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## Peer Institutions (AAVMC data, 2020)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>#TT</th>
<th>#RTE</th>
<th>Ratio RTE:TT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cornell</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina State</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas A&amp;M</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California, Davis</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Very few “cap” clinical professor titles
- Clinical professor titles are the most common
- Lecturer titles are rarely used
- Exact comparisons difficult
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Committee on Academic Programs and Policies (CAPP) has reviewed the proposal and has concerns.

CVM will revise the proposal accordingly and resubmit to CAPP.

CAPP final report and revised proposal will be communicated to the Senate before the June 10 meeting.

Discussion at June 10 meeting with possible eVote soon thereafter.