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Abstract—One major reliability concern regarding renewable energy resources connected in the vicinity of series-compensated power transmission lines or to weak power grids is subsynchronous resonance. A particular type of interaction known as subsynchronous control interaction (SSCI), with a purely electrical nature, has the potential to grow very rapidly and can cause severe damage to power grid infrastructure. This article proposes an additional damping controller that allows mitigating the SSCI expeditiously. The proposed damping loop provides a very large impedance at the synchronous frequency and very low impedance at the subsynchronous range. More importantly, it can be integrated into the control loop of the battery energy storage systems (BESS) without imposing a negative impact on the routine performance of the BESS. As BESSs are becoming more popular in power grids, thanks to their significant impacts on reliability and power quality, it is anticipated that the proposed approach will have a great implementation opportunity. Hence, the proposed mitigation solution is implemented in a hybrid plant and tested with a radial test system and a section of the Electric Reliability Council of Texas power grid under a variety of operating conditions. The results show the efficiency and robustness of the mitigation solution even under different frequencies of oscillation and large disturbances.

Index Terms—Battery energy storage system (BESS), damping, hybrid generation resources, mitigation, subsynchronous control interaction (SSCI), subsynchronous resonance (SSR), wind farms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Battery energy storage systems (BESSs) provide numerous benefits in power systems including improvement of the voltage profile [1], peak shaving [2], provision of ancillary services, and improvement in reliability and power quality indices [3]. Specifically, BESS is a key contributor to developing a stable power grid that highly relies on intermittent energy sources. Nowadays, hybrid generation resources consisting of solar/wind plants and BESS are drawing more attention and new power plants are being developed based on this configuration [4]. The hybrid configuration, where multiple resources share one point of interconnection (POI), delivers a better generation profile, makes efficient use of the existing land, overcomes the inherent uncertainty of renewable resources, and provides a greater opportunity for energy arbitrage. As the penetration of intermittent energy sources grows, the role of BESS to improve power grid reliability becomes more crucial [3].

The widespread penetration of intermittent energy resources brings about challenging concerns related to subsynchronous control interactions (SSCIs), which is a relatively new reliability concern pertaining to renewable resources connected to weak grids or in the vicinity of series-compensated transmission lines [5]–[15]. Some types of subsynchronous resonance (SSR) phenomena involve the torsional modes of the mechanical system; hence, the frequency of oscillation is fixed and the oscillation grows gradually [5], [7], [10]. However, SSCI is a particular type of interaction between the control system of wind/solar plants and the series-compensated power lines. The behavior depends on the operating conditions and the electrical parameters; hence, the frequency of oscillation can vary and the oscillation can grow rapidly—in the order of a few hundreds of milliseconds [10]. Due to these features, SSCI can lead to severe damage to power grid infrastructure such as wind/solar plants, series capacitors, and shunt elements [5]–[15].

