



Part (B) of 2020 Report on Disease Efficacy Trials at HVRL:

1. Sooty Blotch & Flyspeck,

Srdjan G. Acimovic

Christopher L. Meredith



Cornell University Department of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology

11 November 2020

1. EVALUATION OF BURAN IN MIX WITH PURESPRAY GREEN OR WITH AGRAL 90 FOR CONTROL OF SOOTY BLOTCH AND FLYSPECK

Inoculum. Natural inoculum of various fungal species on infected branches of surrounding wood lots around the experimental apple orchard (ascopores and conidia).

Apple varieties, experiment design, disease rating. Efficacy of Buran (garlic powder 15%, liquid formulation, AEF Global Inc) was evaluated in management of sooty blotch and flyspeck (SBFS) at Hudson Valley Research Laboratory in Highland, NY. We used 21 years old trees of 'Gingergold', 'MacIntosh' and 'Golden Delicious'. Each treatment consisted of five replicate trees arranged in a completely randomized design (CRD). Fungicide and insecticide spray applications were applied during early spring to protect apple fruit from severe infections of endemic diseases such as apple scab, cedar apple/quince rust and fire blight typical for New York climate and geographic conditions. The last spray application in this batch of spray applications was performed on 12 May (start of petal fall). The actual petal fall date (PF) in the trial block was 5/16/2020 and was used in the SB&FS NEWA model.

The first application of each treatment listed below was applied according to the SBFS model for Northeastern US (New York State Integrated Pest Management Program, Network for Environment and Weather Applications i.e. NEWA: <u>http://newa.cornell.edu/index.php?page=apple-diseases</u>). See model outputs below from NEWA and RIMpro. The first application of each treatments was performed just before the accumulated leaf wetness (ALW) since petal fall has reached 190 hours. To estimate when this 190 h ALW will be reached in the near future, we used national Weather Service i.e. NWS weather forecast for the probability of rain (incorporated in NEWA, <u>https://www.weather.gov/</u>). The trial was initiated under the assumption that the early spring fungicide applications prevented first seasonal infections from SBFS spores that would have flown into the orchard from the hedgerows during the early spring.

All the early-season fungicide sprays and the maintenance insecticides sprays were delivered with an airblast sprayer to the whole orchard including the control trees using a Unigreen Turboteuton Mistblower air-blast sprayer (Unigreen Crop Protection, S.p.A., Reggio Emilia, Italy) delivering 49 gal of spray solution per acre. We applied the treatments listed below on a 14-21-day schedule, except if 2 inches of rain occur, when these intervals would be shorter.

Equipment. Treatments were delivered with the right bank of a "medusa" i.e. tower style Unigreen Turboteuton Mistblower air-blast sprayer using red nozzles (Uni–green Crop Protection, S.p.A., Reggio Emilia, Italy) delivering 99.5 gal of spray solution per acre, assuming 18 ft between row spacing.

Spray dates. Dates of the treatment applications according to NEWA SB&FS model are presented below. Spray intervals were: 14 days, 13 days, 15 days, 16 days, 18 days, 10 days:

7/7/2020 – at 135 ALWH counting from PF 7/21/2020 – at 181 ALWH counting from PF 8/3/2020 – one day before expected 3+ inches of rain over following 36 hours 8/18/2020 9/3/2020 9/21/2020 10/1/2020 **Spray programs lineup:** Buran (Garlic powder 15%), Agral 90 non-ionic liquid wetting and spreading agent (nonylphenoxy polyethoxy ethanol 90%), PureSpray GREEN (mineral oil 98%):

- 1. Untreated control
- 2. Buran @ 153.6 fl oz/100 Gal (1.2%) + Agral 90 @12.8 fl oz/100 Gal (0.1%)
- 3. Buran @ 153.6 fl oz/100 Gal (1.2%) + PureSpray GREEN @ 128 fl oz/100 Gal (1%)
- 4. PureSpray GREEN @ 128 fl oz/100 Gal (1%)
- 5. Captan @ 2.67 lb/A (42.7 oz/100 Gal)

