Lithium Metal Batteries Enabled by Synergetic Additives in Commercial Carbonate Electrolytes
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ABSTRACT: The lithium metal anode is considered as the ultimate choice for high-energy-density batteries. However, the organic-dominated solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) formed in carbonate electrolytes has a low interface energy against metallic Li as well as a high resistance, resulting in a low Li plating/stripping Coulombic efficiency (CE) of less than 99.0% and severe Li dendrite growth. Herein, inorganic-enhanced LiF-Li3N SEI is designed in commercial 1 M LiPF6/EC-DMC electrolytes by introducing lithium nitrate (LiNO3) and fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) through a small amount of sulfolane (SL) as a carrier solvent owing to the high solubility of SL for both carbonate solvents and LiNO3. The comprehensive characterizations and simulations demonstrate that the synergistic interaction of LiNO3 and FEC additives alters the solvation structure of 1 M LiPF6/EC-DMC electrolytes and forms additive-derived LiF-Li3N SEI, which increases the average Li CE up to 99.6% in 100 cycles. The designed carbonate electrolyte enables the Li/LiNi0.80Co0.15Al0.05O2 (NCA) cell with a lean lithium metal anode (∼50 μm) to achieve an average CE of 99.7% and a high capacity retention of 90.8% after 150 cycles. This work offers a simple and economical strategy to realize high-performance lithium metal batteries in commercial carbonate electrolytes.
energy with metallic Li to inhibit dendrite growth. Meanwhile, the polymeric species formed in the outer layer of FEC-induced SEI maintains good mechanical properties of SEI to resist volume expansion. On the other hand, lithium nitrate (LiNO₃) has been utilized in ether-based electrolytes of Li/S batteries as a functional additive to stabilize lithium metal anodes. It has been confirmed that LiNO₃ can effectively adjust the solvation structure of electrolytes and form a nitride-containing SEI on the surface of lithium metal. However, ether solvents have poor antioxidation ability, which greatly restricts its application in high-voltage batteries (≥4.3 V). Therefore, introducing LiNO₃ into carbonate electrolytes appears to be a more practical and cost-effective method for promoting the high-voltage lithium metal batteries (LMBs). Unfortunately, LiNO₃ is less soluble in carbonate electrolytes (<10⁻⁵ g mL⁻¹), which limits its application in conventional LiPF₆/carbonate electrolytes. Recently, several strategies have been reported to overcome the low solubility challenge of LiNO₃ in carbonate electrolytes. One of the methods is to fill solid LiNO₃ powders into the separator or the intermediate layer as a LiNO₃ reservoir, which continuously supplies LiNO₃ into the electrolyte during its consumption in battery

Figure 1. (a) Dissolution of LiNO₃ salt in commercial carbonate electrolytes with and without sulfolane (SL) carrier solvent. Adding 5 wt % of 2.2 M SL/LiNO₃ solution in 1 M LiPF₆/EC-DMC (BE) is equal to introducing 0.1 M LiNO₃. (b) Li plating/stripping profiles and (c) Coulombic efficiencies (CE) in BE, BE-FEC, BE-SL/LiNO₃, and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ at 0.5 mA cm⁻² and 0.5 mAh cm⁻². (d) Li plating/stripping profiles in BE, BE-FEC, BE-SL/LiNO₃, and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ at 0.5 mA cm⁻² and 0.5 mAh cm⁻² for 100 cycles.
cycling. Another method is to introduce LiNO$_3$ into electrolytes using carrier salts (CuF$_2$, Sn(OTf)$_2$) or solvents (TPFPB or TPFPP) that can dissolve both LiNO$_3$ and electrolytes. However, all these reports still below the fulfilling mark, as evidenced by a low Li plating/stripping CE of <99.0% in these electrolytes.

