

2017 Cornell Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct: Tables of Survey Results

In spring 2017, Cornell conducted the Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct. This survey asked about students' experiences with inappropriate sexual behavior while attending Cornell, including: nonconsensual sexual contact, sexual and gender-based harassment, stalking, and domestic and dating violence. The survey also asked about students' knowledge of Cornell resources and policies concerning sexual assault and related misconduct, and their experiences as bystanders to scenarios of sexual assault or misconduct.

A stratified, random sample of 6,000 students enrolled at our Ithaca, Weill Cornell, and Cornell Tech campuses was invited to participate in this web-based survey. A total of 2,238 students completed the survey, yielding a 37% response rate.

The results of the 2017 Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct are displayed in the tables that follow. The percentages shown in the tables are weighted estimates of the Cornell student population.

Survey responses are broken out for all students; for women and men, by enrollment status (undergraduate or graduate/professional); and for students who reported a non-binary gender identity [identified in the tables as TGQN]. The letter "*s*" indicates a result has been suppressed due to an unweighted cell count of less than 5.

			vey	Survey		
		Popula	ation ^a	Respor	dents ^b	
Variable	Category	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Population	All students	20,631		2,238		
Campus	Cornell Tech	163	0.8	24	1.1	
	Ithaca	19,399	94.0	2,078	92.9	
	Weill	1,069	5.2	136	6.1	
Gender	Man	10,398	50.4	929	41.5	
	Woman	10,233	49.6	1,276	57.0	
	TGQN ^c			33	1.5	
Race / Ethnicity	White	7,912	38.4	905	40.4	
	Asian (U.S.)	3,201	15.5	480	21.4	
	Black (U.S.)	1,042	5.1	115	5.1	
	Hispanic (U.S.)	2,090	10.1	221	9.9	
	Other or multiple races (U.S.)	843	4.1	83	3.7	
	Unknown (U.S.)	1,395	6.8			
	International	4,148	20.1	434	19.4	
Student Affiliation	Undergraduate	13,225	64.1	1,397	62.4	
	Graduate/Professional	7,406	35.9	841	37.6	
Year of Study (Undergrad)	Undergraduate First Year	3,260	24.7	368	26.3	
	Undergraduate Sophomore	3,565	27.0	380	27.2	
	Undergraduate Junior	2,942	22.2	314	22.5	
	Undergraduate Senior	3,458	26.1	335	24.0	

Table A1. Demographic profile of survey population and survey respondents

^aThe survey population included all students who were enrolled in both the Fall 2016 and Spring 2017 semesters and were 18 years of age or older when the survey launched. Non-degree seeking and Employee Degree students were excluded, as were students who were in absentia, or studying off-campus beyond New York City. From this population, a random sample of 6,000 Cornell students was invited to participate in the survey.

^bTo be counted as having completed the survey, a respondent must have answered at least one question in each of the sections concerning experiences with sexual harassment, stalking, and nonconsensual sexual contact.

^cTGQN includes the following gender identities: agender, androgyne, demigender, genderqueer or gender fluid, questioning or unsure, transgender man, transgender woman, and other gender identity.

	-	Weigh	ted ^b	Un-weighted		
Variable	Category	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Enrollment type	Undergraduate	11,734	62.8	1,397	62.4	
	Graduate or professional	6,938	37.2	841	37.6	
Undergraduate: Year in school	First year	2,836	15.2	368	16.4	
Undergraduate. real in school	Sophomore	3,162	16.9	380	17.0	
	Junior	2,656	14.2	314	14.0	
	Senior	3,080	16.5	335	15.0	
Graduate or professional:	1st year	2,700	15.0	337	15.1	
Year in program	2nd year	1,574	8.4	194	8.7	
	3rd year	1,048	5.6	125	5.6	
	4th year or higher	1,518	8.1	185	8.3	
Gender identity	Man	9,253	49.6	929	41.5	
	Woman	9,125	48.9	1,276	57.0	
	TGQN ^c	294	1.6	33	1.5	
Sexual orientation	Heterosexual/straight	15,796	84.8	1,898	85.0	
	LGBAQN ^d	2,828	15.2	334	15.0	
Race / Ethnicity	White (U.S.)	7,637	40.9	905	40.4	
	Asian (U.S.)	3,155	16.9	480	21.4	
	Black (U.S.)	1,002	5.4	115	5.1	
	Hispanic (U.S.)	2,029	10.9	221	9.9	
	Other or multiple races (U.S.)	817	4.4	83	3.7	
	International	4,032	21.6	434	19.4	
In partnered relationship	Yes	13,232	71.1	1,587	71.2	
	No	5,368	28.9	643	28.8	
Have disability or chronic	Yes	2,934	16.2	350	16.1	
condition	No	15,198	83.8	1,826	83.9	

Table A2. Characteristics of students who completed^a the survey

^aA survey was counted as "completed" if a respondent answered at least one question in each of the sections concerning sexual harassment, stalking, and nonconsensual sexual contact.

^bThe survey data file was weighted to adjust for survey non-response patterns and more accurately reflect the characteristics of the student population of Cornell.

^cTGQN includes the following gender identities: agender, androgyne, demigender, genderqueer or gender fluid, questioning or unsure, transgender man, transgender woman, and other gender identity. ^dLGBAQN includes the following sexual orientations: asexual, bisexual, gay, lesbian, pansexual, queer, questioning or unsure, and other sexual orientation.