Incidents of SSCI between type-3 wind farms and series-compensated transmission lines have been reported around the world [16], among which are: the 2007 south central Minnesota subsynchronous oscillation which caused damage to nearby buswork and some of the wind turbine components; the 20 Hz oscillations at the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) power grid in 2009 which resulted in damage to the series capacitors and wind turbine crowbar circuits; several 3–12 Hz oscillations in north China between 2012 and 2013, all involving...
type-3 wind farms and series-compensated power transmission lines; and different events with 22–27 Hz oscillations in the ERCOT power grid in 2017 which did not cause any damage as all wind turbines were equipped with SSR mitigation options [6], [14]. Also, incidents of SSCI are reported when type-4 wind farms are connected to the weak grids in the northwest of China in 2015. The details of all above mentioned incident are elaborated in [16]. Following the ERCOT 2009 event, most system operators have developed protocols and instructions to mandate the implementation of SSCI mitigation or detection schemes in the case of any SSCI risk [10]. Therefore, different techniques have been proposed to mitigate SSCI risks. In the generation side, many researchers have tried to address this issue through a turbine/inverter-level solution involving the addition of a damping control loop or modification of an existing control loop to improve the subsynchronous damping capability of the turbine/inverter (see [14]–[23]). In [14], for example, a supplementary control loop for the grid-side converter of the doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG) only using the voltage across the series-capacitor as the input is used and demonstrated to be a simple, yet effective, mitigation solution. Analysis and mitigation of SSCI in DFIG systems with experimental validation is presented in [24] where it is concluded that the DFIG system can become immune to SSCI for any level of series compensation provided that the sensitive proportional parameters of the rotor-side converter are properly tuned. In [25], a subsynchronous oscillation suppression strategy for doubly-fed wind power generation systems based on harmonic current extractions is proposed for the frequency adaptability of the quasi-resonant controller. An effective voltage source converter (VSC) based control scheme, the so-called SSR dynamic suppressor, is suggested in [26] that is envisioned to be placed at the point of common coupling of the power plant for multi-generator SSR suppression purposes. An inertia phased-locked loop (PLL) is suggested in [27] to suppress the SSR caused by the impedance interaction between the renewable energy generation system and the weak grid. Impedance modeling and analysis for DFIG-based wind farms in SSO studies is researched in detail in [28], where the outer loop controller, PLL, and grid-side controller are all considered. The concept of motion-induction amplification is introduced in [29] and a new motion-induction compensation scheme is accordingly suggested as a solution to mitigate the SSO in wind farms connected to series-compensated transmission lines. A comparison of methods to examine SSR oscillations caused by grid-connected wind turbine generators is presented in [30]. The main challenge in implementing these approaches is having access to the intellectual property of the manufacturer models for the turbine/inverter, which is almost impossible, making these approaches impractical and infeasible for third parties. Moreover, these solutions may require not only rework for tuning the turbine/inverter parameters, but also shutting down the generators during implementation in the power plant, which sometimes has equipment from several different manufacturers. Baesmat and Bodson [31] demonstrated that the SSR problem in a DFIG connected to a series-compensated line is magnified when the stator alignment is used and, therefore, designed an observer that emulates the grid-alignment without requiring grid voltage measurements and proposed mechanisms for SSR suppression through DFIG excitation control. In [32], a BESS with an additional loop using a power system stabilizer is used for SSR mitigation, but it is only applied to conventional units, which are slower than SSCI due to the mechanical nature of the interaction. Contrary to solutions on the generation side, some other literature have proposed grid-level solutions or balance of plant approach that consider the utilization of flexible ac transmission system devices or other active elements in the power grid to provide the necessary damping [33]–[38]. In [33], for example, a Kalman-filter-based mitigation scheme using a static-series-synchronous compensator is used to mitigate SSR. In [34], a mitigation solution based on a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) is proposed which improves the stability of the system. Model-free adaptive control of STATCOM for SSO mitigation in DFIG-based wind farms is investigated in [39]. An enhancing grid stiffness control strategy of STATCOM/BESS for damping SSR in wind farms connected to weak grids is proposed and experimentally validated in [40]. A coordinated damping optimization control of SSR oscillations for DFIG and static var generator is proposed in [41]. A nonlinear SSR oscillation damping controller for direct-drive wind farms with VSC-HVdc systems is proposed and investigated in [42]. A systematic procedure to mitigate the interactions between a DFIG and a series compensated transmission line using the phase imbalance compensation (PIC) concept is proposed in [43], the performance of which was tested and validated under both series and parallel connection scenarios. Nevertheless, these methods are also featured with a few drawbacks. First, each solution relies on the specific device and availability of these elements in the section of the grid with SSCI risk. Second, these elements are typically owned by transmission providers, whereas the generation owner is responsible for providing an SSCI mitigation solution per some of the existing grid codes. Ownership and operation of the SSCI mitigation by one stakeholder while another stakeholder faces the risks and responsibilities create more complexity and could render the grid reliable operation impractical.

