Managing Team Differences

The popular book *Getting to Yes* (Fisher & Ury, 1981) identifies a range of strategies for groups to use when working together to help solve problems. They emphasize the potential of win-win scenarios through integrative bargaining rather than the typical win-lose scenarios that come from negotiation.

Principle	Description	Strategies
Separate the People from the Problem	› Be soft on people› Be hard on the problem	 Use active listening to move beyond differences, anger or attitude. Sympathize and try to see it from their perspective. Ask them to do the same.
Focus on Interests Not Positions	People start with positions—explore their interests	> Seek to understand why: "You state that you want X. Explain why you want X."
Invent Options for Mutual Gain	Develop multiple optionsDecide choices later	 Once you understand interests, seek outcomes that satisfy everyone's interests. Develop a checklist: "How do we make sure we are addressing everyone's interests?"
Insist Upon Using Objective Criteria	 What are our standards for making a decision? Reason and be open to reason Yield to principle but not to pressure 	 What are we using to judge whether an agreement will work for us? Discuss why an outcome will or will not meet our criteria. Incorporate everyone's criteria when we try to think of solutions.

Strategies for Consensus Decision Making

Source: Kaner, Sam. 2014. Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision Making. Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.

Big and complex problems often take time to work through collaboratively. Here is a quick summary of some steps that a group can use to support a consensus based approach:

- <u>Brainstorm:</u> take time to generate lots of ideas (individually and as a group) without pausing to assess, critique or analyze.
- <u>Sort:</u> categorize, vote on top ideas, categorize by criteria (time, cost, feasibility, etc.).
- <u>Survey the territory:</u> specify requirements, speak from own perspective, review facts and opinions, seek perspectives not represented, ask: who, what, when, where and how?
- <u>Search for alternatives:</u> raise difficult issues, brainstorm again, anything you are not saying?, share three complaints, gather diverse points of view.
- <u>Create shared context:</u> learn about each other's perspectives, get to know each other, identify meaningful themes.
- Explore inclusive solutions: identify common ground, "how can we do both?", use case studies, reframe the problem or constraints, identify what is unchangeable and what is not, clarify evaluation criteria.

Managing Team Differences Worksheet

Types of Disagreements

In the conflict resolution literature, there is a range of different ways to describing conflict. Sometimes it is important to understand the nature of the conflict to resolve it [Adapted from several sources].

Conflict	Example	Strategy 1	Strategy 2
Personal	You don't like someone's idea because of their personality.	Focus on the problem rather than the people: "What are our criteria for making a decision?"	If you are affected by someone's behavior try to share it with them: "Your responses to my ideas makes me feel undervalued."
Technical	You disagree about the facts.	Do more research: "We seem to disagree about the effectiveness of this, let's each investigate."	Clarify the criteria: "Let's check the assignment (or with the instructor) to make sure we are approaching this correctly."
Interest	You disagree about how an outcome will affect people's interests.	Win/Loss/Other: "Who is going to win or lose from this? Can we compensate the losers or come up with a win-win scenario?	Wins/Losses/Other within the group: How can we address the needs of someone not getting what they want from this project?
Value	You disagree about values and value choices.	Explore value differences: "Why do you believe this is inherently right/wrong?"	Agree to disagree: "Rather than forcing change, we're going to point out different interpretations or different options."

Gradients of Agreement

Even if a group decides to operate by complete consensus, it does not mean that every member is completely satisfied with every decision. You can use a "gradient of agreement" to determine where you stand and use quick votes (show of fingers) to assess where you are [Source: Kaner, Sam. 2014. *Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision Making*. Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.]

5: Endorsement	4: Endorse with	3: Abstain	2: Stand Aside	1: Block
	Reservations			
"I like it"	"I can live with it"	"I have no	"I don't like it but I	"I veto this
		opinion"	don't want to hold up	proposal"
			the group."	
Response: Explain	Response: Explain	Response:	Response: Is there	Response: Explain
why if necessary	reservations and	None	anything that could	your concern. Do
	how it could be		improve your view?	you have an
	addressed			alterative?