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There has been a steady evolution in planting systems.

- **1960’s**: 40 trees/acre
- **1980’s**: 200 trees/acre
- **1980’s (inset)**: 600 trees/acre

Pedestrian Orchards

Overgrown tops and shade
There has been a steady evolution in planting systems.

Pedestrian Orchards-1980’s

- **M.9**
  - Moderate yields and moderate light interception

Triple Row Slender Spindle/

- **Geneva Y-trellis/M.26**
  - High yields and high light interception
  - Higher yields but poor fruit quality in the center row and poor weed control
Late 1980’s and early 1990’s- Tall Orchards (again)

USA-Vertical Axis - 500 trees/acre
Mid 1990’s - Super High Density  (2,200 tree/acre)

Super Spindle/M.9

V- Super Spindle/M.9
In search of more competitive orchard systems in NY:

We summarized our data over 20 years of orchard trials into 5 systems of merit and evaluated their economic potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Tree Density (trees/acre)</th>
<th>Spacing (m)</th>
<th>Spacing (ft)</th>
<th>Rootstocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slender Pyramid</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2.4 x 4.9</td>
<td>8’x16’</td>
<td>M.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Axis</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1.5 x 4.25</td>
<td>6’x14’</td>
<td>M.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slender Vertical Axis</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>1.2 x 3.6</td>
<td>4’x12’</td>
<td>M.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Spindle</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>0.9 x 3.3</td>
<td>3’x11’</td>
<td>M.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Spindle</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>0.6 x 3.0</td>
<td>2’x10’</td>
<td>M.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Horticultural Results

- Tree density had a highly significant positive effect on yield. The cumulative yield of the highest tree density was 3X greater than the lowest density.
- Tree density had a highly significant effect on final trunk cross-sectional area. The highest planting density produced trees about 1/3 the size of the lowest planting density.
Economic Study used average yields and estimated cash flows over 20 years.

Yield Curves for 5 systems

20 Year Cash Flows
Effect of Fruit Price (Variety)

- Fruit price had the greatest effect on profitability.
- If fruit prices were low ($4.50/bu) then all systems were not profitable except the Slender Vertical Axis.
- If fruit prices were very high ($10.00/bu) such as with a new club variety then profitability was greatest at the highest tree density (2178 trees/acre- Super Spindle).
- At very high fruit prices profitability was extremely high for all systems.
The Necessity of Being an Efficient Producer

- Fruit yield had a large effect on profitability. If yields were reduced by 10% then the low density Slender Pyramid system was barely profitable. If yields were reduced 20% then only the Slender Vertical Axis system was profitable.
- Reducing yield level reduced the optimum density slightly from 1,100 to 1,000 trees/acre.
Our Results indicate NY growers should increase tree density from the current 500-600 trees/acre to 1,000-1,200 trees/acre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Density System</th>
<th>Spacing (tr/ha)</th>
<th>Spacing (tr/acre)</th>
<th>Spacing (m)</th>
<th>Spacing (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slender Pyramid</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2.4 x 4.9</td>
<td>8’x16’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Axis</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1.5 x 4.25</td>
<td>6’x14’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slender Vertical Axis</td>
<td>2244</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>1.2 x 3.6</td>
<td>4’x12’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Spindle</td>
<td>3262</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>0.9 x 3.3</td>
<td>3’x11’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Spindle</td>
<td>5382</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>0.6 x 3.0</td>
<td>2’x10’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Tall Spindle System

- 0.90-1.20 m in-row spacing (3-4’)
- 3.0-3.5 m between rows (10-12’)
- 3 m tall (10’)
- no permanent branches
- highly feathered trees
- minimal pruning at planting
- feathers tied below horizontal at planting.
The Tall Spindle was the only system to achieve 1000 bushels over 5 years. Although yield of McIntosh was greater than Honeycrisp the cumulative crop value of Honeycrisp was vastly greater than McIntosh. 

SolAxe yield and crop value was similar to the Vertical Axis.
Effect of Tree Quality on Yield of Empire/M.9 Apple Trees.

- Sleeping Eye
- 1yr Graft
- 2yr Whip
- 2yr Feathered
• Large caliper feathered trees have higher production than small caliper trees.
• Large caliper, feathered trees are the most profitable when planted at the densities used in the Tall Spindle 900-1,300 trees/acre.
• Less expensive sleeping eye trees are more profitable when planted at the very high densities from 2,000-3,000 trees/acre (Super Spindle system).
Typical feathered trees in the USA have ~ 5 large feathers. These require some pruning and significant branch tying.

With wide spacing as used with Vertical Axis, we suggested tipping leader and feathers. With the Tall Spindle, we suggest removing the 2 largest diameter feathers but leaving the leader and feathers unheaded. All remaining feathers must be tied down to prevent them from becoming large branches.
Large feathers, if tied down, can be cropped for several years without becoming dominant branches.
Tree Training of the Tall Spindle

- Do not head leader.
- Do not head feathers.
- Remove feathers that compete with leader using a bevel cut.
- Tie down 5-8 feathers below horizontal at planting or in July.
  - Remove narrow angled branches.
  - Remove scaffolds that are larger than 2/3 diameter of leader.
  - Remove side branches that are longer than 2'.
  - Remember "large branches create large trees"
- Remove branches larger than 3/4 inch diameter.
The Tall Spindle has fruiting branches that remain for 3-6 years but no permanent scaffold branches.

Mature Tall Spindle/M.9  3’ x 11’  11’ tall
Older Tall Spindle Orchard
Rootstocks Suggestions for Tall Spindle

- **M.9**
  - Fire blight is a risk in NY and winter damage is a risk in colder areas.
  - Use weaker clone of M.9 (T337) with vigorous scion varieties or with vigorous or virgin soil.
  - Use the vigorous clones (Nic29, Pajam2) with weak varieties or with weak soils or on replant soils or in hot climates.

- **B.9**
  - Is weaker than M.9 and must be spaced very close to achieve high yields per acre. It may be too weak with weak scions.
  - More winter hardy than M.9.
  - Has field resistance to fire blight.
  - Very susceptible to apple replant disease.

- **G.11, G.41, G.16**
  - Similar in vigor to M.9.
  - As productive or more productive than M.9.
  - Fire blight resistant.
Three Dwarfing Geneva® Rootstocks

- **G.11**
  - Tree size and productivity are similar to M.9.
  - Large fruit size.
  - It is fire blight resistant but is not immune.
  - In European CG trial it has looked the best.
  - Commercial sales in the US are strong.

- **G.16**
  - Tree size is similar to vigorous clones of M.9
  - Large fruit size.
  - It is fire blight immune.
  - Good resistance to replant disease
  - Requires virus free budwood.
  - Currently available from most nurseries.

- **G.41**
  - Tree size is similar to M.9 but productivity is better.
  - It is resistant to fire blight and crown rot
  - It is very winter hardy
  - Good resistance to replant disease
  - Will be available in 2-3 years.
Conclusions

1) The tall spindle system appears to be the most profitable system for New York growers.

2) Highly feathered trees are the key to the system

3) Branch angle management. Bending feathers below horizontal at planting induces early cropping and limits branch size.

4) Branch caliper management. Removal of large branches keeps trees manageable.

5) Restrict vegetative growth by partitioning a high proportion of photosynthates into apples.