Disease rating. The incidence of SB and FS symptoms on apple fruit was rated on 50 fruits per tree replicate. First rating was conducted at the usual harvest dates for the cultivars listed above. Following the harvest rating, fruit were incubated at ca. 70 F in bagged crates with moist paper towels on the cratebottoms to create a high humidity environment that promoted SB&FS development. Fruit was rated the second time 14 days after storage in crates. Rating was conducted for fruit rots at that second rating time. The percent incidence of both diseases on fruit will be calculated from the number of fruit with SB or FS versus the fruit without these symptoms. Disease incidences will be subjected to LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$), for a completely randomized design using PROC MIXED statistical procedure in SAS Studio (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Ginger Gold harvest rating was 09/1-2/2020, 2-weeks after harvest rating was 10/03-04/2020. Golden Delicious harvest rating was 10/16-17/2020, 2-weeks after harvest rating: 10/30-31/2020.

Experimental plot map. 1. White = untreated control; 2. Blue = Buran + Agral 90; 3. Pink = Buran + PureSpray GREEN; 4. Black Stripe Yellow - PureSpray GREEN; 5. Orange = Captan:





Summary of weather conditions in 2020 and natural disease infection periods, respectively:

Figure 1. Weather conditions during the SB&FS fungicide trial in 2020 in Highland, NY, recorded by a nearby NEWA weather station in New Paltz, NY. Top graph: red line shows temperatures (left y-axis in red), blue curved lines show rain lengths and amounts in inches (right y-axis in blue), grey background represent relative air humidity (RH) in % (far left y-axis in black). Bottom graph with dates shows the length of rain (dark blue) and of wetting periods after the rain stopped or from dew (light blue). Source: RIMpro Cloud Service: https://rimpro.eu/ (RIMpro B.V., Zoelmond, Netherlands).

Table 1. Application details: application date, application equipment, operating pressure, nozzle type
and size, spray volume and quality, temperature, relative humidity, wind velocity and dew presence.
Roughly 3 h was needed to apply all the spray programs in one day.

Spr ay #	Date M/D/Y	Operating Pressure	Nozzle type	TeeJet Nozzle type size*	Temp (F)	Relative Humidity (%)	Wind (mph)	Dew	Time of day spray start
1	7/7/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	80	66	1.2	None	4 – 7 PM
2	7/21/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	78	57	0	None	8:10 PM
3	8/3/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	87	47	1.5	None	11:20 AM
4	8/18/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	76	47	0.8	None	6:15 PM
5	9/3/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	77	45	1.2	None	12:00 PM
6	9/21/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	62	38	3.1	None	2:00 PM
7	10/1/2020	8.5 Bar	Conical	TXA8004VK	62	51	0	None	6:30 PM