This article aims to address the above-mentioned drawbacks associated with the existing inverter/turbine-level and grid-level solutions while providing mitigation at the generation-side (often responsible to provide SSCI mitigation solutions) with the use of an existing BESS. Moreover, it aims to maximize the benefits of the BESS used in a hybrid configuration with solar/wind resources. Additionally, we extend a patent of DNV in an application that introduces a new function for the existing BESS in hybrid plants besides the major ones extensively discussed in the literature [44]. Under this new approach, by modifying the active/reactive power controller and accommodating a supplementary control loop, the existing BESS will also be an active participant in damping the SSCI events. Note that the supplementary control loop will not influence the normal operation of BESS, as it only comes into effect when an SSCI event is detected. To this end, a filter-less damping controller has been designed whose output signal is fed forward into the control loops of the BESS. This approach uses the terminal voltage of the BESS as the input signal to the damping loop without having to utilize any band-pass or high-pass filters. In fact, the proposed approach is an active device that provides low and high
impedances for the subharmonic and fundamental frequencies, respectively.

The proposed mitigation method considers some of the most important practical factors to design a feasible SSCI mitigation countermeasure [8], [44]. The main contributions and features can then be summarized as follows: the proposed method is independent of the turbine/inverter technology and can be simply implemented by third parties; herein, it is implemented using a BESS. However, the idea is generic enough to be implemented on any active element which can be a source of energy; its design does not involve any filtering, which overcomes the issue that different oscillation frequencies may appear in different operating conditions and electrical parameters, making it more accurate and flexible for any range of SSCI frequencies; it is always in service without affecting the power frequency in normal operating conditions; it carefully selects a damping signal that will work for the whole hybrid plant, instead of only for individual wind turbines or solar units; and it has the capability to mitigate oscillations under different operating conditions, such as different power plant dispatch levels and system configurations, while damping SSCI under both small and large disturbances in the power grid, having been extensively evaluated using an actual ERCOT power grid data set.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. Section II introduces the proposed damping controller along with the mathematical formulations. Section III examines the performance of the damping controller under a radial test grid and a section of the ERCOT power grid using frequency scanning, eigenvalue analysis and time-domain electromagnetic transient (EMT) simulations. Finally, Section IV concludes this article.

II. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DAMPING CONTROLLER

In this section, we introduce the proposed SSCI mitigation approach and present a detailed model of the damping controller. Fig. 1 illustrates a hybrid energy system where a large-scale wind farm shares a POI with a BESS. In normal operation, the BESS can provide bidirectional active power flow, counteracting wind power fluctuations. The equivalent network shown in Fig. 2 represents an average model of a BESS connected to a bulk power system via a series-compensated transmission line. Current sources $I_{ma}$, $I_{mb}$, and $I_{mc}$ are derived from Fig. 3, and $R_a = R_s + R_D$ and $L_a = L_s + L_D.$ Note that $R_D$ and $L_D$ represent the virtual damping resistor and inductor, respectively. In fact, the damping resistor and inductor do not exist physically, and they come into effect only for subsynchronous frequencies.

Fig. 4 shows the proposed BESS controller envisioned to be capable of an efficient SSCI damping. In normal operation, the active and reactive power settings are provided by the supervisory control and the proposed controller follows
the angular speed. Applying the Laplace transform, (2) can be
direct, and zero terms of the terminal voltages, and the desired set-point. In this case, $I_{q}^{cmd}$ is proportional to the
active power and $I_{d}^{cmd}$ is proportional to the reactive power.
No damping current is required during normal operation (i.e., $I_{d}^{damp} = 0$ and $I_{q}^{damp} = 0$). In case of an SSCI event, an
additional signal is incorporated in the proposed controller in a
feed-forward fashion that is meant to mitigate the oscillation in
wind power plants. Here, we further elaborate on the structure of
the damping controller by deriving a set of equations generating the $I_{d}^{damp}$ and $I_{q}^{damp}$ signals.

The idea behind the damping signal is to insert a small virtual shunt impedance between the wind farm and the series-
compensated transmission line, presenting a low impedance
in the entire subsynchronous frequency range and the high
impedance at the nominal 60 Hz frequency. Fig. 3 shows an
$RL$ circuit connected between two sources $V_{a}^{s}$ and $V_{q}^{g}$. The equations of such circuit can be written as presented in [8]

$$V_{a,b,c}^{s} - V_{a,b,c}^{g} = R_{a,b,c} I_{a,b,c} + L_{a,b,c} \frac{dI_{a,b,c}}{dt}. \quad (1)$$

