
<th>(q_{L+M}) (mol/L)</th>
<th>Experimental gravimetric surface area from literature (\left(m^2/g\right))</th>
<th>Geometric surface area calculated using geometric probe (\left(m^2/g\right))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIFWO (^{48})</td>
<td>(&gt;10^6)</td>
<td>0.75 ((0.007))</td>
<td>0.808 ((0.008))</td>
<td>(&gt;10^6)</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HICVOG (^{49})</td>
<td>(&gt;10^6)</td>
<td>0.723 ((0.005))</td>
<td>0.909 ((0.006))</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICDOC ((\text{Fe}_2(\text{BDC})_3)) (^{50})</td>
<td>830 ((260))</td>
<td>0.636 ((0.008))</td>
<td>0.729 ((0.010))</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XUGUJ (^{50})</td>
<td>213 ((66))</td>
<td>0.637 ((0.005))</td>
<td>0.659 ((0.005))</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APITEP (^{51})</td>
<td>78.4 ((20.5))</td>
<td>0.809 ((0.011))</td>
<td>0.865 ((0.012))</td>
<td>200 (^{4}d)</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFATEA1 (^{52})</td>
<td>50.1 ((7.8))</td>
<td>0.647 ((0.007))</td>
<td>0.790 ((0.008))</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVTEH (^{53})</td>
<td>38.4 ((6.56))</td>
<td>0.674 ((0.008))</td>
<td>0.715 ((0.009))</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHAHIS (^{54})</td>
<td>34.7 ((10.2))</td>
<td>0.661 ((0.015))</td>
<td>0.811 ((0.018))</td>
<td>(&gt;10^6)</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIFKEI (^{55})</td>
<td>29.6 ((2.67))</td>
<td>0.855 ((0.016))</td>
<td>0.873 ((0.016))</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEJROM (^{56})</td>
<td>28.2 ((4.85))</td>
<td>0.675 ((0.011))</td>
<td>0.757 ((0.012))</td>
<td>(&gt;10^6)</td>
<td>1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERTIL (^{57})</td>
<td>22.4 ((3.45))</td>
<td>0.740 ((0.013))</td>
<td>0.827 ((0.015))</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIQA (^{58})</td>
<td>21.4 ((2.69))</td>
<td>0.631 ((0.008))</td>
<td>0.835 ((0.011))</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULUVUH (^{59})</td>
<td>14.7 ((0.94))</td>
<td>0.698 ((0.011))</td>
<td>0.802 ((0.013))</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>1205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEYVIA (^{60})</td>
<td>12.5 ((0.51))</td>
<td>1.246 ((0.011))</td>
<td>1.198 ((0.011))</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IXURID (^{61})</td>
<td>11.6 ((1.54))</td>
<td>0.724 ((0.015))</td>
<td>0.833 ((0.017))</td>
<td>(&gt;10^6)</td>
<td>1034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZUCIM (^{62})</td>
<td>10.4 ((1.04))</td>
<td>0.852 ((0.014))</td>
<td>0.855 ((0.013))</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{S}_{L+M}\) and \(q_{L+M}\) results are obtained from CB-GCMC simulations. Values in parentheses are the standard errors of the mean from 16 block averages from CB-GCMC simulation.
Top-Performing CoRE MOFs. The computational screening was also able to identify high-performing MOFs that can discriminate between monobranched isomers and dibranched isomers with relatively high value of $q_{L+M}$. MOFs are generally more porous (higher void fraction) than zeolites and thus have relatively higher values of $q_{L+M}$ than zeolites, which can be important from the process configuration perspective. High-performing CoRE MOFs and their physical properties are listed in Table 2. Note that the majority of the MOFs in the table have calculated gravimetric surface areas that are significantly higher than experimental surface areas (except for Fe₂(BDP)₃). The differences are largely due to incomplete activation of MOF pores.⁶³ One of top-performing CoRE MOFs from the list is VICDOC (chemical formula: Fe₂(BDP)₃), which has been recently reported by Long and co-workers for its exceptional hexane isomer selectivity ($S_{L+M}$ ≈ 2,000) and high capacity ($q_{L+M}$ ≈ 0.67 mol/kg).⁹ It is encouraging that our screening procedure could identify this MOF as having high hexane isomer selectivity ($S_{L+M}$ ≈ 830) and capacity ($q_{L+M}$ ≈ 0.64 mol/kg). Note that two of the structures listed in Table 2 are not able to adsorb dibranched isomers due to size restriction (i.e., pores are too small for dibranched isomer to fit), so the separation between mono- and dibranched isomers occurs based on size-exclusion of dibranched isomers. In theory, MOFs that can separate monobranched isomers from dibranched isomers via size-exclusion of dibranched isomers are
highly desirable. However, MOFs and zeolites that can size-exclude dibranched isomers do not adsorb enough monobranched isomers, and therefore, we focus our efforts on identifying MOFs that can separate hexane isomers based on the competitive adsorption between mono- and dibranched isomers. By focusing on these MOFs, we can assess the connection between the channel shape and selectivity.