TXA ConeJet	(11.1 mm) ← Square										(0							
5%4"	(21 mi		\odot	DROP	CAPACITY TWO	CAPACITY TWO NOZZLES		GPA	30	r		\odot	CAPACITY THREE	CAPACITY THREE NOZZLES		GPA	30	r 🔼	
(2.0 mm)	=	\mathbf{O}	PSI	0.22	IN GPM	IN OZ./MIN.	3 MPH	4 MPH	5 MPH	6 MPH	7 MPH	PSI	IN GPM	IN OZ./MIN.	3 MPH	4 MPH	5 MPH	6 MPH	7 MPH
	195±" (15 mm) → Dia.	ТХА80050VК TXB80050VK (100) ТХА800067VК TXB800067VK (50) ТХА8001VК TXB8001VK TXB80015VK (50) ТХА80015VK (50) ТХА8002VK ТХА8002VK (50)	40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 105 105 105 105 105 105 10	VF VF VF VF VF VF VF VF VF VF VF VF VF V	0.12 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.16 0.13 0.16 0.13 0.20 0.22 0.20 0.24 0.27 0.30 0.34 0.30 0.34 0.36 0.42 0.46 0.46 0.49 0.49 0.56	15 18 19 20 20 23 26 29 26 29 26 31 35 39 43 38 43 38 47 53 66 66 66 51 62 71 79	6.6 7.9 9.2 9.9 10.6 8.6 10.6 11.9 13.4 14.8 13.2 15.9 18.1 20 22 19.8 24 28 31 34 26 32 37 41	5.9 5.9 6.9 7.4 7.9 6.4 7.9 8.9 10.0 11.1 9.9 11.9 13.6 15.0 16.6 14.9 15.0 21 23 26 19.8 24 28 31	4.0 4.8 5.5 5.9 6.3 5.1 6.3 7.1 8.0 8.9 9.5 10.9 9.5 10.9 9.5 10.9 9.5 10.9 9.5 10.9 12.0 13.3 11.9 14.4 16.5 18.4 20 15.8 19.2 22 25	3.3 4.0 4.6 5.0 5.3 4.3 5.3 6.7 7.4 6.6 7.9 9.1 10.0 11.1 9.9 12.0 13.8 15.3 17.1 13.2 16.0 18.4 20	2.8 3.4 4.0 4.5 3.7 4.5 5.1 5.7 6.8 7.8 6.8 7.8 6.8 7.8 8.6 9.5 8.6 9.5 8.6 9.5 8.11.8 11.8 13.1 11.6 11.3 13.7 15.8 17.5	40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125	0.18 0.18 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.20 0.24 0.27 0.24 0.27 0.30 0.34 0.30 0.36 0.41 0.46 0.55 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.60 0.73 0.80 0.73 0.80 0.73 0.81 0.73 0.81 0.75	193 23 26 32 26 31 35 39 43 46 53 88 65 58 65 58 70 80 89 99 99 977 93 107 77 93	9,9 11.9 13.2 14.5 16.5 13.2 15.8 17.8 20 22 19.8 24 27 30 32 30 30 36 41 46 51 40 48 55 61	7,4 8,9 9,9 10,9 12,4 9,9 11,9 13,4 15,0 16,6 14,9 20 23 22 25 22 27 25 22 27 31 35 38 30 36 41 46	3.9 7.1 7.9 8.7 9.9 7.9 9.5 10.7 12.0 13.3 11.9 14.3 18.0 20 17.8 22 28 31 24 29 33 37	3.0 5.9 6.6 7.3 8.3 6.6 7.9 8.9 10.0 11.1 9.9 9.1 13.6 15.0 16.6 14.9 13.6 15.0 16.6 14.9 13.6 14.9 13.6 14.9 12.2 26 19.8 24 28 31	4.2 5.1 5.7 6.2 7.1 5.7 6.8 7.6 8.5 8.5 10.2 11.6 8.8 10.2 11.2 9 14.3 12.9 14.3 12.9 14.3 12.9 14.3 15.5 17.7 19.7 22 21 24 26
		TXA8003VK TXB8003VK (50) TXA8004VK TXB8004VK (50)	125 40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125	VF F F VF VF F F VF VF	0.89 0.60 0.73 0.85 0.94 1.06 0.98 1.13 1.26 1.41	88 77 94 108 121 135 102 125 144 161 180	46 40 48 56 62 70 53 65 74 83 93	34 30 36 42 47 52 40 48 56 62 70	27 24 29 34 37 42 32 39 45 50 56	23 19.8 24 28 31 35 26 32 37 42 46	19.5 17.0 21 24 27 30 23 28 32 36 40	40 60 80 100 125 40 60 80 100 125	1.03 0.90 1.10 1.27 1.42 1.58 1.20 1.47 1.69 1.89 2.11	132 115 141 162 181 203 154 188 217 242 270	68 59 73 84 94 105 79 97 112 125 139	51 45 54 63 70 78 59 73 84 94 105	41 36 44 50 56 63 48 58 67 75 84	34 30 36 42 47 52 40 48 56 62 70	29 25 31 36 40 45 34 42 48 53 60

Table 2. Maintenance spray applications of all chemicals used to protect trial integrity from other diseases and insects.

