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Superior electrochemical performance and structure evolution of mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ anodes for lithium-ion batteries

Yunhua Xu$^{a,1}$, Guoqiang Jian$^{b,1}$, Yihang Liu$^a$, Yujie Zhu$^a$, Michael R. Zachariah$^{a,b,*}$, Chunsheng Wang$^a,**$

$^a$Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
$^b$Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Received 6 August 2013; received in revised form 1 October 2013; accepted 5 October 2013
Available online 14 October 2013

KEYWORDS
Lithium-ion battery; Iron oxide anode; Mesoporous spherical particle; Aerosol spray pyrolysis; Crystallite

Abstract
Mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ spherical particles with amorphous or crystalline structure were prepared at different temperatures using aerosol spray pyrolysis. The crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ (C-Fe$_2$O$_3$) anodes pyrolyzed at 800°C show better electrochemical performance than the amorphous Fe$_2$O$_3$ (A-Fe$_2$O$_3$) pyrolyzed at 600°C. Both, however, changed into nano-crystallite porous structure after charge/discharge cycles. The C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ spherical particles provided high reversible capacity of 800 mAh/g at 0.5 C over 300 charge/discharge cycles and retained 300 mAh/g at 10 C. The excellent cycling stability of the C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ spherical particles is mainly attributed to the interior voids in the mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles that provide extra space to accommodate volume change and alleviate structural strain/stress during electrochemical reaction. The high rate performance of mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ is attributed to (1) fast charge transfer reaction at the large interfacial area between electrode and liquid electrolyte, and (2) the reduced Li-ion diffusion distances. This study not only provides a simple synthesis method for lithium ion batteries, but also helps in designing novel and high performance electrode materials.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

As the dominant power source in portable electronic devices, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are of great interest for potential application in emerging electric vehicles and renewable clean energy sources [1-3]. However, the low energy density of commercial graphite/LiCoO$_2$ lithium-ion batteries has
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Hampered implementation of a wide array of energy and environmentally desirable technologies [4,5]. In this regard, extensive efforts have been made to seek anode materials with high capacity, good rate capability, and long cycling life [1,6,7]. Iron is earth abundant, low cost, and nontoxic, making iron oxides a promising candidate for sustainable Li-ion batteries. Compared with conventional graphite anodes, iron oxides (Fe₂O₃) have a three times higher theoretical capacity of 1006 mAh/g [6,7]. The large theoretical capacity of Fe₂O₃ is delivered by a conversion reaction where one chemical formula unit of Fe₂O₃ can react with 6 Li ions to form iron nano-particles dispersed in a Li₂O matrix [6,7]. However, the insertion/extraction of a large amount of Li ions leads to large volume change, which can adversely impact morphology and mechanical contact during reaction cycling, leading to a rapid decline in capacity.

One of the most successful methods to mitigate the volume change of Fe₂O₃ anodes is minimalizing the particle size, i.e. nano-scale [1,7–12]. Pioneering work by Taroscon's group has demonstrated the significant improvement in cycle stability and rate capacity for nano-sized Fe₂O₃ particles in contrast to micro-sized particles [13,14]. The enhanced performance of nano-sized electrode materials in LIBs benefits from reduced structural strain/stress and improved charge/discharge kinetics. Following these ideas a variety of nano Fe₂O₃ architectures, comprising nanoparticles, nanotubes to hierarchical nanostructures [15–35] have been synthesized using hydrothermal [26–28,31,32] and hard-template methods [15–17,30]. However, the large surface of Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles significantly increases irreversible capacity due to the formation of a large solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) during the first cycle. The large volume change of Fe₂O₃ during prolonged lithiation/delithiation cycles, resulting in cracking, followed by reformation and growth of the SEI films, and thus leading to low Coulombic efficiency and poor cycling stability. In addition, the Fe₂O₃ nano-particles have low tapping density, which reduces the active materials loading.

An appealing structure is mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles, which not only take advantage of long cycling life and high rate performance of nano-Fe₂O₃ but also have high tapping density [36–38]. Specifically, mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles with closed-mesopores have much smaller surface area than that of nanoparticles and open-pore materials, and thus offer high Coulombic efficiency and large tapping density [39]. The nano-sized walls of the mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles offer similar Li-ion diffusion pathway to Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, which ensures fast kinetics and thus high rate capability. Finally, mesopores provide additional voids to effectively accommodate the volume change and alleviate the structural strain/stress during electrochemical reaction, improving the cycling stability. The advantages of mesoporous structure of electrodes for Li-ion batteries have been demonstrated in several conversion reaction electrodes, such as MnO₂ [40], Co₃O₄ [41,42], as well as Cr₂O₃ [43].

Mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles with different morphologies have also been synthesized using complex acidic etching [27], template synthesis [30], hydrothermal synthesis [33], and chimie douce precipitation method [34]. The porous Fe₂O₃ particles prepared using these methods, however, can only sustain a short cycling life of 50–100 cycles [15–32]. There has been limited success in low-cost synthesis methods capable of mass production of mesoporous Fe₂O₃ with high cycling performance. Recently, aerosol spray pyrolysis has emerged as a powerful technique to synthesize nano-structured materials [44–47]. Aerosol spray pyrolysis is a simple process, whereby a precursor solution is atomized to form aerosol droplets dispersed in a carrier gas, which undergoes thermally-induced evaporation of solvent and solid-state chemical reactions to form the desired particles (Figure S1). Compared with wet-chemistry methods, aerosol spray pyrolysis has relatively low cost, and is compatible with on-line continuous production processes, thus providing a scalable manufacturing of particles. In a very recent work by our groups, ultrasmall and uniformly sized nano-Sn grain/carbon spheres were successfully produced using this technique [43]. It was shown that the rapid heating and cooling process associated with droplet formation and solvent evaporation can “freeze” the precursor solid particles to create uniformly nano-structured spherical particles, achievable by the wet chemistry methods.

In this paper, we employed a facile one-step “droplet-to-particle” aerosol spray pyrolysis method to produce crystalline or amorphous mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles by tuning the pyrolysis temperatures. The electrochemical performance of the mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles was investigated as anode materials in LIBs. High reversible capacity of ~800 mAh/g at 0.5 C over 300 charge/discharge cycles were demonstrated for the crystalline Fe₂O₃ anode.

**Experimental section**

**Synthesis of mesoporous Fe₂O₃ spherical particles**

Mesoporous Fe₂O₃ particles were prepared by an aerosol spray pyrolysis method as illustrated in Figure S1. Typically, ~3.2 g iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.34 g sucrose (C₁₂H₂₂O₁₁, Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved into 110 ml water. Aerosol droplets containing the dissolved precursors were generated using compressed air at a pressure of 0.24 MPa in a collision type atomizer. The geometric mean diameter of the droplets was measured to be ~1 μm by a laser aerosol spectrometer. The produced aerosol droplets were firstly passed through a silica-gel diffusion dryer to remove most of the water, and then passed to a tube furnace at 600 or 800 °C. The normal residence time in the synthesis was around 1 s for a total gas flow rate of 3.5 L min⁻¹. The final products were collected on a 0.4 μm (pore size) HTTP Millipore filter.

**Material characterizations**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were taken by Hitachi SU-70 analytical ultra-high resolution SEM (Japan) and JEOL 2100F field emission TEM (Japan), respectively. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was recorded by Bruker Smart1000 (Bruker AXS Inc., USA) using CuKα radiation. BET specific surface area and pore size and volume were analyzed using N₂ absorption with Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Porosimeter Test Station.
Electrochemical measurements

The mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ anodes were mixed with carbon black and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) binder to form slurry at the weight ratio of 70:15:15. The electrode was prepared by casting the slurry onto copper foil with active material loading of $\sim$1.0 mg/cm$^2$ using a doctor blade and dried in a vacuum oven at 100°C overnight. Coin cells were assembled with lithium foil as the counter electrode, 1 M LiPF$_6$ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (EC/DEC, 1:1 by volume) as the electrolyte, and Celgard$^\text{TM}$ 3501 (Celgard, LLC Corp., USA) as the separator. Electrochemical performance was tested using Arbin battery test station (BT2000, Arbin Instruments, USA). Rate capability was examined by charging/discharging at different rates from 0.1 C to 30 (C rate = 1006 mA/g). Cyclic voltammogram scanned at 0.1 mV/s between 0 – 3 V was recorded using Solartron 1260/1287 Electrochemical Interface (Solartron Metrology, UK). For comparison, the commercial Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with particle size of 50 nm (Sigma-Aldrich) were examined at the same cell assembly and test conditions. Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) measurement was carried out by applying a pulse constant current of 200 mA/g with duration of 30 min and followed by 6 h relaxation to reach an equilibrium voltage.