Among the top candidate MOFs in Table 2, DEYVUA is an attractive synthetic target for practical applications because the gravimetric and volumetric capacities of this MOF exceed 1.0 mol/kg and 1.0 mol/L, respectively, which is almost double the capacity of VICDOC. From the CB-GCMC simulations, we find that the hexane isomer selectivity in this MOF is above 10, which is considered good.

Pore Networks in High-Performing Structures. On the basis of CB-GCMC simulations, we chose three adsorbent structures (2 MOFs and 1 zeolite) and visualized the pore networks of these high-performing structures (Figure 3). The visualization shows that the pores in DEYVUA are highly interconnected, whereas the pores in VICDOC and MRE-1 are one-dimensional and relatively straight.

Additional CB-GCMC Calculations. To further investigate the general alkane isomer separation capability of high-performing nanoporous materials investigated in this work, we carried out CB-GCMC simulations to model an equimolar 10-component mixture of C6 and C7 isomers for the pressure range from 1 Pa to 1 000 000 Pa at 433 K. One structure from the IZA (MRE-1) and one structure from the CoRE MOF database (DEYVUA) were chosen because these sorbent materials have a good combination of selectivity and capacity, and simulations were carried out for VICDOC as a comparison.

Figure 4 shows that DEYVUA, VICDOC, and MRE-1 can effectively distinguish different branched isomers based on the degree of branching for both C6 and C7 isomers. In general, the adsorption isotherm for the C7 isomers is shifted upward compared to the corresponding C6 isomer at the same absolute pressure (but different reduced pressure compared to their saturated vapor pressures). Of these three structures, Fe(BDP), and MRE-1 show a better preferential sorption of the linear and monobranched isomers over the dibranched isomers. For VICDOC, the uptakes within the group of the four monobranched isomers and the group of the four dibranched isomers are similar, whereas the uptakes within these two groups are more spread out for MRE-1.

Breakthrough Simulations. We carried out column breakthrough simulations to compare the performance of adsorbent materials with different values of selectivity and capacity and to understand how the trade-off between these metrics affects the overall performance. The column breakthrough simulations were performed with the single-component isotherms obtained from the CB-GCMC simulations as inputs, and constant values of feed velocity, void fraction of bed, and bed length were used for fair comparison among different adsorbent materials (see Section S3 for more details). We have also used the same value of diffusion coefficient for different adsorbent materials for a given hexane isomer species.

Mixture thermodynamics was calculated using IAST. The column breakthrough simulation results (Figure 5a–c) demonstrate that DEYVUA can efficiently separate hexane isomers based on their degree of branching; the dibranched isomers elute after 40 s, followed by the monobranched species eluting after 400 and 500 s for 3MP and 2MP, respectively, and n-hexane at ~1340 s, with a clear separation among the three isomer classes. For VICDOC, the dibranched isomers elute almost instantaneously (3 s), 3MP and 2MP elute at 65 and 160 s, respectively, followed by elution of n-hexane at 783 s. Thus, there is less separation (in absolute time) between the dibranched and monobranched isomers in VICDOC. For MRE-1, the dibranched and monobranched species elute together, giving no effective separation. For use below, we define the breakthrough time, \( t_b \), as the time when the outlet mole fraction of the fastest eluting molecules reaches 10% of the inlet mole fraction. For DEYVUA, \( t_b \) is 40 s, for VICDOC it is 3 s, and for MRE-1 \( t_b \) is less than 2 s. The longer \( t_b \) for DEYVUA can be attributed to its high capacity \( (q_{L-M}) \) because hexane isomers have more "space" to explore inside the bed for such a case.

