Hoop-Strong Nanotubes for Battery Electrodes
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ABSTRACT The engineering of hollow nanostructures is a promising approach to addressing instabilities in silicon-based electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. Previous studies showed that a SiOx coating on silicon nanotubes (SiNTs) could function as a constraining layer and enhance capacity retention in electrodes with low mass loading, but we show here that similarly produced electrodes having negligible SiOx coating and significantly higher mass loading show relatively low capacity retention, fading quickly within the early cycles. We find that the SiNT performance can still be enhanced, even in electrodes with high mass loading, by the use of Ni functional coatings on the outer surface, leading to greatly enhanced capacity retention in a manner that could scale better to industrially relevant battery capacities. In situ transmission electron microscopy studies reveal that the Ni coatings suppress the Si wall from expanding outward, instead carrying the large hoop stress and forcing the Si to expand inward toward the hollow inner core. Evidence shows that these controlled volume changes in Ni-coated SiNTs, accompanied by the electrochemically inert nature of Ni coatings, unlike SiOx, may enhance the stability of the electrolyte at the outer surface against forming a thick solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer. These results provide useful guidelines for designing nanostructured silicon electrodes for viable lithium-ion battery applications.
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Advanced lithium-ion battery technology has permeated the portable electronics industry and also has potential for application to electric vehicles and stationary grid storage.¹,² Silicon is a promising candidate to replace current graphite anodes because it is an earth-abundant material with exceptional specific capacity of Li at room temperature (3579 vs 372 mAh/g for graphite).³⁻⁴ However, silicon undergoes massive volume changes (~300%) during charge and discharge cycles leading to stress-induced cracking and poor cycle life.⁵,⁶ To solve these problems and to move toward widespread industrial adoption of silicon-based lithium-ion batteries both require major improvements in three core areas: (i) structural integrity of the electrode, (ii) electronic and ionic transport pathways, and (iii) stability of the electrode/electrolyte interface. Nanostructured forms of silicon, particularly 1D structures, have been shown to mitigate some of the underlying problems observed in bulk counterparts.⁷⁻¹³ For instance, the pioneering work by Chan et al. demonstrated nearly theoretical charge capacity in silicon nanowire (SiNW) anodes grown directly on a current collector.⁸ The reduction in size and large surface-to-volume ratio of 1D nanowires facilitate lithiation-induced strain relaxation, short lithium diffusion lengths, and efficient electrical conducting pathways for faster charge and discharge cycles.

Unfortunately, the nanostructuring of silicon creates additional challenges due to the large surface-to-volume ratio, which leads to a large surface area that can cause unwanted side-reactions with the liquid electrolyte. For example, the reduction of organic electrolytes during the charge transfer process can lead to the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer that reduces lithium diffusivity and causes irreversible capacity loss.¹¹ On the contrary, a thin SEI layer that only grows during the
initial few cycles can instead form a permanent protective layer preventing further growth, while allowing Li\(^+\) ions to diffuse readily between the electrode and electrolyte. This variety of SEI is regarded as an ideal compromise for battery applications.\(^{11,12}\) However, the notorious volume changes experienced by silicon during electrochemical cycling can simultaneously weaken and tear the SEI layer causing the silicon to be directly re-exposed to the electrolyte with each cycle, thus re-forming a new SEI film. This repeated reduction and consumption of electrolyte during cycling can quickly thicken the SEI layer, consuming lithium from cathodes and impeding the further transfer of Li\(^+\) ions across the interface. This instability in SEI formation can eventually lead to overall capacity loss and failure of the battery.

