Office Overview

The Office of the University Ombudsman offers a safe place where Cornell students, faculty and staff can speak confidentially about an issue or a conflict and obtain guidance. We offer a unique setting where community members can talk about academic and workplace concerns in an open and candid manner without fear of reprisal. The office is available to all students, faculty and staff affiliated with all units of Cornell University, excluding Weill Cornell Medicine.

Community members visit the Office of the Ombudsman for a variety of reasons. A few examples of issues for which a visitor may seek ombudsman services include: conflicts with colleagues or supervisors, conflicts with an advisor, concerns about job status, or an ethics inquiry.

We provide problem-solving services to visitors in a number of ways:

- Provide a welcoming environment to listen to visitor concerns
- Assist the visitor in evaluating available options
- Provide information on University policies and practices
- Provide information on how to make the University aware of a particular problem
- Refer the visitor to the proper authority to resolve the situation
- Facilitate constructive dialogue
- Coach the visitor for difficult conversations

Tenets

We adhere to the International Ombudsman Association (IOA) Code of Ethics and Standards of Practice, as follows:

**Independence**

The Ombudsman is independent in structure, function and appearance to the highest degree possible within the organization.

**Neutrality and Impartiality**

The Ombudsman, as a designated neutral, remains unaligned and impartial. The Ombudsman strives to promote procedural fairness in the content and administration of Cornell's practices, processes and policies. The Ombudsman does not engage in any situation that could create a conflict of interest.

**Confidentiality**

The Ombudsman holds the identity and all communications with those seeking assistance in strict confidence and does not disclose confidential communications unless given permission to do so, except as required by law, or where, in the judgment of the Ombudsman, there appears to be imminent risk of serious harm.

**Informality**

The Ombudsman, as an informal resource, does not participate in any formal adjudicative or administrative procedure related to concerns brought to his/her attention. As an informal resource, the Ombudsman is not authorized to accept notice (formal complaints) for Cornell University. Moreover, because the Ombudsman holds all communications with those seeking
assistance in strict confidence, subject to the limited exceptions detailed above, the Ombudsman will not forward information received in confidence.

History of the Office

The Office of the University Ombudsman was established in 1969. At that time, an ad hoc committee, chaired by Arts and Sciences Dean Alfred Kahn, recommended the establishment of the Ombudsman Office to President Corson. The proposed function of the office was to provide an independent venue where community members may come to discuss problems or issues within the University. Rather than taking a side on an issue, the Ombudsman’s role is to advocate for fairness and equity.

The original Guidelines for the Office of the University Ombudsman, functioning as our Charter, were largely adopted from the 1969 Kahn report and remained in place through 2013. While the heart of the original Guidelines is largely unchanged, in 2013 the Ombudsman Office updated this historical document to make it consistent with our practice of operating according to the International Ombudsman Association (IOA) Code of Ethics and Standards of Practice. The Guidelines are available on the Ombudsman Office website.

Personnel

In July 2011, Charles (Charlie) Walcott, Professor Emeritus, was named the University’s twelfth Ombudsman. The President appoints the University Ombudsman with the concurrence of the University Assembly (UA). Since 1969, the University Ombudsman has been a part-time position held by a senior faculty member.

Linda Falkson is Director, Ombudsman Office, and she is a Certified Organizational Ombudsman Practitioner. She has served as an ombudsman since 2008. Helen Lang joined the office in 2014 as the Ombudsman Office Coordinator. For biographical sketches of our staff, please see our website.

Outreach

We recognize that the name “ombudsman” is not intuitive and fails to suggest our role. In a concerted effort to make sure that community members facing conflict know about ombudsman services, we have significantly increased outreach efforts over the past several years. Our goal is to meet with visitors for problem solving before a situation has escalated into an entrenched conflict or dispute that is difficult to manage and for which few options exist.

Ongoing forms of outreach include: distribution of an informational brochure; posters distributed in campus libraries, academic buildings and residence halls; periodically sending informational emails; “ads” on public display screens; and promotional giveaways. Information about our services also appeared in several campus newsletters.

We also participate in campus resource fairs and similar events for students, faculty and staff. This year faculty/staff resource fairs included: Staff Development Day and the Open Enrollment BeneFair. Student resource fairs included the Johnson School Orientation Information Fair, the Residential Programs Student Staff Resource Fair, the Law School Orientation University and Community Resource Fair, the Graduate School Orientation Fair and the Pre-freshman Summer Program (PSP) Resource Fair. Additionally, we joined Willard Straight Hall Resource Center staff to serve free popcorn and engage in conversation about our office. Finally, we participated in the Cornell Stands Against Domestic Violence Resource Fair.
We are available to give presentations to groups about our services. This year we delivered several presentations as part of the New Supervisor Orientation program, and we presented at Graduate School meetings for all Directors of Graduate Studies. Additionally, we meet with staff from a range of offices, partner in community events and participate in community forums and committees that do not involve policy making, including the Soup & Hope speaker series, the Coalition on Sexual Violence Prevention, the Community Support Team and an ad hoc conflict resolution group.