To evaluate the effectiveness in producing high RON gasoline additive, we compared the RON values produced from the three sorbent materials based on the breakthrough simulation results. Figure 5d demonstrates that DEYVUA can
produce high-octane fuels with an increased “cycle time” (defined in the Figure 5 caption) compared to VICDOC and MRE-1 for a pressure swing adsorption process. A longer cycle time can reduce the operating cost of the separation unit because a smaller number of cycles is needed to produce a given amount of product, and each cycle requires energy to regenerate the column. The cycle times are 471, 211, and 0 s for DEYVUA, VICDOC, and MRE-1, respectively. For MRE-1, the product stream quickly falls below RON = 92, which means that the material cannot be used to produce high-octane gasoline even though the selectivity and capacity obtained from GCMC simulations suggests the material is an excellent candidate for such application. The mismatch between the CB-GCMC and the breakthrough simulation results is because the results from CB-GCMC simulation are only based on equilibrium consideration, whereas the results from breakthrough simulations are based on both equilibrium and mass-transfer considerations. In a breakthrough column, the gas phase and solid adsorbent phases do not necessarily reach equilibrium because the time it takes for two phases to reach equilibrium will depend on the mass-transfer coefficient of hexane isomers into the adsorbent material. This effect is not captured during CB-GCMC simulations, and thus, the mismatch between predicted performance between CB-GCMC and the breakthrough simulations. Nevertheless, the longer cycle time of DEYVUA suggests that the operational cost of DEYVUA may be significantly lower compared to VICDOC.
The cycle time is also impacted by the mass transfer coefficient between the bulk gas phase and the adsorbent phase. For example, if the mass transfer coefficient is extremely low (i.e., small diffusion coefficient), these molecules will travel through the column very rapidly, and the adsorbent inside the packed bed column will not be able to adsorb the molecules quickly enough. In the context of hexane isomer separation, dibranched isomers will break through immediately if only the dibranched isomers have extremely low diffusion coefficients, which could act favorably in terms of increasing the cycle time (i.e., lower operating cost). However, if the diffusion coefficients of mono- and linear hexane isomers are also extremely low, these low-octane isomers will break through immediately as well and decrease the cycle time (i.e., higher operating cost). The pore network in DEYVUA is corrugated (see Supporting Information Figure S22), and it is possible that dibranched isomers will not be able to diffuse through the pores. A sensitivity analysis of the breakthrough simulations to variations in the diffusion coefficients is provided in the Supporting Information Section S8.