Results and discussion

A schematic illustration for the preparation of mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanospheres is presented in Figure S1. The aerosol spray pyrolysis synthesis followed a one-step “droplet-to-particle” process whereby precursor solutions are atomized and thermally decomposed to form mesoporous particles. The key to the formation of the closed-mesopore structure is the existence of the gas blowing agent in the precursor solution. Both decomposition of nitrate salts and oxidation of sucrose could produce gases which lead to the formation of porous structure. The role of sucrose here is to increase the gas production in the sintering process, as illustrated in Figure S1. Two temperatures, 600°C and 800°C, were used to synthesis mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ spheres, and denoted as A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (amorphous) and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (crystalline), respectively. The morphology of Fe$_2$O$_3$ spheres was illustrated in the SEM images in Figure 1. Spherical shape of the precursor droplets was well preserved for both samples of A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$, which is the typical feature of materials synthesized by aerosol spray pyrolysis. The Fe$_2$O$_3$ spherical particles for both samples are distributed in the diameter range of hundreds of nanometers. The enlarged SEM image in Figure 1c clearly reveals open and uniformly distributed nano-sized pores on the surface of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ spherical particles.

The mesoporous nature within the Fe$_2$O$_3$ spheres is evidenced by TEM images in Figure 2. For both samples of A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$, the porous structure is clearly revealed with the contrast between Fe$_2$O$_3$ walls and mesopores in the TEM images. The lighter regions represent pores, while the darker represent Fe$_2$O$_3$ walls. Both the diameter of pores and the thickness of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ walls are around 10 nm. C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ shows much stronger contrast and better-defined pore structure than A-Fe$_2$O$_3$. At higher temperature of 800°C, much faster and complete decomposition of the precursors occurred, facilitating formation of crystal structure and well-defined pores (Figure 2f and g); while A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles show an amorphous structure (Figure 2c). This is further confirmed by the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) images (Figure 2d and h). Bright diffraction rings are presented for C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 2h), which is a typical feature of a crystalline structure. Figure 2g shows the high-resolution TEM lattice image. The lattice spacing was measured to be 0.37 nm, which is in good agreement with the d-spacing of the (012) plane of crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$. However, only broad diffraction rings are observed for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 2d), indicating an amorphous structure. The structures of both A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ were also characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Figure S2). Fine diffraction peaks were displayed for C-Fe$_2$O$_3$, which can be indexed to the crystal structure of Fe$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS card no.: 33-0664). No impurity peaks were detected, indicating that the thermal decomposition at the synthesis temperature (800°C) is sufficient to form crystal Fe$_2$O$_3$, even though the heating time is as short as a few seconds. In contrast, no diffraction peaks are displayed for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$, which is in good agreement with the high-resolution TEM and SAED results (Figure 2c, d, g and h).

The closed-mesopore structure of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ spheres was analyzed using nitrogen absorption measurements. Figure S3 shows the adsorption isotherm. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area and pore volume are 23 m$^2$/g and 0.015 cm$^3$/g for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$, 58 m$^2$/g and

![Figure 1](image-url) SEM images of (a) A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and (b,c) C-Fe$_2$O$_3$. 
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0.15 cm$^3$/g for C-Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. The surface areas of both A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are much smaller than reported porous Fe$_2$O$_3$ anodes (103-207 m$^2$/g for mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ cocoons and rods [34] and 765.0 m$^2$/g for porous Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanotubes [17]). BJH average open-pore diameter is 10 nm and 11 nm for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively, which are in consistence with the results by TEM images.

Electrochemical performance of both A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes was investigated in coin cells using lithium as the counter electrode. Figure 3a and b show the first two cyclic voltammogram (CV) scans of A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ at a scan rate of 0.1 mV/s between 0 V and 3.0 V. The strong reduction peaks at 0.65 V for C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and 0.7 V for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the first cycle are attributed to the conversion reaction from Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^0$. In the second cycle, both peaks shifted to higher voltage of 0.9 V for C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and 0.8 V for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with reduced peak current. The current peak shift to more positive potential in the second cycle is a common phenomenon for iron oxide anodes observed by others [15–35] and was attributed to the structure changes during the lithium insertion in the first cycle. The higher peak current in the first lithiation was partially attributed to the formation of solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) films. In the anodic process, similar oxidation curves and peak intensity were presented for A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the first cycle with two broad peaks centered at 1.65 V and 1.85 V, respectively, accounting for the oxidation reaction from Fe$^0$ to Fe$^{3+}$. Meanwhile, no significant difference between the first and second anodic scans was observed for both electrodes.

