## Extension and Outreach

### Cross-College School of Public Policy Model

Extension and outreach would be an important part of any policy entity at Cornell. In addition to the broader extension and outreach programs currently run through CHE (and other contract colleges), which we do not discuss in detail here and about which we hope to hear additional feedback from the wider community, there are two relevant entities for policy already in existence: the Cornell Institute of Politics and Global Affairs (hereafter “IPGA”), which sits in the Provost’s Office, and the Bronfenbrenner Center for Translational Research (hereafter “BCTR”), which sits in CHE. It was clear to the committee that the IPGA potentially could fit within the School and would provide a key point of contact for the School in New York City. It was less clear how BCTR would engage with the policy entity. Some committee members thought the entire BCTR would engage with the School; others thought only some of the BCTR would engage with a School (i.e., the non-Human Development parts). The policy-related projects that identify more closely with other fields, such as Human Development, may not be interested in working under the umbrella of a policy school. There would have to be additional discussion about potential affiliations and engagement between the School and other centers on campus as well, such as the Atkinson Center for Sustainability and the Mario Einaudi Center for International Studies.

### Advantages
- Extension and outreach mission, BCTR, and IPGA would lead to a uniquely community-engaged policy School for an elite university
- School could facilitate additional programming at all levels of training
- Potential for coordinating extension aspects of CHE (e.g., BCTR) with new School and coordination between School and other outreach and extension programs on campus

### Challenges
- Possible dilution of core missions of these centers, which could be seen as doing work both in and outside of policy (i.e., BCTR)
- Unclear how the School would engage with extension units in other Colleges/Schools that do not have extension mandate
- Aligning of expectations for Policy-focused faculty rostered in CAS, in which extension is non-existent and outreach may be understood in radically different ways

### Unresolved Issues
- Core unresolved issues around extension and outreach involve how decisions around existing centers and programs would be made, as well as what the natural home might be for those programs.

### College of Public Policy Model

Extension and outreach would be an important part of any policy entity at Cornell. The broader extension and outreach programs currently run through CHE could be immediately positioned to support the new College entity in Public Policy. There would have to be additional discussion about potential affiliations and engagement between the College and other centers on campus as well, such as the Cornell Institute of Politics and Global Affairs, the Atkinson Center for Sustainability, and the Mario Einaudi Center for International Studies.

### Advantages
- Extension is already a vibrant part of CHE’s mission, and leadership in the college could use the new policy focus to leverage even greater extension and outreach activities
- BCTR already inside college, with the potential to connect faculty to extension and outreach

### Challenges
- Non-policy extension and outreach could be complicated by attachment to policy college
- It may be harder to attract faculty from around the university to affiliate with policy outreach efforts if they are located in a different college rather than a shared school.

### Unresolved Issues
- [None listed]