Two main strategies have been implemented to stabilize and control the parasitic thickening of the SEI layers on nanostructured silicon electrodes. First, the use of functional coatings such as carbon,\(^9,14,15\) copper,\(^{16,17}\) nickel,\(^{18,19}\) silver,\(^20\) and alumina\(^21\) on the surface of silicon electrodes has shown some promising outcomes. These surface coatings can act as a buffer layer between the active electrode and electrolyte and prevent the formation of excess SEI layers. Electronically active coatings also enhance the conducting pathways of the active Si and remove the need for extra additives.\(^4\) Despite the advantages of such coatings, the precise role they play in constraining the volume changes in silicon during lithiation and delithiation is not fully understood. A second approach has focused instead on the internal structure of silicon nanomaterials, with the goal of providing stress relief through hollow nanostructures, with internal voids. Yao et al. compared the stress evolution during lithiation in a hollow nanosphere and a solid nanoparticle with the same volume of Si, and found that the solid form undergoes \(~5\) times more stress than the hollow counterpart.\(^22\) Recent in situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies on solid silicon nanostructures such as crystalline SiNWs\(^16\) and amorphous Si nanorods\(^23\) have demonstrated higher radial straining and simultaneous cracks in the structures when subjected to electrochemical lithiation and delithiation. Studies on corresponding hollow nanostructures, such as silicon nanotubes (SiNTs), have instead shown remarkable initial Coulombic efficiencies of \(>85\%\) and stable capacity retention of \(>80\%\) up through 50 cycles.\(^9,10\) These results all suggest that nanostructures with hollow or tubular shapes can provide substantial benefits over their solid counterparts.

Recently, one study combines the above two approaches, proposing the use of a 10 nm SiO\(_2\) coating as a mechanical clamping layer on the outer surface of a SiNT electrode, to prevent the outward expansion that can damage the SEI, and indeed, a coin cell using such a double-walled SiNT electrode showed excellent lithiation/delithiation cycle performance at a low Si mass loading (less than 0.1 mg/cm\(^2\)).\(^{24}\) Inspired by this pioneering work, we seek to improve upon this approach by moving toward higher capacity battery electrodes with significantly increased mass loading, by \(30–100\) times, as would be required for a broader range of battery applications. By following the fabrication procedure published previously (see ref 24 and Experimental Methods section below), we can obtain SiNTs with only a very thin SiO\(_2\) coating—essentially uncoated nanotubes with only native oxide. When assembled into coin cells at the higher mass loading, these uncoated SiNTs show a rapid degradation of performance. To address this degradation, we additionally coat the outer surface of the SiNTs with nickel, which is both electronically conductive and inert to chemical interactions with lithium, like other metallic coatings.\(^{16–20}\) and unlike SiO\(_2\). We found that conductive, electrochemically inert Ni coating on SiNTs can address the above three challenges simultaneously: structure integrity, fast ion and electron transport, and stable SEI formation for electrodes with a large mass loading. We find that cell capacity-retention and cycle-life are improved with increasing thickness of the Ni-coating layers, suggesting that the high strength of the Ni coatings is indeed able to carry the large hoop stress caused by the expansion of the SiNTs during lithiation. To test such a hypothesis, we conduct further in situ TEM studies, and our observations confirm that, with the thicker Ni layers, the system exhibits a mode where the lithiation-induced expansion is in fact inward-directed entirely on the inner diameter and suppressed on the outer diameter.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Electrochemical Performance of Uncoated SiNTs and Ni-Coated SiNTs.** The electrochemical performances of uncoated SiNTs and Ni-coated SiNTs are tested under galvanostatic conditions in traditional liquid electrolyte coin cells with Li metal as the counter electrode (see Experimental Methods for details). Figure 1a shows schematics of SiNTs prepared by a carbon nanofiber nanotemplating method\(^24\) (see Experimental Methods section for details). Three sets of SiNTs, one without any metallic coatings and the other two with sputter-coated Ni layers of different thicknesses prepared using direct current (DC)-magnetron sputtering (see Experimental Methods and Supporting Information, Figure S1 for details), are tested for the electrochemical performance. The free-standing uncoated SiNTs or Ni-coated SiNTs mats are directly used in cell assembly and electrochemical tests without using any binder and conductive additives (Figure 1b–f), as would be desired for maximum specific capacity in applications. Figure 1g shows the delithiation capacity retention of three electrodes with respect to the delithiation capacity of the first cycle. As shown in Figure 1g, after
50 lithiation–delithiation cycles, the delithiation capacity of the uncoated SiNTs electrode quickly decreases to only ∼55% of the first delithiation capacity. Although the ∼5 nm Ni-coated SiNTs electrode shows slightly improved cycling performance compared with the uncoated SiNTs electrode, the capacity still fades during repeated cycling, with only 52% capacity left after 100 cycles. However, when the thickness of Ni-coating is increased to ∼16 nm, the material shows excellent capacity retention with 85% capacity retained after 100 cycles. More importantly, almost no capacity decay is observed after the 20th charge–discharge cycle. Figure 1g clearly demonstrates that the ∼16 nm Ni-coated SiNTs electrode shows superior cycling performance compared with the other two electrodes.