Assuming $R_{a} = R_{b} = R_{c} = R_{a}$ and $L_{a} = L_{b} = L_{c} = L_{s}$, and after transforming (1) into $dq0$ frame, we obtain

$$V_{d}^{s} - V_{q}^{g} = R_{s} I_{d} + L_{s} \frac{dI_{d}}{dt} + \omega L_{s} I_{q}.$$  

$$V_{d}^{d} - V_{q}^{g} = R_{s} I_{d} + L_{s} \frac{dI_{d}}{dt} - \omega L_{s} I_{q}.$$  

$$V_{0}^{s} - V_{0}^{g} = R_{s} I_{0} + L_{s} \frac{dI_{0}}{dt}. \quad (2)$$

In (2), subscripts “$d$,” “$q$,” and “$0$” represent the quadrature, direct, and zero terms of the terminal voltages, and $\omega$ denotes the angular speed. Applying the Laplace transform, (2) can be
written as

$$V_{d}^{s}(s) - V_{q}^{g}(s) = R_{s} I_{d}(s) + L_{s}s I_{q}(s) + \omega L_{s} I_{d}(s)$$

$$V_{d}^{d}(s) - V_{q}^{g}(s) = R_{s} I_{d}(s) + L_{s}s I_{d}(s) - \omega L_{s} I_{q}(s)$$

$$V_{0}^{s}(s) - V_{0}^{g}(s) = R_{s} I_{0}(s) + L_{s}s I_{0}(s). \quad (3)$$

By rearranging (3), one can obtain the quadrature term of the current as

$$I_{q}(s) = \frac{(R_{s} + L_{s}s) \left( V_{a}^{s}(s) - V_{q}^{g}(s) \right) - \omega L_{s}(V_{d}^{s}(s) - V_{q}^{g}(s))}{(R_{s} + L_{s}s)^{2} + (\omega L_{s})^{2}}. \quad (4)$$

Similarly, $I_{d}(s)$ can be written as

$$I_{d}(s) = \frac{\omega L_{s} \left( V_{a}^{s}(s) - V_{q}^{g}(s) \right) + (R_{s} + L_{s}s)(V_{d}^{s}(s) - V_{q}^{g}(s))}{(R_{s} + L_{s}s)^{2} + (\omega L_{s})^{2}}. \quad (5)$$

According to (4) and (5), one can observe that the quadrature and direct terms of the currents in an $RL$ circuit can be related to their respective voltages by two-second-order transfer functions. In other words, injecting $I_{q}(s)$ and $I_{d}(s)$ at a specific bus would mimic an $RL$ circuit connected to that bus. That being said, the same idea can be adopted to design an adjustable $RL$ circuit by the injection of variable current sources into a bus. The proposed damping controller inserts a virtual resistance and inductance with which the SSCI phenomenon can be mitigated.

The damping controller can be realized using equations (6) and (7) shown at the bottom of next page. We can observe that

Fig. 4. Proposed controller for the battery storage plant with SSCI damping capability.
the injection of the damping currents $I_q^{damp}(s)$ and $I_d^{damp}(s)$ are equivalent to inserting a virtual impedance in the network. However, one should note that the virtual impedance must not be in effect for the fundamental frequency (60 Hz). In this way, the damping controller should be designed in a way that the injected damping currents become zero for the fundamental frequency. To satisfy this condition, we proposed the SSCI damping controller as presented in Fig. 5. Having the grid voltage aligned with the $q$-axis, the direct axis voltage $V_d = 0$ and the quadrature component $V_q$ becomes a constant value at the fundamental frequency. Therefore, by eliminating the dc component of $V_q$, the injected damping current at the fundamental frequency becomes zero. Equivalently, the virtual impedance will be eliminated from the current path. It should be noted that the dc component can also be eliminated using a high-pass filter; however, it adds a phase shift that would affect the performance of the damping controller.

It should be noted that the proposed solution monitors the voltage at the POI for the hybrid generation and acts as a countermeasure to mitigate the SSCI risk of the renewable portion; therefore, it should be considered as a standalone solution for hybrid generation and not a grid solution. Additionally, since the damping controller is implemented as a feedforward loop, it is expected to have a minimal impact on the BESS control system. However, to implement this mitigation option in practice, it is recommended to revisit all the limits and ramp rate specific to each project and condition to ensure the effectiveness and robustness of the SSCI mitigation without any adverse impact on the normal performance of the BESS.