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
Percent of Cornell Ithaca campus students who are aware of services	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
provided by	%	%	%	%	%	%
Cornell Victim Advocate	24.6	23.5	23.0	27.7	23.5	15.9
Cornell Health	98.0	98.3	99.4	97.6	97.6	92.8
Cornell Women's Resource Center	52.7	72.0	36.6	52.6	27.5	59.1
Cornell LGBT Resource Center	56.7	63.9	43.9	62.0	42.9	70.2
Cornell EARS	77.7	92.6	58.9	87.7	48.4	64.9
University Ombudsman	35.8	26.7	41.2	37.9	45.7	34.4
Title IX Coordinator	38.0	37.0	33.7	43.7	33.9	38.3
Judicial Administrator	39.7	40.3	21.9	57.2	24.0	31.0
Cornell University Police	95.6	95.8	96.1	96.7	93.6	92.8
Cornell SHARE website	36.6	37.7	34.1	41.0	30.5	22.9

Table B1. Percent of Cornell Ithaca campus students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Percent of Weill Cornell students who	Total	Women	Men
are aware of services provided by	%	%	%
Student Mental Health Services	86.1	84.3	87.6
NY Presbyterian Emergency Room	89.9	91.0	88.6
NY Presbyterian VIP	44.8	44.5	45.0
Title IX Coordinator	52.6	47.5	56.5
Campus Security	73.6	68.7	77.8
NYPD	96.5	98.5	94.2
Cornell Victim Advocate	14.2	13.9	15.0
Cornell Share website	12.8	8.6	17.5

Table B2. Percent of Weill Cornell students' who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Table B3. Percent of Cornell Tech students' who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Percent of Cornell Tech students who	Total	Women	Men
are aware of services provided by	%	%	%
Title IX Coordinator	35.7	28.0	39.7
Student Services	91.8	89.1	93.1
Campus Security	92.3	92.5	92.2
NYPD	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cornell Victim Advocate	26.9	42.2	19.1
Cornell Women's Resource Center	25.8	38.9	19.1
Cornell LGBT Resource Center	25.8	38.9	19.1
Cornell SHARE website	18.7	31.4	12.2

			Women, by En	Women, by Enrollment Type		llment Type	
				Graduate or		Graduate or	
		Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
How knowledgeable are you about:		%	%	%	%	%	%
what behaviors are included in	Not at all	12.8	10.5	21.2	8.3	15.9	18.8
Cornell's definition of sexual assault	A little	32.6	32.4	37.5	25.7	37.9	45.7
and related misconduct?	Moderately	40.6	43.8	34.2	43.8	37.4	21.4
	Very	13.9	13.4	7.1	22.2	8.8	S
	Not at all	15.4	8.1	30.8	7.3	25.8	30.4
Cornell's definition of affirmative	A little	21.6	21.6	29.5	13.4	26.4	28.9
consent?	Moderately	32.9	36.6	27.1	32.9	32.5	17.8
	Very	30.0	33.8	12.6	46.5	15.3	23.0
how Cornell's policies concerning	Not at all	41.8	38.2	56.0	29.6	53.2	47.5
sexual assault and related misconduct	A little	29.4	32.4	26.4	30.5	24.6	39.9
differ from local, state, and federal	Moderately	21.0	22.2	14.4	27.0	17.0	S
laws?	Very	7.8	7.3	3.2	12.9	5.1	S
	Not at all	9.4	6.4	14.1	6.8	14.3	S
where to get help at Cornell if you or	A little	31.9	29.5	39.4	25.8	37.3	44.8
a friend has experienced sexual assault or related misconduct?	Moderately	39.6	42.8	34.0	41.8	36.0	39.1
or related misconduct?	Very	19.1	21.3	12.5	25.5	12.4	S
how to file a formal complaint about	Not at all	37.0	35.5	48.0	28.3	41.8	51.9
sexual assault or related misconduct to	A little	34.0	35.4	30.7	34.2	34.7	23.9
initiate a disciplinary procedure at	Moderately	20.6	21.4	15.8	24.7	17.5	14.7
Cornell?	Very	8.5	7.7	5.5	12.8	5.9	S
the process that occurs after a	Not at all	54.0	54.0	65.4	42.8	59.7	67.7
student has filed a formal complaint of	A little	27.2	27.7	21.5	32.4	24.3	19.1
sexual assault or related misconduct at Cornell?	Moderately	12.9	12.8	9.7	15.5	12.2	S
	Very	5.9	5.6	3.4	9.3	3.8	S
	Not at all	45.0	45.2	56.4	36.0	48.3	48.3
how to make an anonymous report	A little	31.1	30.6	30.6	32.1	31.1	29.5
of sexual assault or related misconduct	Moderately	16.7	16.7	9.8	21.4	15.6	16.1
at Cornell?	Very	7.1	7.5	3.2	10.5	5.0	S

		Women, by En	ollment Type Men, by Enrollment Type			
Percent of students who experienced or	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
witnessed:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Any sexual or gender-based harassment	54.8	70.5	48.1	54.0	34.6	72.2
Specific forms of harassment: Someone at Corr	ell					
made sexual remarks or told insulting or offensive jokes or stories	36.6	54.6	32.0	31.7	17.2	46.8
made inappropriate or offensive comments about your body, appearance or sexual activities	43.7	58.2	32.1	46.5	25.5	45.7
made inappropriate, offensive or threatening comments about your gender, sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity	14.3	19.2	9.1	14.8	6.8	52.9
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to get you to talk about sexual matters	16.0	24.5	10.6	15.0	6.6	32.8
emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos	9.1	14.6	5.4	8.7	2.7	20.4
continued to ask you to go out or engage in sexual activities despite refusal	13.6	25.0	13.7	6.3	3.0	45.3
Percent of harassment victims who reported:						
Harassment had to be tolerated or it created a hostile environment	18.4	16.5	30.2	12.8	18.9	54.4
Specific impacts of harassment:						
Had to tolerate this behavior or risk jeopardizing your academic standing, employment, or participation in Cornell programs or activities	16.1	13.7	27.4	11.8	18.2	35.9
Behavior was so severe or persistent that it interfered with or affected your participation in Cornell programs or activities	5.9	5.8	7.2	5.5	S	37.8