Here, we demonstrate for the first time that superior cycling stability with a high CE of the lithium metal anode can be achieved in commercial carbonate electrolytes by introducing a FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ composite additive, which outperforms the performance in carbonate electrolytes without additive and that with individual FEC or LiNO$_3$ additive. Notably, LiNO$_3$ was introduced into the electrolyte by using a concentrated LiNO$_3$/sulfolane (SL) solution, where the SL carrier solvent has higher LiNO$_3$ solubility and higher oxidation stability than carbonate solvents. As a result, the CE of Li plating/stripping is significantly improved from 84.4% in the baseline 1 M LiPF$_6$/EC-DMC (1:1 by vol) electrolyte (abbreviated as BE) to 99.5% in the BE with FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ additives (abbreviated as BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$). Due to the synergetic effect of LiNO$_3$ and FEC, a lithiophobic LiF-Li$_3$N enhanced SEI with high Li-ion conductivity was successfully constructed on the surface of the lithium metal anode, which can reduce the Li nucleation overpotential and suppress Li dendrite growth simultaneously. Moreover, the BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ electrolyte enables a 4.3 V LiNi$_{0.80}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ (NCA)/Li (50 μm) full cell to achieve an outstanding capacity retention of 90.8% after 150 cycles with an average CE of 99.7%. This work provides a simple and economic strategy to realize high-voltage LMBs with a supreme performance in commercial carbonate electrolytes.

**Li Plating/Stripping in 1 M LiPF$_6$−0.1 M LiNO$_3$/EC-DMC-FEC-SL Electrolytes.** The solubility of LiNO$_3$ in commercial carbonate electrolytes is very low (<10$^{-5}$ g mL$^{-1}$), as demonstrated by the insoluble LiNO$_3$ particles after adding 0.1 M (7 mg mL$^{-1}$) LiNO$_3$ salt in BE (Figure 1a). However, the highly polar aprotic sulfolane (SL) solvent with a wide voltage window (>5 V vs Li$^+$/Li) possesses high solubility for both LiNO$_3$ (>2 M) and carbonate electrolytes; thus it can be used as a carrier solvent in carbonate electrolytes to dissolve LiNO$_3$ without compromising the oxidative stability. Since FEC promotes to form LiF in the SEI, both FEC and LiNO$_3$ are selected as additives for commercial carbonate electrolytes using SL as the carrier solvent. As shown in Figure 1a, the obtained BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ electrolyte maintains a clear and transparent state without sediment. Although adding SL into carbonate electrolytes slightly reduces the Li plating/stripping CE (Figure S1a), the CE can be improved by increasing the salt concentration in SL-based electrolytes. To optimize the additive composition, SL/LiNO$_3$ additives with different LiNO$_3$ concentrations were separately added into BE-FEC electrolytes at a fixed amount (5% of BE). As shown in Figure S1b, the CE at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 0.5 mAh cm$^{-2}$ significantly increases from 98.3% to 99.5%, when the LiNO$_3$ salt concentration in SL/LiNO$_3$ increases from 0.5 to 2.2 M (saturated state). The SL/LiNO$_3$ (2.2 M) additive has the least free SL solvent and the most LiNO$_3$ content, thereby reducing the impact of SL and promoting the formation of anion-derived inorganic SEI. The addition of 5% SL/LiNO$_3$ (2.2 M) into the carbonate electrolyte is equivalent to introducing 0.1 M LiNO$_3$, which is much higher than the solubility of LiNO$_3$ in carbonate electrolytes. In addition to LiNO$_3$, FEC can also enhance the Li CE. The impact of individual additives (FEC and SL/LiNO$_3$) and their synergetic additive (FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$) on Li CE in BE were further investigated. Panels b and c of Figure 1 display the Li plating/stripping profiles and average CE values in BE with different additives. The CEs in BE, BE-5% FEC, and BE-5% SL/LiNO$_3$ are 84.4%, 94.1%, and 93.4%, respectively. Although FEC or...
LiNO$_3$-containing electrolyte can improve the average CE in BE by nearly 10%, the value is still less than 95% and far below the required value (>99%) for lithium metal anodes, which is consistent with previous results. However, when 5% FEC and 5% SL/LiNO$_3$ are added together into BE, the synergetic interaction of two additives dramatically improves the average CE up to 99.5%, which is almost 15% higher than that of traditional carbonate electrolytes. The adding amount of FEC and SL/LiNO$_3$ was optimized according to the Li plating/stripping CE test, which shown in Figure S1c. It can be found that the CE in BE-5% FEC-5% SL/LiNO$_3$ has the highest CE among BE-x% FEC-y% SL/LiNO$_3$ ($x + y \leq 10$). Further increasing the additive amount to above 10% in total will cause the salt to precipitate. Therefore, BE-5% FEC-5% SL/LiNO$_3$ is the optimized formulation, which is abbreviated as BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ in this article unless otherwise specified.