**Employee Representatives**

While the Ombudsman Office does not participate in grievance procedures or other formal processes, Cornell HR Policy 6.11.4, Staff Complaint and Grievance Procedure, states that the Office of the University Ombudsman will assist staff in obtaining representation, or, in other words, in obtaining an employee representative (employee rep). The employee rep is someone who supports the employee during the grievance process. To accomplish this objective, the Ombudsman Office maintains a list of Cornell staff members who serve as employee representatives. The Ombudsman Office provides yearly training to the employee reps and connects staff to an available employee rep when needed.

The employee reps represent Cornell’s genuine commitment to ensure that employees utilizing the grievance process are supported throughout the stages of the grievance. We commend the employee reps for volunteering their time to assist fellow employees.

**Ombudsman Office Visitor Data**

The Guidelines for the Office of the University Ombudsman (our Charter) state that the Ombudsman Office provides an annual report to the community. As an informal and confidential resource, we do not keep visitor records. However, in an effort to report on our work, we communicate non-identifiable data and overall trends to the community. In addition, we appear before the University Assembly to make a report and to answer questions.

We track the following:

- Number of Visitors
- Constituency (of the visitor)
- Problem Areas (the reason why the visitor seeks ombudsman services)

**Number of Visitors**

A visitor is considered an individual who meets with the Ombudsman regarding one or more Problem Areas. The visitor may have additional follow-up meetings with the Ombudsman but the meetings are still tallied as one visitor. However, if the same visitor meets with the Ombudsman on a different occasion regarding a new Problem Area, then he/she would be considered a new visitor. For example, a student (visitor) might meet with the Ombudsman several times in January regarding a financial aid issue and come back again in May to meet about a grading/graduation issue. The January meetings would be counted as one visitor and the May meeting would be counted as one visitor.
The total number of visitors in 2017-2018 was 331. Over the past ten years the number of visitors per year has ranged from 250 to 402. This year’s total of 331 visitors was very close to the 10-year average of 327 visitors per year.

These bare statistics give no sense of the complexity and the nuanced nature of the issues and the amount of time devoted to each visitor. While some visitors have quite straightforward issues and can be helped with a single visit, others require multiple visits and a substantial amount of work between sessions.

A number of factors relate to a visitor’s decision whether to seek ombudsman services. The intensity of the conflict, the readiness of the community member to consider working on the problem and the knowledge that the Ombudsman Office is approachable are all part of the equation. Many times a positive “word of mouth” referral is helpful.

**Constituency**

The visitors to the Ombudsman Office represent the following constituency groups:

- Academic Employee
- Graduate or Professional Student
- Non-Academic Employee
- Student-Affiliated (Alumni, Parents, etc.)
- Undergraduate Student
- Other (Anonymous, Outside, etc.)

**Total Constituency in 2017-2018:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituency</th>
<th>2017-2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Employee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professors (Assistant, Associate, Full)</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Faculty (e.g. Research Associate, Sr. Lecturer, etc.)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or Professional Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Academic Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student-Affiliated (Alumni, Parents, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Anonymous, Outside, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>331</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This past year we saw a slight increase across all constituency groups except other (anonymous, outside, etc.), while the distribution pattern remained very similar to the year prior. Non-academic staff were the largest constituency group, as they have been almost every year.

Trends over the past ten years are not as clear because numbers have sometimes varied greatly from year to year. Nevertheless, it seems that the numbers of visitors in the graduate and professional student category have been gradually increasing.

### Problem Areas
Visitors meet with the Ombudsman about problems, concerns or conflicts. We refer to the various issues, the reason why the visitor seeks ombudsman services, as the “Problem Area.” All Problem Areas represent issues brought to the attention of the Ombudsman by the visitor. As an informal resource, the Ombudsman does not conduct investigations, participate in formal adjudicative processes or otherwise verify the issues. While the Problem Area represents the subjective view of the visitor, it is nonetheless the reason why the visitor seeks ombudsman services, as the “Problem Area.” All Problem Areas

- Academic Actions
- Administrative Actions
- Business and Services
Each of the Problem Areas is represented by multiple subcategories that more specifically describe the visitor’s issue. Academic Actions includes subcategories such as advising, grading and graduate committee issues. Administrative Actions includes subcategories such as access to files, fees, holds and registration. Business and Services includes subcategories such as facilities, financial aid and transportation. Employment includes subcategories such as discipline, supervision and tenure. Human Rights contains the subcategories of perceived discrimination and perceived sexual harassment. Finally, Values and Ethics includes subcategories such as an ethics inquiry, a conflict of interest or academic misconduct. Please note that visitor problems are varied and some unusual or unique concerns fail to precisely fit into a particular Problem Area.

We provide data below on Problem Areas for the past eight years, as opposed to the ten years of data we provide for the number of visitors and constituency. This is because in 2010-2011 we significantly revamped the Problem Area categories by adding the category Values and Ethics as well as significantly editing the Problem Area subcategories.