III. SIMULATION AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, the proposed solution is implemented in a hybrid plant consisting of a 100 MW DFIG-based wind farm and a 30 MW BESS system. The performance of the mitigation system is tested utilizing the frequency-scan-based technique, eigenvalue analysis, and detailed time-domain EMT simulations. Due to the limitations and complexity of access to the manufacturer-specific equipment, hardware-in-the-loop simulations are not part of this article. Time-domain EMT simulation was used herein, which is the most common and effective technique for the validation of SSCI-phenomenon-related systems by many power grid operators. A case connecting the hybrid plant to a radial test system as well as a case where it is connected to an ERCOT power grid section are tested and analyzed.

A. Radial Test Case

In the radial test case, the hybrid plant is connected to an equivalent grid by a series-compensated line. The configuration of this case study is depicted in Fig. 1 and the parameters of the radial test cases are given in [8]. First, the harmonic-injection-frequency-scanning technique along with EMT simulations are employed to perform a detailed SSCI analysis to assess the vulnerability of the system to the SSCI. This approach runs a separate frequency scan on the wind farm and the power grid to calculate the resistance and reactance of the wind farm and the grid for the entire subsynchronous frequency range. Then, both the wind farm side and the grid side frequency scan results are used to estimate the cumulative resistance and reactance.
over the subsynchronous range. The cumulative resistance at the cross-over frequency (zero-reactance frequency) is the quantitative index representing the SSCI risk [6]. A negative or zero resistance at this resonant frequency indicates the SSCI risk. The details of the SSCI analysis and the relation of the damping capability with resistance are elaborated in [7], [10], and [15]. In this article, the SSCI risk analysis is performed in two different conditions of the radial test case: the BESS does not have the damping loop and the BESS is equipped with the damping loop.

1) Radial Test Case Without the Damping Loop: In this scenario, the hybrid generation unit does not have the damping controller loop and the BESS is in ideal mode, meaning it is neither charging nor discharging. The results of the frequency scan (cumulative resistance and reactance) for this scenario are depicted in Fig. 6. As can be seen in this plot, the cross-over frequency (purple dot) is approximately around 16 Hz, at which there is a negative cumulative resistance of approximately -21.34 Ω, which indicates the SSCI risk.

A detailed EMT simulation is performed to validate the frequency-scan outcome. For the EMT simulation, firstly, the series capacitor is bypassed by a switch and the simulation is executed until \( t = 8.065 \) s to make sure that the system is in a steady-state condition. At \( t = 8.065 \) s, the bypass switch is opened and a radial connection with the series-compensated line occurs. The results including the RMS voltage at the POI and the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the POI current are depicted in Fig. 7. As expected, the results confirm the existence of SSCI at the estimated frequency.

2) Radial Test Case With the Damping Loop: In this scenario, the damping controller loop is implemented in the battery storage control system and the radial connection of a hybrid plant is tested under various operation modes of the BESS (ideal, charging and discharging). The frequency-scan results for these scenarios are given in Table I. The results show that the addition of the damping loop can shift the resonant frequency from 16 to 19 Hz and lead to a positive cumulative resistance at this resonance frequency.

The EMT simulation is performed for all scenarios as illustrative examples, the results for ideal and charging mode are depicted in Figs. 8 and 9. As it can be seen, the addition of the proposed damping controller can efficiently mitigate the SSCI. It should be noted that since the battery storage control loop does not vary under different operating modes and the damping loop is added as a feedforward term, the BESS mode of operation does not affect the performance of the proposed damping method.

B. ERCOT Test Case

In this test case, the wind farm and battery storage are connected to a section of the ERCOT power grid with high penetration of wind resources while exporting the power through a series-compensated line. The overall structure of this area, which is a combination of wind generation and series-compensated lines, increases the risk of SSCI. The ERCOT grid section is depicted in Fig. 10. A hybrid generation resource comprising a

---

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind Farm Operating Condition</th>
<th>BESS</th>
<th>Cross-over Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Cumulative Resistance (Ohm)</th>
<th>SSCI Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% turbines at 100% dispatch</td>
<td>Ideal</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charging</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discharging</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
100 MW wind farm and a 30 MW battery storage is connected to substation #3 (POI).