The detailed composition, viscosity, and conductivity of the BE, BE-FEC, BE-SL/LiNO$_3$, and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ are listed in Table S1. The nucleation onset potential for Li plating in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ (78 mV) is also reduced when compared with the ones in BE-SL/LiNO$_3$ (88 mV), BE-FEC (125 mV), and BE (255 mV) (inset in Figure 1b). This is because the additives in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ changed the solvation sheath and SEI, resulting in the fastest charge transfer kinetics.

For the long-term Li plating/stripping cycling, a serious voltage fluctuation appears after only 10 cycles in BE due to the low CE. It can be seen from Figure 1d that although the cycling performance of Li plating/stripping in BE-FEC and BE-SL/LiNO$_3$ electrolytes has been greatly improved compared with BE, it still cannot reach 100 cycles due to insufficient CEs. While BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ delivered stable Li plating/stripping behavior along 100 cycles and the average CE is measured as high as 99.6%. Besides, the average CE in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ maintains as high as 99.3% at 1.0 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 1.0 mAh cm$^{-2}$ (Figure S2). The Li plating/stripping CE reported here is one of the best performances for lithium metal anodes in carbonate electrolytes at a low salt concentration (Table S2). In addition, compared with high concentration electrolytes and all-fluorinated electrolytes, BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ has a comparable CE, as well as an obvious cost advantage (Figure S3).

The top and cross-sectional view of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images for the electrodeposited Li in BE, BE-FEC, BE-SL/LiNO$_3$, and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 0.5 mAh cm$^{-2}$ are presented in Figure 2a,b. Dendritic and mossy Li deposition were formed in BE. In...
contrast, a dendrite-free and compact lithium metal morphology was obtained in the BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃, which is denser than that in BE-FEC and BE-SL/LiNO₃. Optical images of the deposited lithium in various electrolytes are also displayed in Figure 2a. The lithium metal deposited in BE appears black and dull with an uneven morphology. Besides, the morphology improvement by individual FEC or SL/LiNO₃ additive is not obvious. In contrast, the lithium metal deposited in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ still flashes silvery luster with uniform shape, which reveals a less corrosion from the electrolyte due to the protection of a more stable SEI on the lithium metal. In addition, the morphology changes of lithium anodes during Li plating at different capacity in BE (Video S1) and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ (Video S2) were in situ monitored using an optical microscope. As shown in Figure 2c, obvious dendrite nucleation and growth can be observed in BE at 1 mAh cm⁻². As the deposition capacity increases, the deposited Li becomes looser with a more porous structure, and the tip lithium fragments even break away from the substrate to form “dead lithium” (Figure S4, captured from Video S1), which is consistent with the low CE (84.4%) in BE. In contrast, the morphology of the deposited Li in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ is much denser with a smooth morphology. Even at a high plating capacity of 5 mAh cm⁻², no clear Li dendrite growth is observed. Therefore, BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ can effectively decrease the interphase area and suppress Li dendrite growth, resulting in an ultrahigh CE of 99.6%.