Table C1. Percent of students who have experienced sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
Percent of students who have experienced sexual or gender-based harassment by	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
victims':	%	%	%	%	%
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	52.1	70.0	46.9	50.6	31.4
LGBAQN	69.7	73.3	55.3	76.7	55.0
Race and citizenship					
White (U.S.)	62.8	81.7	61.5	55.5	42.3
Asian (U.S.)	49.2	60.1	36.2	41.0	41.0
Black (U.S.)	69.0	73.8	56.6	72.8	S
Hispanic (U.S.)	65.7	73.4	47.0	68.1	47.5
Other/Multi (U.S.)	67.6	78.9	86.7	59.8	S
International	32.4	41.6	33.5	43.6	24.6
Have a disability					
No	51.0	67.0	45.2	51.0	31.4
Yes	72.3	85.6	62.7	68.4	53.2
lave been in a partnered relationship					
No	38.7	49.1	35.8	36.0	21.0
Yes	61.5	80.2	51.4	64.2	38.4

Table C2. Percent of students experiencing sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity. For example, 70% of undergraduate women who identify as heterosexual reported experiencing sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell; this compares to 73% of undergraduate women who identify as LGBAQN.

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type		
Among students who experienced harassing behaviors, percent who reported:	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Number of people who behaved this way							
1 person	35.7	31.5	42.2	35.0	45.2	28.7	
2 persons	29.0	30.2	33.4	25.5	28.5	26.2	
3 or more persons	35.3	38.3	24.3	39.5	26.3	45.0	
Number of times behavior was experienced in 2	2016-2017 a	cademic year					
0 times	20.8	17.6	24.7	21.4	28.7	S	
1 time	22.2	19.7	23.6	24.6	25.2	S	
2 times	23.3	26.4	24.1	18.6	19.7	39.5	
3-5 times	25.0	28.3	22.3	24.1	19.0	23.8	
6 or more times	8.8	8.0	5.5	11.3	7.4	21.5	

Table C3. Number of offenders and number of harassment experiences in 2016-2017 academic year

Table C4. Characteristics of harassment offenders: Affilia	ation with Cornell and relationship to victims
--	--

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of harassment victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Offenders' affiliation with Cornell:						
Student	92.1	96.7	76.6	95.3	87.3	84.6
Faculty	6.9	3.1	21.8	3.8	9.7	S
Staff or administrator	3.5	1.7	7.6	3.7	5.1	0.0
Other affiliation	2.8	1.4	4.5	2.4	7.0	0.0
Not affiliated	7.6	8.9	8.7	5.7	5.2	16.7
Don't know if affiliated	7.4	6.3	8.1	6.6	8.8	27.8
Offenders' relationship with victim:						
Current intimate partner	7.5	11.5	5.7	5.1	S	S
Previous intimate partner	7.1	11.9	4.3	2.9	3.6	S
Friend or acquaintance	58.5	60.2	38.9	69.6	51.3	51.5
Classmate or other student	42.3	36.6	52.1	36.5	60.5	55.5
Someone I just met	34.6	45.5	20.3	32.4	19.8	28.4
Faculty or instructor	5.6	2.7	17.3	2.1	9.5	S
Academic or research advisor	.9	0.0	3.4	S	S	0.0
Teaching or research assistant	.4	S	S	S	S	0.0
Coach or trainer	.2	0.0	0.0	S	S	0.0
Residence hall student staff	.3	S	0.0	S	S	0.0
Other staff or administrator	1.3	S	5.1	S	S	0.0
Co-worker	2.7	S	6.0	S	6.0	S
Employer or supervisor	.9	S	S	S	S	0.0
Stranger	21.6	26.2	10.5	23.3	12.6	33.3
Other	3.2	1.6	4.4	4.9	S	S

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
			Graduate or		Graduate or	
-	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
Percent of harassment victims who:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	13.0	16.2	17.2	7.0	7.4	35.4
Did not contact a program or resource to talk al	bout the exp	erience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	7.6	6.3	8.7	8.5	8.4	S
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	22.2	26.7	23.7	19.2	12.4	34.4
Did not think anything would be done	19.3	21.2	20.7	15.9	17.5	34.4
Wanted to forget it happened	8.9	10.5	9.1	5.5	8.7	31.3
Had other things I needed to focus on	34.9	40.9	47.4	24.5	26.6	41.3
Did not have proof that behavior happened	11.5	12.7	9.4	11.7	9.1	S
Did not think anyone would believe me	2.0	2.2	S	S	S	7.9
Did not think it was serious enough	66.0	68.5	73.3	58.7	67.1	68.2
Thought I would be blamed for what happened	1.1	1.1	S	S	0.0	S
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	3.0	4.0	2.7	1.9	S	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	4.8	4.9	7.3	4.2	S	S
Feared that I or another would be punished for infractions or violations	1.3	2.1	S	S	S	0.0
Feared retaliation from offender or others	5.5	4.4	10.0	6.4	S	S
Feared academic or professional consequences	2.7	1.5	9.5	S	S	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	9.9	7.5	15.0	10.5	11.5	S
Feared program would not keep this confidential	3.4	3.1	4.9	3.7	S	S
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	4.0	3.8	4.3	4.1	3.8	S
Did not want offender to get into trouble	7.8	8.9	11.3	3.7	8.9	S
Was worried university would take action against offender's group or organization	2.8	3.3	S	3.7	S	0.0
Other reason	18.1	15.3	14.6	25.0	15.1	S