Date M/D/Y	Equipment	Material	Rate
3/31/2020	Unigreen Tower	Manzate Pro-Stick	3LB/A
4/7/2020	Unigreen Tower	Captan 80 WDG, Manzate Pro-Stick	3LB/A, 3LB/A
4/15/2020	Unigreen Tower	Biocover MLT	2% v.v.
4/15/2020	Unigreen Tower	Manzate Pro-Stick	3LB/A
4/25/2020	Unigreen Tower	Vanguard, Manzate Pro-Stick	5 oz/A, 3LB/A
5/2/2020	Unigreen Tower	Inspire Super, Manzate Pro-Stick, Warrior II	12 fl oz/A, 3LB/A
5/7/2020	Unigreen Tower	Merivon Xenium, Manzate Pro-Stick	5.5 fl/oz/A, 3LB/A
5/14/2020	Unigreen Tower	Topsin M WSB, Sercadis	1LB/A, 4.5 fl. oz/A
5/17/2020	Slimline	Agri-Mycin 50	8 oz/A
5/22/220	Unigreen Tower	Carbaryl 4L, Fruitone L (NAA)	1 qt/A, 7.5 ppm
5/24/2020	Unigreen Tower	Manzate Pro-Stick, Inspire Super, Warrior II	3lbA, 12 fl oz/A, 2.56 fl oz/A
5/25/2020	Unigreen Tower	Harbour	1.5 lb/A
5/26/2020	Unigreen Tower	Topsin M WSB	1 LB/A
6/1/2020	Unigreen Tower	Actara 25WG, Warrior II	5.5 oz/A, 2.56 fl oz/A
6/14/2020	Unigreen Tower	Warrior II, Avaunt	2.56 fl oz/A, 6 oz/A
6/16/2020	Unigreen Tower	Warrior II,	2.56 fl oz/A
6/29/2020	Unigreen Tower	Warrior II, Avaunt, Actara,	5.5oz/A, 6 oz/A, 2.56 fl oz/A
7/27/2020	Unigreen Tower	Asana XL	14.5 fl oz

Critical outputs from SB model in RIMpro: <u>https://rimpro.eu/</u>. Source: RIMpro Cloud Service: <u>https://rimpro.eu/</u> (RIMpro B.V., Zoelmond, Netherlands). The first natural SB infection of the growing season was reported by RIMpro on 28 June 2020:





Critical outputs from NEWA's SBFS model: http://newa.cornell.edu/index.php?page=apple-diseases