**Synthesis of DEYVUA.** Encouraged by both CB-GCMC and breakthrough simulation predictions, we synthesized DEYVUA based on the synthesis protocol from the original literature. However, we found that the MOF is relatively flexible due to the coordination between the linker and the secondary building block where a nitrogen atom binds to the coordinately unsaturated zinc metal center. Since the original structure is based on a Zn-paddlewheel, we hypothesized that the synthesis of an isoreticular MOF based on the Cu-paddlewheel could stabilize the framework and minimize the effect of flexibility in adsorption measurements. The experimental nitrogen isotherms (Supporting Information Figure S15) show that the newly synthesized, isoreticular DEYVUA (Cu) is stable and we were able to achieve 92.7% activation. However, for adsorption of 3MP (measured at 298 K), we observed a large difference between simulated and measured single component isotherms. On the basis of the observation, we did not further pursue the experiments for measuring other isomers. Details of the synthesis of Zn-paddlewheel and Cu-paddlewheel based DEYVUA and the comparison between...
Table 3. Thermodynamic Properties Obtained from Widom Particle Insertion Simulations for Adsorption of Hexane Isomers at 433 K in Selected CoRE MOFs and Zeolites from Tables 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>MRE-1</th>
<th>CAN-1</th>
<th>VICDOC</th>
<th>DEYVUA</th>
<th>MFI-1</th>
<th>MWW-0</th>
<th>CFI-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCSC</td>
<td>selectivity</td>
<td>5/88</td>
<td>11/67</td>
<td>8/32</td>
<td>12/5</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>0.83/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td>ΔΗ_ads</td>
<td>−77.8</td>
<td>−70.5</td>
<td>−83.2</td>
<td>−72.3</td>
<td>−67.5</td>
<td>−54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ΔS_ads</td>
<td>+41.9</td>
<td>+37.6</td>
<td>+51.1</td>
<td>+37.8</td>
<td>+36.9</td>
<td>+30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔA_ads</td>
<td>−32.3</td>
<td>−29.3</td>
<td>−28.5</td>
<td>−30.9</td>
<td>−27.0</td>
<td>−20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2MP</td>
<td>ΔΗ_ads</td>
<td>−68.5 (71%)</td>
<td>−59.1 (85%)</td>
<td>−75.5 (70%)</td>
<td>−68.7 (69%)</td>
<td>−65.4 (72%)</td>
<td>−52.5 (−380%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ΔS_ads</td>
<td>+45.7 (29%)</td>
<td>+39.6 (15%)</td>
<td>+54.4 (30%)</td>
<td>+39.4 (31%)</td>
<td>+37.7 (28%)</td>
<td>+28.2 (480%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔA_ads</td>
<td>−19.2</td>
<td>−15.9</td>
<td>−17.5</td>
<td>−25.7</td>
<td>−24.1</td>
<td>−20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3MP</td>
<td>ΔΗ_ads</td>
<td>−62.4 (74%)</td>
<td>−57.8 (64%)</td>
<td>−79.1 (34%)</td>
<td>−67.1 (70%)</td>
<td>−62.4 (100%)</td>
<td>−53.3 (−33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ΔS_ads</td>
<td>+47.4 (26%)</td>
<td>+41.7 (24%)</td>
<td>+59.1 (66%)</td>
<td>+40.0 (30%)</td>
<td>+36.9 (0%)</td>
<td>+27.3 (133%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔA_ads</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
<td>−12.5</td>
<td>−16.4</td>
<td>−23.5</td>
<td>−21.9</td>
<td>−22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23DBM</td>
<td>ΔΗ_ads</td>
<td>−45.5 (79%)</td>
<td>−45.2 (82%)</td>
<td>−52.9 (87%)</td>
<td>−62.4 (71%)</td>
<td>−59.6 (98%)</td>
<td>−58.4 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ΔS_ads</td>
<td>+50.4 (21%)</td>
<td>+43.3 (18%)</td>
<td>+55.5 (13%)</td>
<td>+41.8 (29%)</td>
<td>+37.1 (2%)</td>
<td>+27.8 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔA_ads</td>
<td>+8.5</td>
<td>+1.7</td>
<td>+6.2</td>
<td>−17.0</td>
<td>−18.9</td>
<td>−27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22DBM</td>
<td>ΔΗ_ads</td>
<td>−36.7 (95%)</td>
<td>−37.7 (92%)</td>
<td>−51.9 (92%)</td>
<td>−60.0 (72%)</td>
<td>−60.0 (115%)</td>
<td>−56.9 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−ΔS_ads</td>
<td>+43.9 (5%)</td>
<td>+39.5 (18%)</td>
<td>+54.0 (8%)</td>
<td>+42.6 (28%)</td>
<td>+35.9 (−15%)</td>
<td>+27.0 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔA_ads</td>
<td>+10.8</td>
<td>+5.4</td>
<td>+5.7</td>
<td>−13.8</td>
<td>−20.5</td>
<td>−26.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Enthalpy (ΔΗ_ads) and entropy (−ΔS_ads) of adsorption and the free energy of adsorption (ΔA_ads) are in units of kJ/mol. ID is the identification code (framework type for IZA zeolites and CSD REFCODE for CoRE MOFs). The structures are sorted from left to right based on the selectivity from CB-GCMC simulations. The structures are divided into three categories: highly selective (S_{L+M} > 100), moderately selective (S_{L+M} < 5), and reverse selective (S_{L+M} < 1). See Supporting Information Section 12 for detailed discussion of how the thermodynamic properties were calculated. The numbers in parentheses give the relative enthalpic and entropic contributions for the separation (ΔΔA_{ads}) of each branched isomer versus n-hexane. Here,ΔH_{ads,i} = (ΔX_{C6-H} − ΔX_{C6-H,i})/(ΔA_{ads,c} − ΔA_{ads}), where X is either H or TS.”