Table C5. Percent of harassment victims who contacted a program or resource to talk about experience, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type	TGQN
Percent of harassment victims who told the	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
following persons about experience	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	60.9	74.5	70.8	46.4	38.5	54.5
Spouse or romantic partner	15.4	13.6	31.5	7.1	18.7	38.5
amily member	9.0	11.6	15.8	6.1	S	S
aculty or instructor	2.7	1.9	7.4	S	S	S
Cornell staff member	2.3	2.9	3.1	S	S	S
lealth professional	4.4	5.5	6.1	3.2	S	S
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	.7	S	0.0	S	S	0.0
someone else	2.9	2.7	4.4	1.9	3.6	S
Didn't tell anyone	33.4	22.2	22.0	48.8	50.3	23.4

Table C6. Percent of harassment victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	Men, by Enrollment Type			
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Stalking	4.5	6.0	5.6	2.4	2.7	26.0
Specific forms of stalking:						
Unwanted calls, emails or messages that caused fear for personal safety	2.5	3.3	3.5	1.3	1.7	S
Showed up or waited for student in way that caused fear for personal safety	2.2	2.6	3.3	1.2	1.4	S
Spied on, watched or followed in way that caused fear for personal safety	1.3	2.0	1.5	S	S	S

Table D1. Percent of students who have experienced stalking since entering Cornell

Table D2. Among stalking victims, number of stalking experiences in 2016-2017 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of stalking victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Number of times behavior was experienced in	2016-2017 ad	ademic year				
0	27.0	23.0	29.4	28.2	37.2	S
1	31.9	38.0	23.0	29.6	24.1	S
2	19.8	18.6	27.1	S	17.3	S
3	15.1	11.0	17.4	S	17.3	S
4	6.3	9.5	S	0.0	4.0	S

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of students who have experienced	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
stalking by victims':	%	%	%	%	%	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual	3.7	5.6	6.0	1.5	2.3	
LGBAQN	9.2	8.4	S	8.6	S	
Race and citizenship						
White (U.S.)	4.7	6.7	4.3	S	3.4	
Asian (U.S.)	2.5	5.1	0.0	S	0.0	
Black (U.S.)	7.3	11.3	S	0.0	0.0	
Hispanic (U.S.)	4.7	S	S	S	0.0	
Other/Multi (U.S.)	8.9	S	S	S	0.0	
International	4.1	6.9	6.5	0.0	3.0	
Have a disability						
No	3.3	4.5	4.4	1.4	2.9	
Yes	10.6	11.4	9.8	9.6	S	
Have been in a partnered relationship						
No	2.9	3.2	5.7	S	0	
Yes	5.2	7.3	5.6	2.7	3.4	

|--|

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity. For example, 6% of undergraduate women who identify as heterosexual reported experiencing stalking since entering Cornell; this compares to 8% of undergraduate women who identify as LGBAQN.

Table D4. Characterisitcs of stalking offenders: Affiliation with Cornell and relationship to victim

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of stalking victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Offenders' affiliation with Cornell:						
Student	69.9	70.2	64.9	78.4	61.6	78.3
Faculty	5.0	S	S	S	S	S
Staff or administrator	2.6	0.0	S	S	0.0	0.0
Other affiliation	2.0	S	0.0	S	S	0.0
Not affiliated	16.8	19.4	20.4	S	S	S
Don't know if affiliated	10.2	10.4	S	S	S	0.0
Offenders' relationship with victim:						
Current intimate partner	6.4	7.9	S	S	S	S
Previous intimate partner	20.1	17.8	28.5	S	27.7	S
Friend or acquaintance	34.5	32.6	18.4	41.3	43.9	61.9
Classmate or other student	19.7	21.8	15.2	32.8	S	S
Someone I just met	11.5	10.4	14.7	S	S	S
Faculty or instructor	2.4	S	S	0.0	S	S
Academic or research advisor	1.0	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Teaching or research assistant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coach or trainer	.9	0.0	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Residence hall student staff	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other staff or administrator	1.6	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Co-worker	3.8	S	S	S	S	S
Employer or supervisor	.5	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stranger	19.5	21.5	17.7	S	29.4	S
Other	6.1	5.3	S	S	S	0.0

Women, by Enrollment Type Men, by Enrollment Type Graduate or Graduate or Total Undergraduate professional Undergraduate professional TGQN % % % % % % Percent of harassment victims who: 30.7 29.3 32.9 36.1 29.0 Contacted at least one program S Did not contact a program or resource to talk about the experience(s): Reasons Did not know where to go or who to tell 18.3 16.0 24.9 S S 0.0 Did not think talking about it would make me 28.7 29.2 25.5 s S s feel better Did not think anything would be done 27.7 25.9 21.0 39.0 S s Wanted to forget it happened 20.2 23.3 S s s s Had other things I needed to focus on 37.8 42.2 33.9 s S S Did not have proof that behavior happened 14.2 14.9 s 0.0 s S 3.3 0.0 Did not think anyone would believe me S s S s 53.9 59.0 38.5 Did not think it was serious enough 71.6 56.3 S Thought I would be blamed for what 7.4 7.6 0.0 S s s happened Felt I was partly responsible for what 9.2 10.1 S 0.0 s 0.0 happened Felt embarrassed or ashamed 10.0 12.2 s s 0.0 S Feared I or another would be punished for 0.0 3.7 s S 0.0 0.0 infractions or violations Feared retaliation from the offender or others 7.8 0.0 s S s s Feared academic or professional 0.0 0.0 4.6 S S S consequences Feared negative social consequences 9.3 7.3 22.0 0.0 S 0.0 Feared program would not keep this 5.7 0.0 S S S s confidential Feared I wouldn't be able to control what 12.9 8.0 S S s S steps would be taken 9.2 Did not want offender to get into trouble 13.4 0.0 s S S Was worried university would take action 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 S S against offender's group or organization Other reason 7.2 22.5 0.0 0.0 s s