Detailed galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles are shown in Figure S2 (Supporting Information), where all three electrodes exhibit a long voltage plateau at ∼200 mV (vs Li/Li⁺) during the first lithiation, suggesting a two-phase lithiation mechanism in the first cycle, consistent with recent in situ TEM studies of amorphous silicon. In the second and subsequent cycles, the voltage profiles show sloping curves, which are typical charge–discharge behaviors of amorphous silicon and indicate that the lithiation/delithiation follow a single phase solid solution reaction pathway. On the basis of the total weight of the electrode (active silicon with Ni coating) material, the first delithiation capacity and Coulombic efficiency (CE) of uncoated SiNTs are measured to be 930 mAh/g_{electrode} and ∼50% respectively. Similarly, the first discharge capacity and CE of ∼5 nm Ni-coated SiNTs and ∼16 nm Ni-coated SiNTs are 850 mAh/g_{electrode} and ∼63%, and 760 mAh/g_{electrode} and ∼71%, respectively. The overall specific capacity of two Ni-coated SiNT electrodes is slightly lower than that of the uncoated SiNT electrode, mainly due to the much higher mass density of Ni compared with amorphous Si. It is notable that the uncoated SiNTs achieve a discharge capacity of 930 mAh/g_{Si} whereas the ∼16 nm Ni-coated SiNTs achieve over 3000 mAh/g_{Si}, which shows that the Ni helps to maintain the mechanical and electronic integrity of the SiNT structure. We also note that the first-cycle CE is much lower for the uncoated SiNTs than that of ∼5 nm Ni-coated SiNTs and ∼16 nm Ni-coated SiNTs. This lower initial CE of uncoated SiNTs is probably caused by a large outward expansion of the SiNTs that breaks the SEI film during lithiation and delithiation, thus forming a thicker SEI layer that contributes to increasing cell impedance. On the other hand, the high CE in the Ni-coated SiNTs can be attributed to a stable SEI, resulting from the confinement of outward volume changes during lithiation and delithiation. To elucidate the origins of the different cycling behaviors of the above three electrodes, in situ TEM was used to directly observe all three electrodes during lithiation and delithiation cycling.

**Lithiation and Delithiation Behavior of Individual Uncoated SiNTs.** With the use of an in situ TEM platform, as reported in our previous studies, a nanoelectrochemical cell comprising individual SiNTs, Li metal counter electrode and natively grown Li2O electrolyte layer is constructed inside the TEM (Figure 2a). During the electrochemical cycling, a piezo-driven scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) tip carrying a Li metal source is brought in contact with the cantilevered end of the individual SiNT and a constant bias with respect to Li metal is applied to the nanotube. 

**Figure 1.** Electrochemical performance of uncoated SiNTs and Ni-coated SiNTs. (a) Schematics showing uncoated SiNT and SiNTs with Ni coating thicknesses of ∼5 and ∼16 nm (not to scale). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of individual SiNTs (b) without Ni coating and (c) with ∼16 nm Ni-coating. (d) A lower magnification SEM image of a SiNT mat prepared for coin-cell cycling. (e) A schematic of the coin-cell configuration with Li metal as counter electrode and SiNTs as working electrodes. (f) A representative coin-cell after assembly. (g) Curves showing Coulombic efficiency (CE) and capacity retention for uncoated SiNTs and SiNTs with Ni coating thickness of ∼5 and ∼16 nm. Compared to the uncoated SiNTs, adding Ni-coating layers improves the capacity retention of the cell for at least 100 cycles. Same scale bar in (b) and (c).
inner core until it reaches the fully lithiated state (Figure 2d, see also Movie M1 in Supporting Information). After the SiNT is fully lithiated, the $d_{\text{in}}$ and $d_{\text{out}}$ are measured to be $\sim229$ and $\sim333$ nm, respectively, demonstrating an outward-radial volume expansion of $\sim210\%$ and no inward expansion toward the core of the tube. It is notable that even the inner surface expands in the outward direction. As the reverse bias with respect to Li metal is applied ($+4$ V with respect to Li metal), the SiNT shrinks in size but does not reach to the pristine level. The $d_{\text{in}}$ and $d_{\text{out}}$ of the delithiated SiNT are $\sim227$ and $\sim324$ nm, respectively. Same scale bar ($c$–$e$).