Role of Channel Shape. We analyzed our simulation results to understand better the role of channel shape (e.g., acute corners) and whether channel shape is a good molecular-level descriptor that can be used to further design new materials for hexane isomer separation. As discussed above, VICDOC can perform the separation with high efficiency, and Long and co-workers attributed this to the triangular shape of its channel because of “the varying abilities of the isomers to wedge along the triangular corners of the structure”. To probe this idea, we computed the potential energy surface (PES) of a TraPPE-UA methyl group in the channel of VICDOC (Figure 6a). The PES indicates that the most favorable location for the UA methyl is at the center of the triangular channel, and not in the corners as suggested by Long and co-workers. The density distributions of individual UA beads and the center-of-mass (CoM) for the hexane isomers during the CB-GCMC simulations were calculated and are also displayed in Figure 6a. For the linear isomer, we find that the individual UA beads are concentrated at the center of the channel. In fact, CoM positions of all five hexane isomers are found at the center of the channel, which is direct evidence that none of the hexane isomers can fit near the acute corners of the triangular pore, and the channel can only fit a single hexane isomer for a given cross-section. This point is further illustrated in Supporting Information Figure 11 (see Supporting Information Figure S17). Our density map demonstrates that the positions of the UA beads move away from the center and toward the corners of the triangular channel as the degree of branching increases for hexane isomers. The results provide new insight about the ability of VICDOC to separate hexane isomers and the observed difference in the experimental heats of adsorption among hexane isomers in this material. To illustrate that the acute corners are not necessary to achieve high hexane isomer selectivity, we carried out similar analyses on two IZA zeolites with high isomer selectivity and “circular” channels. Figure 6b and c show the PES and density maps for the CAN and MRE zeolites, which illustrate how nontriangular pores can also carry out hexane separation with high efficiency by providing a suitable confinement.

Thermodynamic Analysis. Since the triangular channel shape is not responsible for hexane isomer separation, we sought to understand the underlying mechanism for this separation. Since we observed good agreement between the Henry selectivity and the GCMC selectivity, and the Henry selectivity is related to the free energy of adsorption at low loading, one possibility is that the difference in the free energy of adsorption at low loading among the hexane isomers leads to the separation. To test this, we calculated the Helmholtz free energy of adsorption at low loading (ΔΔA_{ads,i}) for each hexane isomer for selected MOFs and zeolites. The values are summarized in Table 3. The structures are divided into three categories: highly selective (S_{L+M} > 100), moderately selective (S_{L+M} > 5), and reverse selective (S_{L+M} < 1). In addition, ΔΔA_{ads,i} values are decomposed into ΔΗ_{ads,i} and −ΔΔS_{ads,i} terms. For highly selective and moderately selective structures, we observed a clear trend in the strength of ΔΔA_{ads,i} and ΔΗ_{ads,i} for different hexane isomers based on their degree of branching, such that ΔΔA_{ads,i} and ΔΗ_{ads,i} values are largest in magnitude for n-hexane followed by monobranched isomers and dibranched isomers, respectively. For these systems, both enthalpic and entropic factors favor adsorption of isomers with fewer branches. In most cases, the enthalpic term contributes about 70%–80% to the overall free energy difference governing the separation of the branched isomers from n-hexane. The outliers from this rule are 3MP in VICDOC, where the entropic contribution is about twice as large as the enthalpic contribution, and 3MP and 23DMB in MFI-1, where the entropic contribution is less than 2%, and 22DMB in MFI-1, where the entropic term has the opposite sign of the overall free energy difference presumably because of preference orientation of these branched isomers to
the channel intersection. Although it may come as a surprise that entropic factors play a smaller role in governing adsorption selectivity in these isomer separations in narrow channel sorbents, n-hexane in the gas phase possesses considerable conformational entropy that is lost when the channels force the molecule into a predominantly all-trans conformation, whereas the branched isomers have less conformational flexibility. Thus, greater loss of conformational entropy counteracts the greater "wiggle" room that n-hexane finds in these channels. Interestingly, hexane isomers in reverse selective structures (e.g., MWW and CFI zeolites) show less clear trends regarding the relative importance of enthalpic and entropic terms, suggesting that these properties are not suitable descriptors to screen and design reverse selective structures for hexane isomers. For instance, the enthalpic terms for 2MP and 3MP are larger in magnitude but has the opposite sign as the overall free energy difference with respect to n-hexane in MWW zeolite, but the free energy difference in CFI zeolite is in the order of ~1 kJ/mol for all hexane isomers.