Table D5. Percent of stalking victims who contacted a program or resource, and reasons for not doing so

Table D6. Percent of stalking victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
Percent of stalking victims who told the	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
following persons about experience	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	80.1	86.8	81.9	61.9	67.3	89.3
Spouse or romantic partner	24.1	21.5	35.2	S	34.3	S
Family member	30.3	31.5	34.3	31.2	33.4	0.0
Faculty or instructor	11.2	5.3	26.1	S	S	S
Cornell staff member	12.5	14.0	8.6	S	S	0.0
Health professional	14.4	13.5	16.9	S	S	S
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	1.9	S	0.0	0.0	S	0.0
Someone else	6.1	6.4	S	0.0	S	S
Didn't tell anyone	9.7	6.0	S	S	S	S

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Experienced domestic or dating violence	12.2	17.0	11.8	9.0	7.8	34.5
Specific forms of violence:						
Partner has controlled or tried to control you	4.2	5.5	2.7	3.8	3.7	S
Partner has threatened physical harm to you, someone else or themselves	3.5	3.9	2.1	3.3	2.5	27.5
Partner has used physical force against you	3.0	4.0	S	3.4	2.4	S
Partner has been verbally abusive to you	9.3	13.8	11.0	5.6	5.4	S

Table E1. Percent of students^a who have experienced domestic or dating violence since attending Cornell

^aDomestic and dating violence questions were only asked of students who indicated that they were or had been in a partnered relationship.

		Women, by En	rollment Type Graduate or	Men, by Enro		
Percent of domestic or dating violence victims-	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Number of times behavior was experienced in .	2016-2017 ac	ademic year				
0	27.9	28.7	26.9	26.9	20.1	S
1	14.7	14.2	S	18.5	S	S
2	17.5	20.9	21.8	S	S	S
3	24.0	19.5	29.7	33.0	25.9	0.0
4	15.9	16.6	S	S	26.6	S

Table E2. Number of domestic or dating violence experiences in 2016-2017 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type
Percent of students who have experienced	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
domestic or dating violence by victims':	%	%	%	%	%
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	11.9	17.0	12.7	8.2	8.4
LGBAQN	14.2	17.1	S	15.0	S
Race and citizenship					
White (U.S.)	12.4	18.7	9.3	8.2	9.1
Asian (U.S.)	11.0	13.9	S	7.6	S
Black (U.S.)	9.4	10.9	S	S	0.0
Hispanic (U.S.)	15.8	16.0	19.3	12.6	S
Other/Multi (U.S.)	15.5	22.3	S	S	0.0
International	10.5	20.2	14.3	S	5.5
Have a disability					
No	10.2	13.7	11.3	7.2	7.9
Yes	20.7	26.6	15.1	17.2	S

Table E3. Percent of students experiencing domestic or dating violence since entering Cornell, by victim characteristics

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity. For example, 17% of undergraduate women who identify as heterosexual reported experiencing domestic or dating violence since entering Cornell; this compares to 17% of undergraduate women who identify as LGBAQN.

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	22.4	17.4	49.8	S	S	S
Did not contact a program or resource to talk a	bout the expe	erience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	10.9	12.0	S	S	S	0.0
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	27.6	32.3	S	21.2	22.3	S
Did not think anything would be done	16.0	15.5	26.2	S	S	S
Wanted to forget it happened	15.7	24.0	S	0.0	S	S
Had other things I needed to focus on	32.6	37.1	47.1	S	27.6	S
Did not have proof behavior happened	8.9	14.5	S	0.0	S	S
Did not think anyone would believe me	1.7	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Did not think it was serious enough	56.8	56.8	52.1	60.4	58.2	S
Thought I would be blamed for what happened	2.6	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	17.3	16.6	S	18.6	S	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	9.3	13.4	S	S	S	0.0
Feared that I or another would be punished for infractions or violations	.5	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feared retaliation from offender or others	6.7	6.0	S	S	S	S
Feared academic or professional consequences	1.1	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	4.9	6.0	S	0.0	S	0.0
Feared program would not keep this confidential	2.9	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	4.0	S	0.0	S	0.0	S
Did not want offender to get into trouble	17.6	16.2	S	24.1	S	S
Was worried university would take action against offender's group or organization	1.8	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other reason	17.6	10.0	S	32.3	S	S

Table E4. Percent of domestic or dating violence victims who contacted a program or resource, and reasons for not doing so

Table E5. Percent of domestic or dating violence victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
_	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	68.0	75.5	72.4	60.1	45.6	91.1
Spouse or romantic partner	13.5	15.3	S	S	S	S
Family member	22.4	18.7	34.1	24.9	20.4	S
Faculty or instructor	1.8	0.0	S	S	S	0.0
Cornell staff member	3.8	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Health professional	14.9	12.6	28.0	S	S	S
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	1.4	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Someone else	1.0	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Didn't tell anyone	24.0	18.7	22.0	29.9	37.8	S

Table F1. Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation, since entering Cornell and in 2016-2017 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type			
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%	
In 2016-2017 academic year							
Penetration or sexual touching	5.8	12.2	1.8	4.3	S	S	
Penetration (attempted or completed)	2.3	5.1	S	1.4	S	S	
Sexual touching	5.2	10.9	1.6	3.8	S	S	
Since entering Cornell							
Penetration or sexual touching	11.3	22.5	8.1	6.7	1.7	15.8	
Penetration (attempted or completed)	4.7	9.8	2.9	2.5	S	S	
Sexual touching	10.0	20.2	6.8	6.0	1.4	15.8	