Interestingly, a previous study with post mortem TEM$^{24}$ of similarly prepared SiNT structures showed indications of a strong constraining effect of a 10 nm thick thermally grown SiO$_x$ surface layer, in which the silicon expanded only inwardly, toward the core, with no appreciable outward expansion during lithiation. However, no such constraining effect is observed with our electrochemical setup for our uncoated nanotubes, with only $\sim4$ nm SiO$_x$ coating. We note that the thickness of the SiO$_x$ layer for the SiNTs we describe here is thinner than that reported in ref 24, although we used a similar fabrication procedure. This thin SiO$_x$ apparently cannot force the SiNT to expand inward. We also point out that a number of studies conclude that SiO$_x$ and other silicon oxides are not strictly inert to lithium,$^{29-32}$ and these chemical reactions of SiO$_x$ with Li may alter its mechanical toughness. Further study is warranted to investigate the precise relationship between surface oxide composition and thickness to the lithiation- and delithiation-induced volume changes in SiNTs. However, this is beyond the scope of the present study. Instead, we choose to focus on a coating which is known to have high electron and Li ion mobility, yet is also inert against alloy formation and other insertion reactions. For this role, nickel presents an ideal model material with which to study the mechanical effects of a clamping layer independent of other electronic, ionic, or electrochemical considerations.$^{18,19}$

### Lithiation Behavior in Ni-Coated SiNTs

To evaluate the role of surface coatings in constraining the volume expansion of SiNTs during lithiation, we sputter-coat SiNTs with Ni using DC-magnetron sputtering (also see Supporting Information, Figure S1). Figure 3 shows in situ electrochemical cycling and simultaneous structural evolution observed during lithiation of Ni-coated SiNTs with various coating thicknesses of $\sim2$, $\sim5$, and $\sim16$ nm (also see Supporting Information, Figure 5S and S6), together with schematics showing the expansion exhibited upon first lithiation of these structures (Figures 3b,c) and also the uncoated case (Figure 3a).

First, we discuss the case of $\sim2$ nm Ni thickness, as shown schematically in Figure 3b and in electron micrographs in Figures 3d–f. The $d_{\text{in}}$ and $d_{\text{out}}$ of as-prepared SiNTs, including the Ni-coating layers, are $\sim263$ and $\sim324$ nm, respectively (Figure 3d). Upon applying a constant bias with respect to Li metal, Li$^+$ ions diffuse into the silicon and propagate rapidly along the axis of the SiNT (Figure 3e). Notably, the lithiation follows two diffusion pathways on both the inner and outer surfaces of the SiNT,$^{28,33}$ which is evident as a tapered interface between the lithiated and unlithiated interface of the SiNT. The Li propagation is predominantly faster on the outer surface while proceeds at a slower rate in the inner core of the SiNT. The $d_{\text{in}}$ and $d_{\text{out}}$ of the fully lithiated SiNT are measured to be $\sim245$ and $\sim380$ nm, respectively, of which the outward radial expansion of $d_{\text{out}}$ is only $\sim17\%$, relative to its initial value, whereas $d_{\text{in}}$ now exhibits an inward expansion of $\sim7\%$ (Figure 3f). The still outwardly directed overall expansion of the SiNT suggests that this relatively thin coating layer of nickel ($\sim2$ nm) is unable to constrain the volume changes experienced by SiNT during lithiation. However, it can be noted that it does result in some improvement in constraining the outer radial expansion, over the uncoated SiNTs (Figure 2).
Now, we turn to a discussion of ∼5 nm Ni thickness samples, as shown schematically in Figure 3b. The rougher surface texture of the as-prepared Ni-coated SiNTs is consistent with the grain growth of the thicker nickel film (Figure 3e). The measured $d_{in}$ and $d_{out}$ in the unlithiated state are measured to be ∼218 and ∼263 nm and changed to ∼203 and ∼290 nm after lithiation, respectively. Figure 3i shows an electron diffraction pattern of the lithiated SiNT shown in Figure 3h. The pattern indicates the presence of polycrystalline Li$_{15}$Si$_4$, amorphous Li$_2$Si, Ni, Li$_2$O, and an amorphous Li$_x$Si phase (α-Li$_x$Si), consistent with previous studies. When the Ni coating is increased from ∼2 to ∼5 nm, the outer radial expansion of the SiNT reduced from ∼17% to ∼10%, while the inward expansion (contraction) is maintained at ∼7% during a similar reaction time. Moreover, no sign of surface cracks or Ni–Si interfacial debonding is observed during lithiation and delithiation, which suggest that any Ni deformation still occurs within the ductile limit. In core–shell nanostructures, material interfaces can sometimes serve as a nucleation site for cracking and delamination, due to compressive stress. However, Si coatings on Ni have previously been shown to exhibit strong Ni–Si interfacial bonding even after hundreds of electrochemical cycles, consistent with the results we report here.

