Christine Holmes (she/her): Christine Holmes, Postdoctoral Studies
Risa Lieberwitz: Risa Lieberwitz, Faculty Senator, ILR
Harold Hodes: Woops, that's H Hodes, Philosophy!
Debbie Cherney: Debbie Cherney, CALS, Animal Science
Stephen Vider: Stephen Vider, History
Andrea Stevenson Won: Andrea Stevenson Won, Communication
Nicholas Sanders: Nicholas Sanders, Econ and Brooks School
Alexandra Blackman: Alexandra Blackman, Government
Jason Kovari (he/him): Jason Kovari, Library (sitting in for Wendy Wilcox)
Saleh Kalantari: Saleh Kalantari, Human Centered Design
Itziar Rodriguez de Rivera: Itziar Rodriguez de Rivera, Romance Studies
Marcus Smolka: Marcus Smolka, Molecular Biology and Genetics
Kelly Hume: Kelly Hume, DCS
Doug Antczak: Doug Antczak Microbiology and Immunology
Laura Goodman - Cornell: Laura Goodman, PEH
Nancy Pollak: Nancy Pollak, Comparative Literature
Richard Bensel: Richard Bensel, Department of Government
Pamela Chang: Pamela Chang, Microbiology and Immunology
Yuval Grossman: Yuval Grossman, Physics
Laurent Saloff-Coste: Laurent Saloff-Coste Mathematics
Beth Milles: Beth Milles, Performing and Media Arts
Teresa Pawlowska: Teresa Pawlowska, Plant Pathology & Plant-Microbe Biology
Steve Marschner: Steve Marschner, Computer Science
Jonathan Russell-Anelli: Jonathan Russell-Anelli, Soil Science
Buz Barstow: Buz Barstow BEE
Jill Short: Please sign in with your name and department in chat please
Warren Bailey: Warren Bailey, Johnson Graduate School of Management
Sherry Colb: Sherry Colb, Law School
Laurent Dubreuil: Laurent Dubreuil, Romance Studies
Alex Nading: I see no value in voting for a resolution with this number of conditions. If we have this many reservations, a stronger statement would be a resolution against the proposed program as we have seen it. Given the response to previous resolutions, the resolution leaves too much room for interpretation. There is no relationship between consenting to the general idea of such a program and expressing a negative view of this specific version, which has been sharply criticized in several ways. This resolution opens the door for the provost et al to proceed however they see fit.

Richard Bensel: Call the question...

Alex Nading: The question has been called

Richard Bensel: I second the call

David Delchamps: Hard for me to hear the in-person folks.

David Delchamps: Some of them...

Alex Nading: My comments above apply to the resolution as a whole

Kelly Hume: can the slide go back to the original rather than the amendment?

Alex Nading: What will be the criteria for satisfying our conditions? Unclear

Jonathan Russell-Anelli: I like David D.'s idea to replace gain for seek in the 4th resolution - it gives everything above teeth

Laurent Dubreuil: No doubt we need a more powerful wording...

Alex Nading: Again, unless our principled support of any person any study is under question, this resolution offers little that is new, and different words probably won't help. The proposal we have seen has multiple fatal flaws, and concrete responses have not been forthcoming

Oren Falk: general note: the time allocation for discussion of agenda items is preposterously insufficient

Jonathan Russell-Anelli: I think we do need something that student can get a sense of where they stand,... plus we should reward/recognize successful performance.
01:28:11 Oren Falk: David Lee noted in conversation earlier that the resolution he proposed does not "do anything" (quoting from memory. We spent an inordinate amount of time discussing a resolution that does nothing + amendments to it but gunned through the conversation on the latter much more weighty resolutions.

01:28:57 Beth Milles: I agree with Oren Falk—still wanting to discuss. And now feeling disrespectful by texting.

01:29:16 Adam Anderson: Me too. If we would leave space for others to speak in the senate that would be a nice start.

01:36:37 Adam Anderson: amen

01:37:45 Harold Hodes: Re Eve's graph: how could many senators speak at Senate meetings, given the number of senate meetings per year and the amount of time devoted to discussion at meetings? Why does having 100 senators never speak indicate a failure of shared governance?

01:38:13 Adam Anderson: Minority rule

01:38:34 Laurent Dubreuil: "Being able to ask questions freely" implies we have enough time for discussion!

01:38:48 Bruno Xavier: Ditto!

01:38:59 Adam Anderson: Stop letting the same people talk

01:39:28 Adam Anderson: AAUP has hijacked the senate

01:39:59 Oren Falk: "Being able to ask questions freely" also depends on having a sense that senate discussions & votes aren't summarily ignored by those who make the decisions

01:40:15 Laurent Dubreuil: Yes, Oren.

01:41:04 Richard Bensel: Vice President Varner reported that she "agreed that the resolution has more to do with Section 2-OPUF and less to do with the UFC or the Dean of Faculty positions embedded in the University bylaws." That was the entirety of the General Counsel's opinion, reached after seven months of study.

01:41:55 David Zax: I'm finishing 3 years on the N&E committee. never seen any attempt to get approval of any ad hoc committee appointments

01:44:44 Adam Anderson: Lets make AAUP meeting public

01:46:07 Richard Bensel: All other assemblies...the University Assembly, the Student Assembly, and the Graduate and Professional Assembly...control their own agendas The Faculty Senate is the sole exception.

01:46:21 Beth Milles: I appreciate the candors of this conversation and and the This year it has felt like we have encountered an exacerbation- an exhorbitant amount of pushback during these meetings- during each item- these discussions do not always feel productive -it is uncomfortable and approaches a lack of the unproductive - the culture of the senate has changed this year - and I reach out
to all of us—to see how—we have the possibility—responsibility to change it—this year the discussions
have felt curtailed—and it feels there is an attitude of distrust

01:48:14 Kathryn Caggiano: The third and fourth "Resolve" clauses are in direct conflict with
the notion of "Faculty Senate should have adequate time for discussion and deliberation at its meetings"

01:48:21 Ruth Richardson: I would love to hear from more senators than the “often
talkers” from the graph shown. I’m new here but am turned off by the animosity I feel. I am frankly
shocked by how aggressive the meetings get.