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type
Percent of students who have experienced	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
nonconsensual sexual contact by victims':	%	%	%	%	%
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	10.1	21.8	8.3	4.5	1.6
LGBAQN	18.1	26.5	S	21.9	S
Race and citizenship					
White (U.S.)	13.4	28.3	9.9	6.1	S
Asian (U.S.)	10.6	19.2	12.7	S	S
Black (U.S.)	17.9	23.9	S	S	0.0
Hispanic (U.S.)	14.0	20.7	S	10.7	S
Other/Multi (U.S.)	14.5	19.6	S	S	0.0
International	4.1	10.8	4.3	S	S
Have a disability					
No	9.0	18.6	7.7	4.5	1.7
Yes	23.7	39.6	8.7	22.4	S
Have been in a partnered relationship					
No	4.1	9.8	S	S	0.0
Yes	14.3	28.3	10.3	9.7	2.1

Table F2. Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity (e.g., undergraduate women who identify as Asian (U.S.)) who have experienced sexual contact since entering Cornell.

Table F3. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical	
force or incapacitation, in 2016-2017 academic year and since entering Cornell, by year in	
school or program	

	Total	Women	Men
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%
Undergraduate Students			
In 2016-2017 academic year			
First year	10.3	17.3	S
Sophomore	9.6	15.5	S
Junior	7.7	9.4	6.2
Senior	6.7	7.1	6.0
Since entering Cornell			
First year	10.3	17.3	S
Sophomore	16.5	25.1	7.0
Junior	14.9	20.3	8.1
Senior	19.4	26.7	9.4
Graduate and Professional Students			
In 2016-2017 academic year			
1st year	1.8	2.8	S
2nd year	2.3	S	S
3rd year	1.2	S	S
4th year or higher	0.0	0.0	0.0
Since entering Cornell			
1st year	1.8	2.8	S
2nd year	4.8	10.7	S
3rd year	5.2	11.4	S
4th year or higher	7.9	13.0	S

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
Since entering Cornell, percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
contact involving:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Physical force	8.1	16.7	5.8	4.6	S	15.8
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.7	5.4	1.7	1.7	S	S
Sexual touching	7.2	14.8	5.1	4.2	S	15.8
Incapacitation	6.1	11.9	3.4	3.5	1.7	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	3.0	6.8	1.8	1.2	S	0.0
Sexual touching	5.1	9.9	2.5	3.3	S	S
Coercion	.4	.8	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Sexual penetration or oral contact	.3	.7	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Sexual touching	.2	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Absence of affirmative consent	8.9	17.4	7.2	4.8	2.0	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	4.7	9.6	4.3	1.7	1.2	S
Sexual touching	7.8	15.2	6.2	4.4	1.7	S
Physical force or incapacitation	11.3	22.5	8.1	6.7	1.7	15.8
Sexual penetration or oral contact	4.7	9.8	2.9	2.5	S	S
Sexual touching	10.0	20.2	6.8	6.0	1.4	15.8
Physical force, incapacitation or absence of affirmative consent	14.2	27.5	11.6	8.1	3.0	17.7
Sexual penetration or oral contact	7.0	14.0	5.5	3.3	1.7	S
Sexual touching	12.7	25.2	9.8	7.0	2.5	15.8
Physical force, incapacitation, coercion or absence of affirmative consent	14.3	27.6	11.6	8.2	3.0	17.7
Sexual penetration or oral contact	7.0	14.0	5.5	3.3	1.7	S
Sexual touching	12.8	25.3	9.8	7.1	2.5	15.8

Table F4. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact since entering Cornell by tactic^a

^aPrevalence values cannot be summed across categories of tactics. Any incident of nonconsensual sexual contact may have involved the use of more than one tactic.

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type		
In 2016-2017 academic year, percent of students who experienced nonconsensual –	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
sexual contact involving:	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Physical force	4.3	9.2	S	3.3	S	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.3	2.7	S	1.1	.0	S	
Sexual touching	3.7	8.0	S	2.9	S	S	
Incapacitation	3.0	6.5	1.1	1.4	0.7	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.2	3.0	S	S	S	0.0	
Sexual touching	2.6	5.6	0.9	1.4	0.7	S	
Coercion	S	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	S	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Sexual touching	S	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Absence of affirmative consent	4.8	10.2	2.2	3.0	S	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.3	4.9	1.5	1.1	S	S	
Sexual touching	4.1	8.9	1.5	2.9	S	S	
Physical force or incapacitation	5.9	12.4	2.2	4.3	0.9	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.3	5.1	S	1.4	S	S	
Sexual touching	5.2	10.9	1.6	3.8	0.9	S	
Physical force, incapacitation or absence of affirmative consent	7.7	16.0	3.3	5.2	1.4	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	3.7	7.6	1.9	2.3	S	S	
Sexual touching	6.9	14.6	2.6	4.6	1.1	S	
Physical force, incapacitation, coercion or absence of affirmative consent	7.8	16.0	3.3	5.4	1.4	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	3.7	7.6	1.9	2.3	S	S	
Sexual touching	6.9	14.6	2.6	4.7	1.1	S	

Table F5. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact in 2016-2017 academic year by tactic^a

^aPrevalence values cannot be summed across categories of tactics. Any incident of nonconsensual sexual contact may have involved the use of more than one tactic.

