## Implications for the College of Arts and Sciences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-College School of Public Policy Model</th>
<th>College of Public Policy Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A strong cross-college School would also have implications for CAS. These too would obviously need serious consideration from university leadership as they make decisions.</td>
<td>A college model would have implications for CAS. These would need serious consideration from university and college leadership.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Advantages
- Gives CAS a direct stake as a college in public policy teaching and research
- Provide an opportunity to build out additional strengths in the core social science disciplines (political science, economics, and sociology) within CAS
- Encourages cross-college collaboration between CAS social scientists and their policy-focused counterparts elsewhere at Cornell
- Prevents potential balkanization of political science faculty across multiple colleges, because (presumably) all political scientists hired by the school will have appointments (full, partial, or zero-time) with Government.

### Challenges
- Additional demands on faculty of core departments like Government
- Uncertainty in reporting (around governance; leadership) for policy faculty in CAS
- Added administrative complexity with faculty in new super-departments.

### Advantages
- Little competition with CAS for resources and low organizational difficulty due to the policy entity being its own college/entity with its own governance and resources

### Challenges
- It is possible CAS might have a more limited role and influence in the new policy entity