The difference between crystalline and amorphous Fe$_2$O$_3$ can also be observed in galvanic charge/discharge curves. Figure 3c and d illustrates the first three charge/discharge curves of A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ at 100 mA/g from 0 to 3.0 V. The crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ showed a long and flat plateau at 0.8 V in the first discharge (Figure 3d), and then shifts to 1.0 V and became a slop line in the subsequent discharges; while the discharge potential profile of amorphous Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the first discharge is less flat than that of crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ (a typical feature for amorphous electrode materials [48,49]), and maintain its slope shape but slightly shifted to a higher potential in the following discharges. Similar to the CV curves, potential increase in the second cycles for crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ have been widely reported for iron oxide and other anode materials [7,14,50] and were attributed to the structure changes during the lithium insertion in the first cycle. In the first cycle, the volume change due to the conversion reaction produced defects although the mesoporous released some stress/strain and changed the Fe$_2$O$_3$ from crystal or amorphous network structure to nano-crystallite structure, which would facilitate the lithium ion insertion and lower the electrochemical polarization in the subsequent cycles [7]. The nano-crystallite structure after lithiation/delithiation cycles was confirmed by the TEM and SAED images after 100 cycles (Figure 4).

During delithiation, a plateau-like potential slope at 1.2-2.5 V was observed (Figure 3c and d), which accounts for the oxidation reaction of Fe$^0$-Fe$^{3+}$. There is no obvious difference in the charge processes for the first three cycles. The almost identical charge/discharge behavior from the second cycle demonstrates good reversibility and stability of the mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ anodes.

The first discharge of C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ delivered a capacity of 1365 mAh/g, much higher than the theoretical value of 1006 mAh/g. The extra capacity is attributed to the decomposition reaction of the electrolyte. The reversible capacity of C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the first cycle is 1003 mAh/g, corresponding to 99.7% of the theoretical capacity. The high reversible capacity identifies full utilization of the porous Fe$_2$O$_3$ through the whole spherical particles. No capacity fading occurred in the following cycles, revealing good stability. In contrast, A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ delivered higher charge and discharge capacities of 1167 mAh/g and 1501 mAh/g, respectively. The higher capacity could be associated with the amorphous structure.
The CVs in Figure 3 and images in Figure 4 show that the structure change from crystal or amorphous to nanocrystallite in the first charge/discharge cycle influences the electrochemical behavior (equilibrium potential and overpotential) in the following cycles. To understand how the structure change influences the thermodynamic equilibrium potential and kinetic overpotential of the mesoporous Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes, GITT technique was used to investigate the equilibrium potential and overpotential of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ anodes in the first two charge/discharge cycles. The GITT curves are shown in Figure S4. Since the capacity of A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is larger than C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 3c and d), the capacities were normalized to the state of discharge (SOD) to obtain the intrinsic electrochemical property.

Figure 5 compares the equilibrium potentials and overpotentials of C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the initial two cycles. In the first cycle (Figure 5a), the initial discharge equilibrium potential of the crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ is slightly lower than that of amorphous Fe$_2$O$_3$ but reaches similar value after 50% of discharge. Charge equilibrium potentials of the two Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes appear similar, implying that the structure change occurred in the early stage of the first discharge. In the second cycle, both C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ show the same charge/discharge equilibrium potential because both crystalline and amorphous Fe$_2$O$_3$ were altered into nano-crystallite structure in the first cycle. The overpotentials of both C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ decrease with SOD but the amorphous Fe$_2$O$_3$ shows a slightly larger value than that of the crystalline Fe$_2$O$_3$ at the beginning of the first discharge, indicating that the structure change from amorphous to nano-crystallite is more difficult than from crystalline to nano-crystallite. The discharge overpotentials for the two Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes in the second charge/discharge cycles are similar and much lower than those in the first cycle. Therefore the formation of nano-crystallite structure enhanced the lithiation/delithiation kinetics of Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes.

The cycling stability of both C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes were investigated by repeated charge/discharge processes between 0 and 3.0 V at a current density of 500 mA/g, as shown in Figure 6a. Commercial Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with an average particle size of 50 nm were also examined at the same conditions for comparison. C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ provides a charge capacity of around 1000 mAh/g in the first cycle followed by slight capacity decay for 15 cycles, and then remains stable at ~800 mAh/g up to 100 cycles. However, the capacity of A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ continuously drops to and then stabilizes at ~450 mAh/g, which is only half of the capacity of C-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Although both C-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ altered into the similar nano-crystallite structure after the first cycle, the large overpotential of amorphous A-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the first lithiation (Figure 5c) implies that more severe structure deformation occurred during the first lithiation, which
reduces the cycling stability. The commercial Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles provided similar capacity in the initial cycles, but subsequently showed a rapid decay to failure after ~50 cycles (Figure 6a). These results clearly demonstrate that the superior cycling performance of the mesoporous C-Fe₂O₃ synthesized using aerosol spray pyrolysis over the commercial nanoparticles.