The impedance evolution of symmetric Li/I Li cells was studied by the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and the semicircle at a high frequency can be attributed to the SEI resistance of lithium metal (R_SEI). The R_SEI values in different electrolytes were compared as a function of immersion time in Figure 3a, and the specific EIS results are shown in Figure S5. It can be seen that the initial R_SEI in BE has the highest value around 156 Ω and gradually grows to 203 Ω after 24 h (inset in Figure 3a). Although the FEC additive helps to reduce R_SEI, it still reaches a high value around 121 Ω after the same rest. In contrast, the LiNO₃ additive has greatly reduced the interphase impedance, as revealed by the lower resistance in BE-SL/LiNO₃ (48 Ω) and the lowest resistance in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ (35 Ω). This is mainly because nitrate is more likely to decompose into Li₃N and LiNₓOᵧ compounds on the surface of lithium metal anode, which are regarded as excellent Li ionic conductors and thus help to enhance the Li ionic transport property of SEI.

Figure 4. XPS F 1s, N 1s spectra of SEI on lithium metal in BE (a) and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃ (b). (c) Elemental k-edge mapping of Li, F, N, and O performed by STEM-EELS spectrum imaging. (d) HRTEM image of the SEI formed in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃. (e) Schematic diagram of the SEI formed in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO₃.
SEI stability was further demonstrated by galvanostatic cycling in the symmetric LillLi cells under 1 mAh cm$^{-2}$ with various current densities (Figure S6). Generally, FEC contributes to building a compact and mechanically stable SEI composed of the LiF-enhanced thin inner layer and polymeric outer layer, thus reducing the overpotential during Li cycling in LiLilLi cells, especially at larger current densities (Figure 3b). LiF not only has low electronic conductivity but also high interfacial energy against Li, thus greatly restraining dendrite growth and improving SEI stability. As shown in Figure 3c, the $R_{SEI}$ of FEC-containing electrolyte is also smaller than LiNO$_3$-containing electrolyte.

Five variables are considered to determine the Li deposition stability of Li anode according to the previous framework,$^{38}$ which are Li$^+$ transference number ($t_{Li}^+$), ionic conductivity ($\sigma$), surface tension ($S$), current density ($J$), and shear modulus of separator ($G$). The current density-scaled growth rate $\xi/J$ of a Li dendrite nucleate size $\lambda$ and the critical deposit size $\lambda_c$ of a current density $J$ are shown in Figure 3d–f. At the initial state, $R_{SEI}$ determines Li deposition stability in these four different electrolytes. The additives can decrease $R_{SEI}$ and increase Li deposition stability, especially the LiNO$_3$ additive. After cycling for 10 h, produced SEI films are crucial for Li deposition stability, which can enhance the Li transport at the interface and suppress Li penetration. The additive-derived SEI in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ combines high ionic conductivity and high interfacial energy against Li, which greatly suppresses the Li dendrite formation and exhibits the highest Li deposition stability in four electrolytes.

Long-term cycling of LillLi cells in different electrolytes was also performed at a high current density of 3 mA cm$^{-2}$ and a capacity of 1 mAh cm$^{-2}$. As exhibited in Figure 3g, the BE shows a distinctly increasing overpotential along with operation time until a huge voltage fluctuation happens after 150 h. It can be found that LiNO$_3$ and FEC are beneficial for improving lithium metal performance, as revealed by the lower overpotentials in BE-SL/LiNO$_3$ (260 mV) and BE-FEC (128 mV) compared with BE (311 mV) after 100 h of cycling (Figure 3h). However, the upward overpotential trend at the later stage in these electrolytes indicates that the individual SL/ LiNO$_3$ or FEC additive is not sufficient enough for maintaining the long-term stability, whereas BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ delivered a steady Li plating/stripping performance in the whole 200 h cycling at 3 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 1 mAh cm$^{-2}$, as well as the lowest overpotential of 109 mV at 100 h. Besides, BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ also displayed a stable cycling at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 0.5 mAh cm$^{-2}$ for more than 700 h with a minimal variation in the overpotential (Figure S7), which further confirms the synergistic effect of FEC-SL and LiNO$_3$ additives for improving lithium metal performance.