Percent of victims of nonconsensual sexual contact –		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total	Total Undergraduate		Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
vho reported:	%	%	%	%	%
Type of nonconsensual sexual contact experienced in ir	ncident				
Penetration	13.8	16.5	10.5	S	S
Sexual touching	64.9	61.2	69.6	76.6	75.9
Both penetration and sexual touching	21.3	22.3	19.9	14.6	S
lumber of offenders					
1 person	69.9	67.8	86.9	59.5	93.7
2 persons	20.5	22.0	6.1	27.7	6.3
3 or more persons	9.6	10.2	7.0	12.7	0.0

Table G1. Type of contact experienced and number of offenders in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
Percent of victims who reported the following	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%
Gender					
Man	84.7	96.8	100.0	40.0	40.9
Woman	15.1	S	0.0	68.3	50.4
Transgender or gender-variant	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Don't know or not sure	3.1	S	0.0	S	S
Affiliation with Cornell					
Student	87.4	91.9	65.7	89.7	69.0
Faculty	.9	S	0.0	S	0.0
Staff or administrator	.3	0.0	0.0	S	0.0
Other affiliation	2.8	0.0	S	S	S
Not affiliated	7.0	5.7	18.1	0.0	15.5
Don't know if affiliated	8.5	8.0	13.4	S	S
Relationship to victim					
Current intimate partner	23.7	25.2	23.0	16.4	S
Previous intimate partner	9.8	10.1	S	14.8	S
Friend or acquaintance	38.0	35.5	45.2	46.4	S
Classmate or other student	17.5	14.8	22.1	20.3	S
Someone I just met	31.9	39.6	17.4	21.4	0.0
Faculty or instructor	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Academic or research advisor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Teaching assistant or research assistant	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coach or trainer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Residence hall student staff	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other staff or administrator	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Co-worker	1.1	S	S	0.0	S
Employer or supervisor	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stranger	18.0	16.7	18.4	17.1	S
Other	1.8	S	0.0	S	0.0

Table G2. Characteristics of offenders in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%
Perpetrator(s) drank alcohol prior to incident					
No	18.3	15.4	30.3	22.0	S
Yes	70.8	72.3	62.4	67.5	78.2
Don't know	10.9	12.2	S	S	S
Perpetrator(s) used other recreational drugs prior to	incident				
No	41.0	36.6	47.7	42.6	69.5
Yes	12.3	13.4	S	13.5	0.0
Don't know	46.7	50.0	43.5	43.9	S
/ictim drank alcohol prior to incident					
No	33.1	32.0	48.2	28.4	S
Yes	66.9	68.0	51.8	71.6	78.2
/ictim used recreational drugs prior to incident					
No	92.6	94.2	97.9	83.7	93.7
Yes	7.4	5.8	S	16.3	S
/ictim was given alcohol or another drug without co	nsent prior to inci	dent			
No	96.0	95.3	97.7	100.0	100.0
Yes	.3	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Don't know	3.7	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
lictim was conscious but incapacitated by alcohol or	other drugs for a	ll or parts of incident			
No	61.7	58.5	73.4	65.0	61.3
Yes	31.0	33.9	22.2	27.9	32.5
Not sure	7.3	7.6	S	S	S
lictim was passed out or unconscious for all or parts	s of incident				
No	87.8	87.1	93.9	87.3	77.8
Yes	7.0	7.3	S	S	S
Not sure	5.2	5.6	0.0	S	S

Table G3. Involvement of alcohol or other drugs in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type
Percent of victims who reported the most serious	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
incident occurred in:	%	%	%	%	%
Residence hall	18.3	19.8	S	18.1	S
Student cooperative house	3.2	S	S	S	S
Fraternity chapter house	19.0	24.0	S	15.0	0.0
Sorority chapter house	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Academic building on campus	3.3	S	S	S	S
Other type of campus facility	2.1	2.4	S	0.0	0.0
Fraternity annex	10.1	13.0	0.0	S	0.0
Sorority annex	S	S	0.0	S	0.0
Off-campus house or apartment unofficially affiliated with other type of student club	12.5	12.5	S	20.8	0.0
Other off-campus house, apartment or private residence	16.0	13.0	27.0	S	62.4
Restaurant, bar or club	6.1	3.6	25.7	S	0.0
Outdoor or recreational space	2.7	2.9	S	0.0	0.0
Some other location	4.4	3.9	S	S	S
Don't remember where it occurred	S	S	0.0	S	0.0
Don't know where it occurred	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table G4. Location of most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
	%	%	%	%	%
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	19.5	18.9	36.0	15.0	S
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	17.0	17.9	25.4	S	S
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	13.1	14.8	S	12.0	S
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	11.6	13.1	16.0	S	S
Feeling numb or detached	20.3	23.0	12.6	12.9	S
Headaches or stomach aches	5.5	6.3	S	S	S
Increased or decreased appetite	9.5	10.3	11.3	S	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	5.3	4.3	S	S	S
Dropped or changed a class	2.7	3.8	S	0.0	0.0
Took a leave of absence	2.0	S	S	0.0	0.0
Changed residence	1.0	0.0	S	S	0.0
Decreased social activities	18.4	20.0	19.1	S	S
Contracted a sexually transmitted infection	2.4	S	S	S	0.0
Became pregnant	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Physically injured	2.0	2.7	S	0.0	0.0
None of the above	55.5	55.2	51.0	64.0	48.1