**Problem Areas in 2017-2018:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem Areas</th>
<th>2017-2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Actions</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Actions</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and Services</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal Disputes/Issues</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values and Ethics</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Problem Areas 2017-2018**

- **Employment**: 38%
- **Human Rights**: 5%
- **Interpersonal Disputes/Issues**: 7%
- **Business and Services**: 9%
- **Values and Ethics**: 22%
- **Administrative Actions**: 0%
- **Academic Actions**: 19%
Problem areas over eight-year span:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Actions</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Actions</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and Services</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal Disputes</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values and Ethics</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td><strong>411</strong></td>
<td><strong>291</strong></td>
<td><strong>451</strong></td>
<td><strong>481</strong></td>
<td><strong>471</strong></td>
<td><strong>422</strong></td>
<td><strong>373</strong></td>
<td><strong>446</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest number of visitor issues this past year, representing 38% of the visitor issues, was for the Employment Area. This has been the case in all but one of the past eight years and is not surprising given that such a large percentage of our visitors are employee constituents.

The second largest number of visitor issues was for the Values and Ethics Area, representing 22% of visitor issues. Over the past eight years we have seen a general increase in the number of issues brought to us in the Values and Ethics Area. This does not necessarily mean that there are more problems occurring in this area. The University has been vigilant over the past several years in publicizing information to community members about University and national policies and regulations, which creates a climate supportive of reporting ethical issues. We believe this trend, at least in part, reflects greater knowledge about policies. As discussed earlier, the Ombudsman Office offers a safe place to discuss options thereby safeguarding constructive reporting.

When examining trends in Problem Areas, it is important to recognize that many visitors present multiple issues. This is why we report 446 Problem Areas by 331 visitors. Indeed, Problem Areas, such as Employment and Values and Ethics, are often interrelated. For example, a visitor meeting could involve both a supervisor conflict (Employment Problem Area) and copyright issues (Values and Ethics Problem Area).

**Ombudsman Role as “Insider Outsider” and Change Agent**

The Ombudsman office is a “zero barrier” office. In other words, for a community member to book a meeting with an Ombudsman, the individual may call, stop by or email and no advance information regarding the identity of the visitor or the nature of the issue needs to be provided. In fact, at no time do we need to know the identity of the visitor.

During a meeting, it may become clear that an individual could benefit from a referral to the proper authority to resolve the situation. For a variety of reasons, on occasion, an individual may need assistance in gaining access to a person in a position of authority. For instance, in gaining access to a person in a position of authority, those reserving time for the appointment may understandably ask for the identity of the individual or other information. Further, it may be challenging to gain access in a reasonable period of time. As a trusted “insider outsider,” the Ombudsman can be an intermediary to assist in such situations. The Ombudsman is independent (independent of Cornell in structure, function and appearance) yet works for the University. (In part, the Ombudsman is effective because of being an insider.) The Ombudsman is uniquely situated to be helpful in these situations.
In addition to assisting individual visitors, as an advocate for fairness, we seek to be helpful to the institution. While maintaining confidentiality, the Ombudsman alerts the appropriate administrator when a trend or systemic issue occurs. We do this by discreetly approaching the relevant party or the person in a position of authority. We provide that person with limited trend information in a non-identifying manner.

While confidentiality limits our ability to provide certain information or great detail about the issues, we believe our input is helpful. Indeed, we appreciate the responsiveness with which those in authority hear our feedback and promote positive change.

Throughout the year we provided upward feedback regarding several matters. One limited example of upward feedback from this past year involved input regarding the religious accommodation policy and student religious holiday observance, including the importance of avoiding examinations or academic projects on religious holidays. In an effort to affirm the values of diversity and inclusiveness, we made suggestions regarding these protocols.

When we report on trends, the Ombudsman is not pointing out that someone acted inappropriately. Rather, the Ombudsman Office conveys an area of concern to ensure that the institution operates in the optimal way. Indeed, it is a sign of strength that the institution recognizes that it is not perfect and that there is a process available to voice concerns and seek improvements.

Looking Forward

As we look to the future, we envision a University that is becoming more diverse and globalized. We anticipate an even more exciting environment with a plethora of communication styles, ideas and creativity. Along with all the positive aspects of increased diversity, we are aware of the potential for miscommunication, misunderstanding and conflict. Given this reality, we continue to reach out to all members of the community, and to inform them about our services, should they need them now or in the future.

Again, along with all of the positive benefits of enhanced opportunities, we also see the challenges of a University that is changing geographically. We offer services to all units of Cornell across New York state and beyond, with the exception of Weill Cornell Medicine, and we continue to explore how to best serve the expanding Cornell Tech campus.

We are enormously grateful for the continued support provided by the University Assembly for our challenging and rewarding work. Likewise, we are immensely appreciative for the support from the Office of the President. Finally, we thank the Cornell community members who have entrusted us to confidentially meet with them to manage and resolve conflict. It is a privilege to be part of the University’s network of caring services.
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