For highly selective nanoporous materials (MRE-1, CAN-1, and VICDOC), ΔH_ads for the dibranched isomers is positive, that is, adsorption is unfavorable compared to the gas phase. The large decrease in the enthalpy of adsorption for dibranched isomers compared to n-hexane is likely due to unfavorable interactions (repulsive forces) between the methyl branches of 23DMB and 22DMB with the pore wall, that is, the channels are too small for these molecules (see Supporting Information Section 11 and Figure S23). Note that the analysis is performed for the low-loading limit; different separation mechanisms, such as configurational entropy or size entropy, may become dominant at higher coverages as has been shown for MFI zeolite.

■ CONCLUSIONS
In this work, we performed high-throughput computational screening to evaluate large numbers of nanoporous MOFs and zeolites for the separation of hexane and heptane isomers at industrially relevant conditions. The ratio of Henry’s law constants for hexane isomers and a pore size cutoff were used in the first pass to select 501 candidate structures that were further explored through full-scale CB-GCMC adsorption simulations to obtain their isomer selectivity and capacity, which in turn were used to identify synthetic targets. The results from CB-GCMC simulations show that the ratio of Henry’s law constants can be used as an effective descriptor to estimate the hexane isomer selectivity at industrially relevant conditions. Moreover, the computational screening could identify 22 high-performing nanoporous materials including a MOF with triangular channels synthesized by Long and co-workers. This MOF (VICDOC) has very high capacity and was hypothesized in the literature to provide strong binding of linear hexane molecules near its acute corners. Our computational screening was able to identify a MOF (DEYVUA) that has twice the capacity of VICDOC, with reasonably high hexane isomer selectivity (S_L+M > 10). DEYVUA, VICDOC, and MRE-1 were further investigated for the separation of a 10-component mixture through CB-GCMC simulations over a range of pressures, and the results show that these sorbents can efficiently separate the 10-component mixture. Column breakthrough simulations were carried out for DEYVUA, VICDOC, and MRE-1 to compare the recycle time and RON production in these sorbent materials. Among these three sorbents, DEYVUA is predicted to offer the longest cycle time. Finally, we showed that triangular channels with acute corners are not necessary for high-performing hexane separation materials and triangular pore shape is not a good molecular-level descriptor for the design of new materials for this application. Through potential energy surface analyses and density maps, we demonstrated that the separation can also be achieved in circular-shaped channels that provide suitable confinement for hexane isomers. To illustrate the molecular-level mechanism that drives the separation, we computed the free energies of adsorption and performed a thermodynamic analysis at low loading for the hexane isomers. This analysis shows that ΔH_ads contributes about 70−90% of the free energy difference governing separation in these systems at 433 K. The contribution of Δ(−TΔS_ads) is relatively small because adsorption leads to a greater loss of conformational flexibility for linear and monobranched isomers, but greater loss of translational entropy for dibranched isomers in tight confinement.

It is interesting that MOFs generally possess much higher volumetric capacities than zeolites due to their significantly lower framework density. For MOFs to be used in an industrial setting, the mechanical, thermal, and chemical stability of the MOF must be first evaluated and tested. It may be possible that some of the predicted top-performing MOFs may not be able to withstand operating condition for hexane isomer separation. However, new MOFs with improved chemical and mechanical stabilities are continually reported in the literature, and the molecular level insights gained from this contribution could facilitate the design of new MOFs with improved stability. Nevertheless, this computational work demonstrates that a high-throughput screening workflow can readily identify high-performing nanoporous materials for the separation of complex mixtures of isomers, and detailed analyses from molecular simulation can provide molecular-level insights that can be used to design new materials for large, flexible molecule separation.
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