Figure 3j,k shows the case of a relatively thick Ni coating layer of ∼16 nm applied to the SiNT, yielding $d_{in}$ and $d_{out}$ that are measured to be ∼570 and ∼672 nm, respectively. An energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) line scan profile across the SiNT shows strong Ni peaks compared to Si, indicating a thicker Ni coating.
nanotube shows higher peaks of Ni on the surface (Figure 3l), with only modest nonuniformity of Ni coating thickness. Figure 3k shows the same SiNT as Figure 3j after lithiation, and its measured $d_{in}$ and $d_{out}$ are found to be $\sim 521$ and $\sim 678$ nm, respectively. Here, the Si expands almost exclusively toward the inner core ($\sim 9\%$ contraction of $d_{in}$), while relatively little expansion is seen on the outer surface of the nanotube (only $\sim 1\%$ increase in $d_{out}$). We also note that the two-pathway lithiation process, clearly observed in the case of $\sim 2$ nm coating, appears to be reduced to just the inner pathway for the $\sim 16$ nm case. This occurs in spite of the fact that Ni has been shown to have high Li mobility in other studies.\(^{18,19}\) We observe that increasing the Ni coating thickness in fact blocks the outward volume expansion of the SiNT, simply because the hoop stress caused by this expansion is not able to overcome the tensile strength of the nickel coating layer. In the $\sim 5$ nm case, the two pathways proceed with similar ease due to the hoop stress, the outer pathway being constrained by the ductile expansion of Ni and the inner pathway by the SiNT itself.

Table 1 shows the summary of relative dimensional changes in the $d_{in}$ and $d_{out}$ of pristine and lithiated SiNTs with and without Ni coatings. The measured outer and inner diameters of uncoated SiNTs and Ni-coated SiNTs with different thicknesses before and after lithiation are given separately in Table S1 (Supporting Information).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Using in situ TEM studies, we directly observe the electrochemical lithiation/delithiation behavior and the nature of volume changes in individual SiNTs, both uncoated and with Ni coatings of different thickness. In uncoated SiNTs, the lithiation-induced volume expansion was predominantly in the outer radial direction, while no inward-directed expansion was observed. We find that the thickness of SiO$_x$ grown by low temperature thermal oxidation ($\sim 4$ nm at 500 °C) cannot sustain the huge stress produced by SiNT during lithiation. In Ni-coated SiNTs, the suppression of the outer volume expansion was readily achieved and improved as the thickness of the Ni coating layer was increased. We compare the electrochemical performance between uncoated SiNTs and SiNTs with $\sim 5$ and $\sim 16$ nm of Ni coatings, and we find that Ni-coated SiNTs demonstrate excellent initial CE and stable

| TABLE 1. Summary of Relative Dimensional Changes of Silicon Nanotubes upon Lithiation* |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                  | Uncoated (%) change | Ni (~2 nm) (%) change | Ni (~5 nm) (%) change | Ni (~16 nm) (%) change |
| Outer diameter                   | Inner diameter    | Outer diameter    | Inner diameter    | Outer diameter    | Inner diameter    |
| 35.7                             | 9.0              | 17.3             | -6.8             | 10.2             | -6.9             |
| 31.6                             | 7.5              | 23.5             | -6.6             | 9.5              | -6.9             |
| 26.3                             | 4.7              | 20.6             | -8.3             | 8.0              | -4.3             |