Based on the topology check, the minimum contingency rank that results in a radial connection between the hybrid plant and the series-compensated line is $N_{-4}$. This contingency involves the opening/tripping of the lines given in Table II. Similar to the radial test case, the SSCI analysis is performed for the hybrid plant with and without the damping loop.

1) ERCOT Test Case Without Damping Loop: The purpose of analyzing this scenario is to perform an SSCI vulnerability assessment for the interconnection of the wind farm to this section of the ERCOT power grid. This involves performing an SSCI risk assessment under different operating conditions of the wind farm and the power grid. To accomplish a wide-range SSCI vulnerability assessment, the following scenarios of wind farm operation are considered:

1) Normal Operation: 100% of the turbines are online and in full (100%) dispatch.
2) Minimum Dispatch: 100% of the turbines are online and in minimum dispatch, which in this case is 20%. This scenario simulates a wind drop condition in the field.
3) Minimum Number of Turbines: 20% of the turbines are online and in full (100%) dispatch. This scenario simulates the start-up of the wind farm in the field.

For the transmission side, the status of critical shunts in the transmission grid is studied to account for different power grid operating conditions. A switch shunt is assumed to be critical if its location lies between the POI and the series-compensated line. For each of the three wind-farm scenarios above, this article considered the grid with all or none of the critical shunt elements in operation. In this way, we can study the variation of the expected subsynchronous frequency range for different operating conditions of the grid as well. The final scenarios are then defined as in Table III. The compensation level is fixed at 50%; thus, it is not required to perform any sensitivity analysis around the compensation level of the series capacitor.

The harmonic injection frequency-scan based screening results for all scenarios except scenario SC5, there is negative damping at the subsynchronous resonant (cross-over) frequency, which implies a high risk of SSCI at these frequencies and a need for SSCI mitigation. The eigenvalue analysis was also performed and the results corresponding to all scenarios are given in Table V with the locus of each eigenvalue is shown in Fig. 11. We can see that, for all scenarios except for SC5 show a positive real part of the eigenvalue (eigenvalues are on the right-hand side of the real-imaginary plane), which means the system is not stable at these frequencies and can result in unstable SSCI oscillation. This is in accordance with the frequency scan results. It is important to recall that due to the $d$–$q$ reference
frame, modes contain the complementary-to-the-fundamental frequency for the SSCI [10].

Finally, time-domain EMT simulations are performed for all operating conditions to corroborate the results of all previous analyses. Herein, the radial condition happens at $t = 8.065$ s. The details of the EMT simulation steps are elaborated in [10].

The wind-farm active power output and FFT results on the POI current for SC1 to SC4 are depicted in Figs. 12 and 13. In line with the frequency-scan screening and eigenvalue analysis, the EMT plots also show the existence of growing SSCI oscillations in all scenarios, except scenario SC5, and the oscillation frequencies calculated by the FFT analysis closely match the frequency scan and the eigenvalue results.

2) ERCOT Test Case With Damping Loop: The analysis presented in the previous section proved that under the N-4 contingency, the wind plant is prone to SSCI under different operating conditions. Therefore, there is an urgent need for a mitigation or detection solution. The proposed damping controller loop was added to the existing BESS system and the SSCI analysis was repeated. The results of the frequency scan are given in Table VI. The eigenvalue results for all scenarios with the presence of the mitigation scheme are also given in Table VII and the locus of each eigenvalue is shown in Fig. 14.

The following discussion can be made around the results. 1) Addition of the proposed damping loop to the BESS results in a positive cumulative resistance at the SSCI frequencies. Thus, the hybrid plant has the capability to provide a positive damping at the SSCI frequency for all operating conditions, that is, the risk of SSCI has been mitigated effectively. The same conclusion can be reached from the eigenvalue analysis.

2) Addition of the damping loop can affect both the resonant frequency and its corresponding damping. It should be noted that the frequency shift for the ERCOT case is less than that for the radial test case. This is due to the interconnected nature of the large ERCOT grid.