Characterizations of LiF-Li$_3$N-Enhanced SEI. The SEI compositions formed in BE and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ were probed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figure 4a,b, Figures S7–S9). As shown in F 1s spectra from Figure 4a,b, the SEI films formed in both BE and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$ contain LiF (685.2 eV), Li$_2$PO$_4$F$_2$ (687.4 eV), and LiPF$_6$ (689.4 eV). In addition, the formation of phosphorus compounds on SEI surface is also confirmed by P 2p spectra in Figure S8, which can be attributed to LiPF$_6$ decomposition and residual LiPF$_6$ salt.$^{39}$ However, the peak intensity of LiF formed in BE is much weaker than that in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO$_3$, which is induced by the reduction of FEC additive. Meanwhile, only the LiF peak exists in the F 1s spectrum with an intensive signal after Ar ion sputtering, which confirms more LiF.
component is aggregated in the inner SEI. For N 1s spectra, there was no distinct N 1s peak in BE, while several clear peaks including Li3N at 398.7 eV, LiN O at 400.4 eV, and LiNO2 at 404.4 eV were captured in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3. Moreover, only a clear Li3N signal can be found after 300 s of sputtering for the SEI formed in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3. The C and O spectra (Figure S9) and the elemental composition (Figure S10) after sputtering of SEI for different times were also analyzed. In BE, an organic—inorganic SEI with a nonuniform structure is formed, which is consistent with previous studies. However, the SEI formed in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3 has a lower C content but higher F and N content, suggesting fewer organic components but enhanced Li3N and LiF in the inner SEI. LiF is an electronic insulation and has a high interfacial energy against metallic Li, which can effectively suppress Li dendrites. Besides, Li3N has ultrahigh Li ion conductivity (10−3 S cm−1), which is effective for the rapid ionic transport. Therefore, a LiF-Li3N SEI with high lithiophobicity and high ionic conductivity in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3 enables Li anode to achieve a recorded high plating/stripping CE of 99.6%.

The elemental Li, F, N, and O mapping of the SEI on the electrodeposited lithium metal with a Cu foil substrate in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3 was also characterized by SEM images and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping (Figure S11). As an effective tool to reveal the contrast between different chemical compositions, the backscattered electron image in Figure S11b displays a much darker morphology on the top (metallic Li area due to the lower atomic number) compared with the bottom Cu area. As shown in Figure S11d–g, distinct N, F, O, C signals are found on the surface of lithium metal, which suggest LiF-Li3N enhanced SEI is formed. Meanwhile, cryogenic scanning TEM electron energy loss spectroscopy (STEM-EELS) with high spatial resolution was further adopted for more chemical information. The high-angle annular dark-field imaging (HAADF, Figure S12) along with the Li K-map, F K-map, N K-map, and O K-map (Figure 4c) were yielded in the SEI region through cryogenic STEM-EELS. The Li K-edge spectrum (Figure S13) displays a major broad peak centered at 61 eV and proves the area is indeed metallic Li. To access a deeper understanding of the SEI structural information, cryogenic high-resolution TEM (cryo-HRTEM) imaging was also captured in Figure 4d, which reveals a compact SEI structure with a thickness around 20 nm. Significant crystalline reflections are found in the image and indicate that a well-passivated SEI is constructed on metallic Li with more inorganic components such as LiF, Li3N, Li2O, and Li2CO3. These inorganic crystalline particles may be the key contribution for the effective passivation due to their outstanding physicochemical properties, such as their intrinsically low electronic conductivity, relatively high ionic conductivity, and high interfacial energy to suppress Li dendrite growth. The schematic diagram of the SEI formed in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3 is shown in Figure 4e.