The material structure of the mesoporous Fe₂O₃ anodes and the commercial nanoparticles after 100 charge/discharge cycles between 0 and 3.0 V at 500 mA/g current were investigated using TEM (Figure 4). Both A-Fe₂O₃ and C-Fe₂O₃ maintain the integrity of the spherical particles. High resolution TEM images (Figure 4b and e) revealed that the porous structure also survived the lithium ion insertion/extraction reactions for both anodes. In comparison to the porous Fe₂O₃, the commercial nanoparticles suffered much more severe structure and morphology deformation during the charge/discharge cycles as evidenced by the TEM images in Figure 4 and Figure S5. After cycles, the spherical morphology was destroyed, which is consistent with the worse cycling stability.

Due to the high cycling stability of C-Fe₂O₃, the extended cycling behavior of C-Fe₂O₃ was further evaluated at 500 mA/g after three cycles at 100 mA/g (Figure 6b). After 300 cycles, no capacity fading occurred with capacity structure. The diameter of nanoparticles is still around 10 nm and the preservation of the spherical morphology, demonstrating that the mesoporous Fe₂O₃ spheres can effectively accommodate the volume change, and alleviate the strain during the electrochemical cycles. As shown in the SAED images of Fe₂O₃ particles after 100 cycles in Figure 4c and f, crystal pattern was displayed for both A-Fe₂O₃ and C-Fe₂O₃ anodes. In contrast to the porous Fe₂O₃, the commercial nanoparticles suffered much more severe structure and morphology deformation during the charge/discharge cycles as evidenced by the TEM images in Figure 4 and Figure S5. After cycles, the spherical morphology was destroyed, which is consistent with the worse cycling stability.

**Figure 4** TEM images of (a,b) A-Fe₂O₃, (d,e) C-Fe₂O₃ and (g,h) commercial Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, and SAED images of (c) A-Fe₂O₃, (f) C-Fe₂O₃ and (i) commercial Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle anodes taken after 100 cycles.
retention of ~800 mAh/g. Such long-life cycling performance has barely been reported in literature [15-34]. The Coulombic efficiency is approaching 100% over the 300 cycles. These definitely prove that the mesoporous Fe2O3 sphere electrode synthesized at 800 °C is a promising material for energy storage and rechargeable batteries.

The rate capability of the mesoporous C-Fe2O3 anodes was examined at different current densities. Excellent rate performance of the mesoporous C-Fe2O3 anodes was demonstrated in Figure 6c. A high capacity of 300 mAh/g was retained at a 10 C rate (C rate = 1006 mA/g), which is comparable to graphite used in commercial lithium ion batteries. Even at a higher rate of 20 C, the capacity retention is still as high as 120 mAh/g, which is much better than those previously reported solid iron oxide nanoparticles [18]. Remarkably, the rate capability of the mesoporous C-Fe2O3 anodes is superior to that of those conductive additive-incorporated iron oxide anodes, such as amorphous carbon, graphene as well as carbon nanotubes [31,51-53]. The excellent rate capability is believed to be associated with the mesoporous structure.

Clearly, the unique porous spherical structure of the mesoporous Fe2O3 anodes is responsible for the enhanced electrochemical performance. As is well known, the porous structure provides extra space to accommodate the large volume change during lithium ion insertion/extraction, which helps alleviate the absolute strain on the surface and avoid mechanical crack and maintains the integrity of the whole particle and good electric pass within the spherical particles, and thus improving the cycling stability and rate performance. In addition, the reduced dimension of Fe2O3 electrode (nano-sized walls) not only offers further assistance to reduce the strain led by lithiation/delithiation reaction, but also provides short transport distances for lithium ions and electrons, allowing fast kinetics. Along with the nano-sized dimension, this porous spherical structure enables an excellent rate capability. Finally, compared with amorphous structure, the crystal structure is another factor to provide a strong mechanical support. As a result, the mesoporous crystalline Fe2O3 spheres not only enable full utilization of Fe2O3 to store lithium ions, thus providing high capacity, but also improve the cycling stability and rate capability.

Conclusion

Mesoporous Fe2O3 spherical particles were synthesized at different temperatures of 600 °C and 800 °C using aerosol spray pyrolysis as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. A-Fe2O3 is amorphous, while C-Fe2O3 has a fine crystal structure. High capacity, good cycling stability as well as excellent rate capability were demonstrated for C-Fe2O3. The TEM results revealed that the electrochemical cycles converted the wall-frame porous structure into nano-crystallite
structure for both crystalline and amorphous Fe2O3. The better stability of C-Fe2O3 suggests that mesoporous crystal structure is promising for high performance anode materials in LIBs. Our study not only provides a simple synthesis method for lithium ion batteries, but also helps in designing novel and high performance electrode materials.
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