*The measured outer and inner diameters of uncoated SiNTs and Ni-coated SiNTs with different thicknesses before and after lithiation are given separately in Table S1 (Supporting Information).
capacity retention for at least 100 cycles. The presented results and associated analyses provide insightful guidelines for a viable design of hollow silicon nanostructures for lithium-ion battery applications.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Preparation of Carbon Fibers. The SiNTs samples were prepared by a template method using carbon nanofibers. The carbon nanofibers were prepared by an electropinning method followed by carbonization. During the process, 1.0 g of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) (Sigma Aldrich) was added to 9.0 g of dimethyl formamide (DMF) (Sigma Aldrich) under mechanical stirring to form a viscous solution. The obtained viscous solution was transferred into a plastic syringe paired with a stainless steel needle (0.3 mm in diameter, McMaster-Carr). The flow rate was kept at 6 mL/min. A grounded copper collector was used to collect the polymer fibers. A voltage of 15 kV was applied between the needle and the copper collector with the distance between them was kept at 15 cm. The as-collected polymer fibers were stabilized in air at a temperature of 280 °C for 5 h with a heating rate of 1 °C/min. The fibers were then precarbonized under argon atmosphere by heating to 500 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min and holding at this temperature for 0.5 h.

Silicon Nanotubes. Amorphous silicon was deposited on the carbon nanofibers by a low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD). The deposition parameters used were: 2 Torr of SiH4 as the silicon source, 1 Torr of argon as the protective gas and at a temperature of 460 °C. The thickness of the silicon deposition was controlled by the growth time. Typically, a coat of ~25–30 nm was applied to the carbon fibers in 20–30 min. After silicon was coated onto the carbon nanofibers, the sample was heated in air at 500 °C for 2 h with a heating rate of 5 °C/min to remove the carbon core and form a SiOx layer on the inner and outer surfaces of the SiNT. TEM-EDS line-scan analysis across the SiNT indicates that carbon has been completely removed during this oxidation process. During the thermal treatment, a SiOx layer with modest thickness is expected to grow on the inner and outer surfaces of the SiNT. We determine the thickness of SiOx layers to be ~4 nm by cross-sectional EFTEM (Supporting Information, Figure S1). We note that this thickness, while reproducible, is significantly below the 10 nm reported in ref 24 for a similar procedure. The ~4 nm thickness is only modestly higher than a room-temperature-formed native oxide layer (~2–3 nm), and this result can be attributed to the low processing temperature (500 °C) and short oxidation time (2 h), consistent with other results on the oxidation of bulk silicon.

Ni Coating. A conformal Ni layer was coated on the silicon nanotubes by conventional DC-magnetron sputtering in an argon atmosphere (1 Pa) using a DC power density of 4.5 W cm⁻². The target-to-substrate distance was kept at 11 cm, and a single layer of Ni was coated at different deposition times of 10, 30, and 100 min.

Electrochemical Characterization. The free-standing uncoated SiNTs/Ni-coated SiNTs were directly used as electrodes for cell assembly without any binder and conductive additives. Coin cells, consisting of a uncoated SiNTs or Ni-coated SiNTs working electrode, a Li foil counter-electrode, Celgard 3501 microporous film separator, and 1.0 M LiPF6 in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1 by volume) liquid electrolyte, were used for electrochemical tests. The active electrode mass loadings for uncoated SiNTs and Ni-coated SiNTs were 3.6 and 3.3 mg/cm², respectively. Galvanostatic charge–discharge tests were performed by using an Arbin BT 200 test station. Both cells were cycled between 0.01 and 1 V vs Li/Li⁺ with a current density of 100 mA/g. The current density was calculated on the basis of the total weight of working electrode. After the cell reached the cutoff voltages, it was rested for 2 min before subsequent charge or discharge.

In Situ TEM Testing and Characterization. In situ characterization was carried out using a JEOL JEM-2100 LaB6 TEM operating at 200 kV. We use a specialized Nanofactory STM-TEM holder which simultaneously allows imaging and manipulation with three degrees of freedom in real-time. A prototype nanocell was prepared in a similar fashion as previously reported. The samples comprising SiO2-coated SiNTs and Ni-coated SiNTs were scraped from the substrate using a steel razor blade and glued separately to the Cu rod using conductive epoxy. The piezo-driven scanning tunneling microscope (STM) tip carried a fresh piece of Li metal, loaded in an inert environment, and which acts as a Li source as well as a counter electrode. The thin native Li2O layer, which is formed on the surface of pristine Li metal inside the TEM due to residual gases (O2 and H2O) in the TEM column, serves as a solid-state electrolyte, allowing the diffusion of Li⁺ between the electrodes under potential bias. Lithiation and delithiation were performed by applying a constant bias of −4 and +4 V, respectively.
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