LiF-Li3N Enhanced SEI Formation Mechanism. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation was performed to investigate the roles of FEC and SL/LiNO3 additives from the aspect of solvation structure. Figure 5a,c shows the snapshots of simulated BE and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO3 electrolytes. The solvents in the first coordinated shell are depicted by ball
and stick models, while the wireframes stand for the free solvents. The solvation structures of the two electrolytes were compared by the calculated radial distribution functions \(g(r)\), solid lines) and coordination numbers \(n(r)\), dash lines) (Figure 5b,d). The dominant peaks of \(g(r)\) appear at 9 Å for Li–P\((\text{PF}_6)\) in both electrolytes but do not exist in the first solvation shell (within 2.75 Å). It means that the majority of Li+ and PF\(_6^-\) are not in direct contact but exist as a separated ion pair (SSIP-PF\(_6^-\)). As shown in Figure 5b, additive-free BE, EC, and DMC molecules have occupied the main parts in the first Li+ solvation shell with coordination numbers 2.61 and 1.73, forming an organic-rich SEI due to the reduction of carbonate solvents. However, the FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) additive has significantly altered the solvation structure (Figure 5d). Not only coordination numbers of EC and DMC have been slightly reduced to 2.15 and 1.40, but also SL, NO\(_3^-\), and FEC appear in the primary Li+ solvation structure with coordination numbers of 0.52, 0.25, and 0.05, respectively. Several typical solvation shells of BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) with calculated binding energies of Li+ can be found in Figure S14. Obviously, NO\(_3^-\)-involved solvation structures have lower binding energies, which means that NO\(_3^-\) is more inclined to coordinate with Li+. Besides, solvated clusters such as contact ion pairs (CIPs, NO\(_3^-\) that coordinates to one Li+) and aggregate clusters (AGGs, NO\(_3^-\) that coordinate to two or more Li+) are formed in the primary Li+ solvation sheath of BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\). With the existence of NO\(_3^-\)-solvated clusters and the lowest calculated LUMO of NO\(_3^-\) compared to other components (EC, DMC, SL, FEC, and PF\(_6^-\)) in BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) (Figure 5e), NO\(_3^-\) will be first reduced on the lithium metal surface, forming the SEI with the Li–N species. Similarly, owning to the lower LUMO than other solvents, FEC species can be preferentially reduced to generate more LiF in the SEI.\(^{30,44}\) It is worth mentioning that the choice of SL as the carrier solvent for LiNO\(_3\) is based on comprehensive considerations. On the one hand, the lowest electrostatic potential (ESP) of SL among all the solvents (SL, EC, DMC, and FEC) means a lowest binding energy of SL with Li+, as exhibited in Figure 5f, suggesting a stronger affinity of SL with Li+, which helps to increase the solubility of LiNO\(_3\). The binding energies of Li+ with different solvents are also listed in Figure S15, which is consistent with ESP results. On the other hand, the inherent oxidation stability of SL will not affect the high-voltage stability of the entire electrolyte system.\(^{34}\)

### Electrochemical Performances of NCA/LiLess Li Full Cells

The BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) electrolyte was also evaluated in BE and BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) was further performed (Figure 6d). The battery with BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) exhibits considerable discharging capacities of 196, 186, 176, 163, and 137 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 C, respectively. Especially under a high rate of 5 C, the discharge capacity of BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) is almost 1.5 times of that obtained in BE (87 mAh g\(^{-1}\)). The excellent rate capability may be attributed to the lower interface resistance of the cell with BE-FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\), as revealed by the EIS results for full cells in different electrolytes after 100 cycles (Figure S17).

In summary, the commercial carbonate electrolyte has been proven to have promising application for high-energy NCA/Li metal batteries by simply adding 10 wt % of FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\) composite additives, which promotes the formation an advanced LiF-Li3N enhanced SEI on the lithium metal surface. Specifically, the extreme low electronic conductivity of LiF is propitious to reduce the SEI thickness, and its high surface energy to the lithium metal can suppress the Li dendrite growth, while the high ionic conductivity of Li3N is beneficial for the faster Li ion diffusion. As a result, the 1 M LiPF\(_6\)/EC-DMC-10 wt % (FEC-SL/LiNO\(_3\)) electrolyte enables the Li metal anode to achieve a CE of 99.6% (0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) for 100 cycles. Moreover, the NCA/Li (50 μm) full cell with the designed electrolyte also achieves an outstanding capacity retention of 90.8% after 150 cycles with a high CE of 99.7%. The synergetic additive strategy reported here, by simply adding 10 wt % of synergetic additive into commercial carbonate electrolytes, enables one of the highest CE for Li plating/stripping as well as the stable cycling for NCA/Li full batteries, which definitely opens an economical choice for the practical application of high-energy-density LMBs.
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