State:	Sooty	Sooty Blotch and Flyspeck Risk Predictions for Highland HVL 2												
	Petal fall da	te for McI	ntosh:	5/16/2020	Click if petal fall has not occurred									
Veather station:	Petal fa	Petal fall date above is				timated based on degree day accumulations or user input								
Highland HVL 2	Enter the actual date fo	or blocks of i	interest an days	d the model v after petal fal	vill calculat Il more acc	e the accun urately.	nulated leaf	wetness ho	urs since 10					
ate of Interest:	Mos	Most recent funciaide application date: Click to optor												
7/20/2020	lfpe	tal fall has p	assed, ent	ter the date of	f your most	recent fung	icide applica ter a date	ation.						
	 and the Risk Level. Leaf wetness hours, rain events, and the last fungicide application date consideration in assessing risk level. To estimate risk in the near future, look at the probability Consult the Risk Level IPM Guidelines below the Risk Summary table. Sooty Blotch and Flyspeck Risk Summary - Northeastern US 													
	Sooty Blotcl	h and F	lyspeck	K Risk St	ummar	y - Nort	ummary t	n US M	[odel					
	Sooty Blotcl	h and F Past	lyspeck Past	K Risk Si Current	ummar	y - Nort Er	ummary t theaster suing 5 Da	n US M	[odel					
	Sooty Blotch	h and F Past 7/18	lyspeck Past 7/19	x Risk Su Current 7/20	ummar 7/21	y - Nort Er 7/22	theaster suing 5 Da 7/23	n US M ays 7/24	Iodel 7/25					
	Sooty Blotch Date Days since petal fall	h and F Past 7/18 63	lyspeck Past 7/19 64	x Risk St Current 7/20 65	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	y - Nort Er 7/22 67	theaster suing 5 Da 7/23 68	n US M ays 7/24 69	Iodel 7/25 70					
	Sooty Blotch Date Days since petal fall Accumulated Leaf Wetness Hours - ALWH	h and F Past 7/18 63 172	lyspeck Past 7/19 64 175	c Risk St Current 7/20 65 181	ummar 7/21 66 181	y - Nort Er 7/22 67 183	theaster suing 5 Da 7/23 68 186	m US M avs 7/24 69 197	Iodel 7/25 70 197					
	Sooty Blotch Date Days since petal fall Accumulated Leaf Wetness Hours - ALWH Risk Level	h and F Past 7/18 63 172 High	lyspeck Past 7/19 64 175 High	Current 7/20 65 181 High	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	y - Nort Er 7/22 67 183 High	theaster suing 5 Da 7/23 68 186 High	rn US M ays 7/24 69 197 High	Todel 7/25 70 197 High					
	Sooty Blotch Date Days since petal fall Accumulated Leaf Wetness Hours - ALWH Risk Level Rain Events	h and F Past 7/18 63 172 High	lyspeck Past 7/19 64 175 High	Current 7/20 65 181 High	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	y - Nort Er 7/22 67 183 High	theaster suing 5 Da 7/23 68 186 High	n US M ays 7/24 69 197 High	Todel 7/25 70 197 High					
	Sooty Blotch Date Days since petal fall Accumulated Leaf Wetness Hours - ALWH Risk Level Rain Events Daily rain amount (inches)	h and F Past 7/18 63 172 High 0.00	lyspeck Past 7/19 64 175 High 0.27	Current 7/20 65 181 High 0.03	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	y - Nor Er 7/22 67 183 High 0.08	theaster suing 5 Da 7/23 68 186 High 0.06	n US M avs 7/24 69 197 High	Todel 7/25 70 197 High 0.00					

State:

New York ¥

Weather station:

Highland HVL 2

Date of Interest:

07/25/2020

Calculate

Sooty Blotch and Flyspeck Risk Predictions for Highland HVL 2

Petal fall date for McIntosh: 5/16/2020 Click if petal fall has not occurred Petal fall date above is estimated based on degree day accumulations or user input.

- | -

- | -

- | -

Download Time: 7/26/2020 23:00

- - -

Enter the actual date for blocks of interest and the model will calculate the accumulated leaf wetness hours since 10 days after petal fall more accurately.

Most recent fungicide application date: Click to enter If petal fall has passed, enter the date of your most recent fungicide application. If no fungicide applications have been made, do not enter a date.

In the Risk Summary table, note the accumulated leaf wetness hours since petal fall (Leaf Wetness Hours) and the Risk Level. Leaf wetness hours, rain events, and the last fungicide application date are taken into consideration in assessing risk level. To estimate risk in the near future, look at the probability of rain.

Consult the Risk Level IPM Guidelines below the Risk Summary table.

Sooty Blotch and Flyspeck Risk Summary - Northeastern US Model													
	Past	Past	Current	Ensuing 5 Days									
Date	7/23	7/24	7/25	7/26	7/27	7/28	7/29	7/30					
Days since petal fall	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75					
Accumulated Leaf Wetness Hours - ALWH	186	197	197	197	197	197	197	205					
Risk Level	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High					
Rain Events													
Daily rain amount (inches)	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03					
Rain probability (%) Night Day			- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -					

NA - data not available.