To further evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method, EMT simulation was performed for all scenarios and the results are indicative of a successful and expeditious damping of the SSCI within the ERCOT power grid. Due to space limitation, only the EMT plots for the normal operating scenarios (100% of turbines at 100% dispatch) are shown, in which the battery is in discharging mode to compensate for the lack of the wind farm power.

The following discussion can be made around the results. 1) Addition of the proposed damping loop to the BESS results in a positive cumulative resistance at the SSCI frequencies. Thus, the hybrid plant has the capability to provide a positive damping at the SSCI frequency for all operating conditions, that is, the risk of SSCI has been mitigated effectively. The same conclusion can be reached from the eigenvalue analysis.

2) Addition of the damping loop can affect both the resonant frequency and its corresponding damping. It should be noted that the frequency shift for the ERCOT case is less than that for the radial test case. This is due to the interconnected nature of the large ERCOT grid.

To further evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method, EMT simulation was performed for all scenarios and the results are indicative of a successful and expeditious damping of the SSCI within the ERCOT power grid. Due to space limitation, only the EMT plots for the normal operating scenarios (100% of turbines at 100% dispatch) are shown, in which the battery is in discharging mode to compensate for the lack of the wind farm power.
rms voltage at the POI, and FFT results of the POI current. The following discussions can be made around the EMT simulation results.

1) For all scenarios, the proposed solution effectively damps the SSCI within almost one (1) second after the radial connection (at $t = 8.065$ s) and the system reaches steady state quickly;

2) These scenarios simulate the outage of double-circuit lines between Substation #1 and Boundary Bus #1 at $t = 8.065$ s to create a radial condition, as per the contingency definition. Thus, there is a 0.01 p.u. voltage jump related to the topology change in the transmission grid;

3) The frequency of the oscillation again closely matches the frequency scan and eigenvalue results.

3) Performance Under a Fault-Based Condition: In this section, a solid three-phase-to-ground fault is applied in the proximity of the POI at $t = 8$ s. The transmission lines between substation #1 and boundary bus #1 are tripped within four cycles. This condition is simulated to demonstrate the ability of the proposed solution to damp the SSCI under disturbances. The EMT results for both scenarios of no shunts and all shunts with the wind farm at 100% dispatch and with 100% of the turbines online are depicted in Figs. 17 and 18. It should be noted that the battery is in charging mode in these operating scenarios; hence, the active power at the POI is lower than the wind farm capacity. The following observations can be reported on the fault-based scenario.

1) The severe fault close to the POI leads to a voltage dip during the fault and a high transient overvoltage at the POI following the fault clearance.

2) As it is evident from the active-power plots and the FFT magnitudes, the fault-based situation leads to more severe SSCI oscillations when compared to the no-fault condition.

3) Utilization of the voltage as the input signal for the damping control loop always raises concerns about the robustness of the solution under severe faults, as it may cause large voltage distortions. However, the results show that, even under large voltage disturbances, the proposed mitigation can still damp the oscillation within one second (similar to the no-fault condition).

IV. CONCLUSION

An advanced and robust mitigation solution was proposed to effectively damp subsynchronous oscillations in
wind-integrated power grids. The proposed technique was independent of turbine/inverter control schemes and does not had any impact on the power frequency during normal power grid operation. More importantly, the proposed method maximizes the benefits associated with hybrid BESS and wind/solar plants, although it provides the flexibility to be used with other active elements that was a source of energy. Moreover, its filter-less nature provides the capability of working throughout the sub-synchronous range even under different operating conditions and grid configurations. The proposed damping controller was implemented in the BESS control system inside a hybrid power plant and was evaluated using both a radial test case and a section of the ERCOT power grid with series-compensated lines. Frequency scanning, eigenvalue analysis, and time-domain EMT simulations verified that the proposed solution can effectively damp the SSCI events under various operating conditions in the power grid, including large disturbances, which confirms its robustness. Future research direction could be targeted toward mechanisms to quantify and evaluate how damping controller would affect the lifespan and useful capacity of the BESS. Additionally, financial mechanisms for BESS owners in providing SSCI mitigation and other ancillary services could be further researched.
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