Table G5. Impacts experienced by victims as a result of the most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who:	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	18.8	19.4	23.7	20.0	0.0
Did not contact a program or resource to talk about th	e experience: R	easons			
Did not know where to go or who to tell	7.2	6.9	S	S	0.0
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	32.1	32.9	37.8	20.0	36.4
Did not think anything would be done	14.4	16.3	13.4	S	S
Nanted to forget it happened	26.1	30.8	24.2	S	S
Had other things I needed to focus on	29.6	31.6	36.9	19.8	S
Did not have proof that behavior happened	13.3	13.3	17.5	S	S
Did not think anyone would believe me	4.4	4.2	S	S	S
Did not think it was serious enough	56.7	56.5	48.6	65.8	68.5
hought I would be blamed for what happened	6.1	4.9	S	S	S
elt I was partly responsible for what happened	18.8	22	20.9	S	S
elt embarrassed or ashamed	13.7	14.8	S	S	31.5
eared that I or another would be punished for nfractions or violations	3.7	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
eared retaliation from offender or others	7.2	8.3	0.0	S	S
eared academic or professional consequences	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
eared negative social consequences	5.1	5.9	S	S	S
eared that program would not keep this confidential	5.2	6.3	S	S	0.0
eared I wouldn't be able to control what steps vould be taken	5.0	5.2	S	S	S
Did not want offender to get into trouble	15.2	15.7	13.9	S	28.0
Was worried university would take action against offender's entire group or organization	3.1	4.4	0.0	0.0	S
Other reason	12.9	15.1	13.7	S	0.0

Table G6. Percent of victims who contacted a program or resource about most serious incident, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
Percent of victims who talked to:	%	%	%	%	%	
Friend	70.6	74.0	71.5	58.5	61.7	
Spouse or romantic partner	18.6	16.7	33.9	19.5	S	
Family member	9.8	11.2	13.4	S	0.0	
Faculty or instructor	2.6	S	S	S	0.0	
Cornell staff member	S	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Health professional	8.1	8.8	12.2	S	0.0	
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	S	S	S	0.0	0.0	
Someone else	2.2	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	
Didn't tell anyone	24.2	22.3	17.8	32.8	38.3	

Table G7. Percent of victims who talked about most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact to others

	Total	Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
		Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students reporting:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Have seen a student being sexually harassed	12.0	16.8	6.0	14.0	5.8	15.8
Among those who have witnessed this:						
Intervened in the situation: Actions taken	66.7	69.2	60.9	75.1	32.5	S
Directly addressed the situation	46.2	31.0	50.5	60.4	70.9	S
Disrupted the situation	62.3	70.1	30.8	59.0	S	S
Got assistance from someone else	21.9	22.2	S	25.0	0.0	0.0
Took some other action	4.3	6.4	S	S	0.0	0.0
Did not intervene in the situation: Reasons	33.3	30.8	39.1	24.9	67.5	S
Wasn't any of my business	32.2	27.7	0.0	44.4	40.7	S
Wasn't sure what to do	59.9	60.7	82.4	46.9	59.7	S
It did not seem serious	46.8	37.4	S	57.2	56.9	S
Was concerned about social consequences	17.1	11.7	S	S	S	S
Did something else after the fact	14.5	17.8	S	S	S	0.0

Table H1. Percent of students who have witnessed a student being sexually harassed, actions taken, and reasons for not intervening

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students reporting:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Have heard someone say they planned to get someone drunk to have sex with them later	7.5	10.9	2.5	10.2	2.0	S
Among those who have heard this:						
Intervened in the situation: Actions taken	43.6	34.1	S	56.2	S	S
Directly addressed the situation	69.5	56.4	S	82.3	S	S
Disrupted the situation	33.5	39.7	0.0	26.1	S	S
Got assistance from someone else	14.5	20.5	0.0	S	0.0	S
Took some other action	S	S	S	S	S	0.0
Did not intevene in the situation: Reasons	56.4	65.9	83.1	43.8	S	S
Wasn't any of my business	34.4	30.9	54.0	34.6	S	0.0
Wasn't sure what to do	39.7	39.1	S	45.5	S	S
It did not seem serious	47.2	41.0	S	52.7	S	0.0
Was concerned about social consequences	12.8	10.4	S	17.0	0.0	S
Did something else after the fact	9.6	9.8	0.0	13.6	0.0	0.0

Table H2. Percent of students hearing someone say they planned to get someone drunk for sex, actions taken, and reasons for not intervening

Table H3. Percent of students who have seen someone trying to take sexual advantage of an intoxicated person, actions taken, and reasons for not intervening

	Total	Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type	
		Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Have seen someone trying to take a clearly intoxicated person somewhere to take advantage of them sexually	12.6	20.5	4.0	14.4	2.9	25.9
Among those who have seen this:						
Intervened in some way: Actions taken	63.5	63.7	55.1	68.3	44.0	S
Directly addressed the situation	38.5	35.2	88.3	42.4	S	0.0
Disrupted the situation	56.7	63.7	S	51.2	S	S
Got assistance from someone else	31.0	29.5	S	36.8	S	S
Took some other action	5.8	S	S	S	S	S
Did not intervene in the situation: Reasons	36.5	36.3	44.9	31.7	56.0	S
Wasn't any of my business	37.0	32.9	61.0	43.3	S	0.0
Wasn't sure what to do	54.3	61.6	S	53.2	S	S
It did not seem serious	35.0	25.4	S	49.2	S	S
Was concerned about social consequences	19.1	20.3	S	24.5	0.0	0.0
Did something else after the fact	5.9	9.1	0.0	S	0.0	0.0

Table H4. Respect for sexual assault prevention

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
Percent of students who agreed or disagreed with	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
statement:	%	%	%	%	%	%
I would respect someone who did something to prevent a sexual assault.						
Strongly disagree	1.1	.8	S	1.1	S	S
Disagree	.6	S	0.0	1.3	S	S
Agree	11.2	8.5	7.3	14.2	13.6	20.0
Strongly agree	87.1	90.2	91.6	83.4	85.1	69.0

Table H5. Percent of students who have made someone have nonconsensual sexual contact with them

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
Percent of students who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Have verbally pressured someone into having sexual contact when knew they did not want to	1.1	1.5	S	1.4	0.0	S
Have gotten someone clearly intoxicated with goal of having sexual contact regardless of their inability to consent	.3	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Have physically forced someone into having sexual contact when knew they did not want to	.0	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	0.0