(%)

Night|Day 김 NA - data not available

Download Time: 7/31/2020 23:00

Results. (A) SB and FS incidence control. In all graphs, incidence means followed by different type of letters within the same disease i.e. bar color are significantly different (p < 0.05). Error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Each mean consists of 5 replicate trees.







McIntosh SB&FS at Harvest on 9/19/2020 (LSD, P<0.05)





Golden Delicious SB&FS 2-Weeks After Harvest 10/30/2020 (LSD, P<0.05)

9



(B) Fruit rots and amount of fruit with no rots (statistical analysis not performed due to trends):







Results and interpretation. On Ginger Gold, Buran in mix with Agral 90 or Pure SprayGreen significantly reduced SB&FS at harvest and 2-weeks after harvest, however, the disease incidence in both Buran treatments was economically unacceptable leading to fruit losses of 48 to 62% at harvest and 70 to 77% 2-weeks after harvest. On McIntosh, the pattern and significant effects were similar for Buran mixes, except that Pure SprayGreen alone (#4) gave statistically significant SB reduction only at harvest and SB&FS reduction 2-weeks after harvest. Similar reduction trend in Buran treatments was observed on late maturing 'Golden Delicious' at harvest, but this effect vanished 2 weeks postharvest. Due to lack of enough rain events from mid-July till 20 August, effectively creating drought conditions, SB&FS was significantly delayed in infecting and expressing its symptoms in 2020 on the early-maturing 'Ginger Gold' and mid-term maturing 'McIntosh', but by the last week of August and into September, rain and due events allowed severe SB&FS infections of late maturing 'Golden Delicious', which led to 100% incidence on fruit in untreated control. Consistently through both harvest and postharvest ratings, Grower standard, provided the best SBFS control but still allowed 1.2 to 11.9% SB incidence and 3.2 to 22.8% FS incidence. Captan provided the best SBFS control but still allowed 7.6 to 32.8% SB incidence and 14.8 to 28.4% FS incidence. This imperfect control by captan is likely due to the combination of multiple factors: (a) lower rate of 2.67 lb/A used according to captan label in Canada in comparison to the \geq 3 lb/A recommended when captan is used alone, (b) a contact nature of this fungicide, and (c) a known fact that FS fungi can grow through a bit more fungicides residues than SB can. In addition, more SB and FS incidence detected on Golden Delicious in captan spray program could be due to the rain events on 29-30 Sep totaling to a 2.6 inches that severely reduced or completely washed off captan residues allowing some SBFS infections (depending on weather conditions, the application on 1 Oct was the last on cv. Golden Delicious before harvest on 16 Oct, the spray application on 18 Aug was the last for cv. Ginger Gold before harvest on 1 Sep, and the application on 3 Sep was the last on cv. McIntosh before harvest on 19 Sep). Please see more detailed weather data below. Statistical analysis was not performed for fruit rots as no interesting trends were visible indicating that the weather conditions during summer 2020 were not favorable for natural infections of any endemic fruit rot fungi. This is likely due to the drought conditions interspersed with very small amounts of rain in July and August and the data incidence trends point to the effect of drought on both SB&FS and fruit rots. However, fruit rots require to build up inoculum in the orchard during the early and late spring to allow severe infections over the summer and this was not possible due to applied fungicides for apple scab and cedar apple/quince rust early in the spring and drought from mid-July till 20 August. This buildup of inoculum in orchard was not needed for SB&FS as the abundant infection sources are present in woodlots around outside the trial apple orchard.

Report compiled by Dr. Srdjan G. Acimovic, plant pathologist at Cornell University's Hudson Valley Research Laboratory, from: 10/1/2020 – 11/10/2020. Detailed weather data records for Highland NY during 2020 can be accessed at <u>http://newa.cornell.edu/index.php?page=all-weather-data</u>. This report will be available from October 2020 on this link: <u>http://blogs.cornell.edu/acimoviclab/pesticide-efficacy-trials/</u>.